



DIPARTIMENTO DI INFORMATICA
E SISTEMISTICA ANTONIO RUBERTI



SAPIENZA
UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA

*Bounded coloring of co-comparability graphs
and the pickup and delivery tour combination problem*

Flavia Bonomo
Sara Mattia
Gianpaolo Oriolo

Technical Report n. 6, 2010

Bounded coloring of co-comparability graphs and the pickup and delivery tour combination problem

Flavia Bonomo

CONICET and Departamento de Computación, FCEyN
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina
Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Impresa
Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", Roma, Italy
fbonomo@dc.uba.ar

Sara Mattia

Dipartimento di Informatica e Sistemistica "Antonio Ruberti"
Sapienza, Università di Roma, Italy
mattia@dis.uniroma1.it

Gianpaolo Oriolo

Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Impresa
Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", Roma, Italy
oriolo@disp.uniroma2.it

Abstract

The Double Traveling Salesman Problem with Multiple Stacks is a vehicle routing problem in which pickups and deliveries must be performed in two independent networks. The items are stored in stacks and repacking is not allowed. Given a pickup and a delivery tour, the problem of checking if there exists a valid distribution of items into s stacks of size h that is consistent with the given tours, is known as Pickup and Delivery Tour Combination (PDTC) problem.

In the paper, we show that the PDTC problem can be solved in polynomial time when the number s of stacks is fixed but the size of each stack is not. We build upon the equivalence between the PDTC problem and the bounded coloring (BC) problem on permutation graphs: for the latter problem, s is the number of colors and h is the number of vertices that can get a same color. We show that the BC problem can be solved in polynomial time when s is a fixed constant on co-comparability graphs, a superclass of permutation graphs. To the contrary, the BC problem is known to be hard on permutation graphs when $h \geq 6$ is a fixed constant, but s is unbounded [25].

keywords: bounded coloring, capacitated coloring, equitable coloring, permutation graphs, scheduling problems, thinness

Flavia Bonomo was partially supported by ANPCyT PICT-2007-00518 and PICT-2007-00533, and UBACyT Grants X069 and X606 (Argentina).

1 Introduction

The growing complexity of planning strategies in transportation and logistic companies has recently induced the appearance of new vehicle routing problems. One of these problems is the Double Traveling Salesman Problem with Multiple Stacks (DTSPMS), introduced by Petersen and Madsen [31]. It is a routing problem in which some pickups and deliveries must be performed in two independent networks, verifying some precedence and loading constraints imposed on the vehicle. Two independent regions that are supposed to be very far apart are considered, and n items must be picked up in different locations of the first region and delivered to the corresponding locations of the second region. A single vehicle is used and repacking is not allowed. The load can be packed in several compartments that must obey the Last-In-First-Out (LIFO) principle, while there are no mutual constraints between two different compartments. From now on the compartments of the container will be referred to as *stacks*. We will denote by s the number of stacks and by h the maximum number of items that can be placed into each stack. In the real-life application that originally motivated the problem, the items to be delivered were standardized Euro Pallets, that could be used to load different kinds of goods. The vehicles used to carry the goods had a 40-foot pallet container in which standardized Euro Pallets fit 3 by 11, suggesting the use of 3 available stacks with a maximum capacity of 11 items. The driver was not allowed to touch the goods due to security and insurance reasons and, as a consequence, repacking was not permitted during the whole process. Hence, a feasible solution for an instance of the DTSPMS consists of a *pickup tour*, a *delivery tour* and a *stack assignment*, that is, which stack is assigned to each item. The goal is to minimize the sum of the lengths of the pickup and delivery tours. Some heuristics and exact methods have been presented to solve the DTSPMS [2, 13, 14, 26].

One of the strategies that has been proposed [26] consists in considering the k best solutions to the TSP problem on each network (for some value of k), thus generating k possible pickup (resp. delivery) tours, and then checking for which pairs of tours there exists a feasible stack assignment. The subproblem of checking whether, for a given pickup tour and a given delivery tour, there exists a feasible stack assignment is known as the *pickup and delivery tour combination* (PDTC) problem. Lusby et al. [26] solve the PDTC problem by an integer programming model. Casazza et al. [9] observe that the problem can be solved in polynomial time if the capacity constraints on each stack are relaxed, since, in this case, the problem reduces to the graph coloring problem on permutation graphs, that is known to be poly-time solvable [32].

In the paper we concentrate on the PDTC problem, and show that it can be solved in polynomial time, when the number of stacks is a fixed constant. As we mentioned before, in the real case that originally motivated the problem, $s = 3$ and $h = 11$. So, from the practical point of view, it is reasonable to treat s as a constant and h as a parameter.

In order to achieve this result, we follow the graph coloring approach in [9],

but take into account the capacity constraints. We therefore show that the PDTC problem is equivalent to a capacitated coloring problem, the *bounded coloring* (BC) problem, on permutation graphs. We then show that the *capacitated coloring* (CC) problem, a problem that generalizes the BC problem – as well as another coloring problem, the *equitable coloring* problem –, can be solved in polynomial time on *co-comparability graphs*, a superclass of permutation graphs, when the maximum number of colors s is fixed.

Our proof builds upon some tools developed in [27]. In that paper, a graph invariant, the *thinness* of a graph, is introduced. Graphs with bounded thinness are a generalization of *interval graphs*, that are exactly the graphs with thinness 1. In [27] it is shown that the maximum weighted stable set problem can be solved in polynomial time on graphs with bounded thinness, when a suitable representation \mathcal{R} of the graph is given. We show in this paper that, in the same hypotheses, also the CC problem can be solved in polynomial time, if the number of colors s is fixed. We then make use of the following crucial fact: given an instance of the CC problem on a co-comparability graph G , if the number of colors s is fixed, then, in polynomial time, we may either show that the instance is unfeasible, or show that G has thinness at most s , and in this case provide the representation \mathcal{R} for G .

We point out the following interesting fact. The bounded coloring problem is known to be hard on permutation graphs [25] and interval graphs [7], if the (maximum) number of h of vertices that can get the same color is a fixed constant, but the (maximum) number s of colors is unbounded. Our results show that, to the contrary, the problem is poly-time solvable, on the same classes of graphs, if s is a fixed constant, while h is unbounded. Given the equivalence between the BC problem on permutation graphs and the PDTC problem, the same fact holds for the latter problem.

In Section 2 we formally define the pickup and delivery tour combination problem, as well as the coloring problems we are interested in. In Section 3 we recall the definition of co-comparability graphs and graphs with bounded thinness, and show that the thinness of a co-comparability graph is bounded by its chromatic number. In Section 4 we deal with the capacitated coloring problem on graphs with bounded thinness.

Unless otherwise specified, in the paper we deal with simple and undirected graphs. Let $G(V, E)$ be such a graph. The complement of G is denoted as \overline{G} , while $G[S]$ denotes the subgraph induced by a set $S \subseteq V$. We denote by $N(v)$ the neighborhood of a vertex $v \in V$, i.e. the set of vertices that are adjacent to v .

2 The Pickup and Delivery Tour Combination Problem

Suppose that we are given a pickup and a delivery tour for some instance of the DTSPMS problem, with s stacks of size (height) h . In particular, let $1, \dots, n$ be

the list of items in the reverse order in which they should be picked up, i.e., the item 1 is the last item picked up while the item n is the first one. Also let the permutation π of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ give the order in which items should be delivered, namely, if $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$, then item j has to be delivered after item i . Thus, two items i, j , with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, can be placed in the same stack if and only if $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$.

Pickup and Delivery Tour Combination (PDTC) problem is then the following problem: **Given** the delivery permutation π , the maximum number of items per stack h , the number of stacks s . **Find** an assignment $\varphi : \{1, \dots, n\} \mapsto \{1, \dots, s\}$ of item to stacks such that:

(coloring constraint) for each pair of items $\{i, j\}$ assigned to the same stack, i.e. $\varphi(i) = \varphi(j)$, if $i < j$, then $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$;

(capacity constraint) no more than h items are assigned to each stack.

In the following, we specify an instance of the PDTC problem by a triple (π, h, s) , where π is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and h, s are positive integers.

Recall that we may associate to each permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ a graph $G(\pi)$ with vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and such that v_i and v_j , with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, are adjacent if and only if $\pi(i) > \pi(j)$. Such a graph is called a *permutation graph*, and more in general we have the following definition.

Definition 1 A graph $G(V, E)$ is a permutation graph if there is an order $1, \dots, n$ of V and a permutation π such that, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $ij \in E$ if and only if $\pi(i) > \pi(j)$.

Permutation graphs were introduced in [15, 32], and different techniques for solving algorithmic problems on a permutation graph are given in [8].

Going back to the PDTC problem, in [9] Casazza et al. show that, if for some instance (π, h, s) of the problem we relax the capacity constraints, then the problem reduces to finding an s -coloring on $G(\pi)$.

Definition 2 An s -coloring of a graph $G(V, E)$ is a mapping $\varphi : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that if $uv \in E$ then $\varphi(u) \neq \varphi(v)$.

In fact, an s -coloring φ of $G(\pi)$ is also an assignment of items to stacks that satisfies the coloring constraint, and, vice versa, an assignment φ of item to stacks, that satisfies the coloring constraint, is also an s -coloring of $G(\pi)$. Trivially, if we do *not* relax the capacity constraint, we may then associate to each instance of the PDTC problem an instance of the:

Bounded Coloring (BC) problem. **Given** a graph $G(V, E)$ and integers $s, h \geq 1$. **Find** a *capacitated s -coloring*, i.e. an s -coloring φ of G such that the number of vertices taking color i is bounded by h , i.e. $|\varphi^{-1}(i)| \leq h$, for each $i = 1..s$.

An instance of the bounded coloring problem is described by a triple (G, h, s) . Then we may solve an instance (π, h, s) of the PDTC problem, by finding a capacitated s -coloring on the instance $(G(\pi), h, s)$ of the BC problem. However, one should observe that also one may solve an instance $(G(\pi), h, s)$ of the BC problem by solving the instance (π, h, s) of the PDTC problem. Therefore, the two problems are *equivalent*.

We recall that while the coloring problem - i.e. the problem of finding an s -coloring of a given graph with minimum s - can be solved in $O(n \log n)$ on permutation graphs [32], Jansen showed that the bounded coloring problem is NP-hard on permutation graphs for any fixed $h \geq 6$ [20]. We may therefore state the following corollary of Jansen's result:

Corollary 3 *The PDTC problem is NP-hard, even if the size h of each stack is a fixed constant (greater than 5).*

In the following we focus on what happens to the BC (and therefore to the PDTC) problem when we instead fix the number s of colors, while leaving unbounded h . We indeed deal with a slight generalization of the BC problem; namely we consider the following:

Capacitated Coloring (CC) problem. Given a graph $G(V, E)$, an integer $s \geq 1$ and capacities $(\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_s^*) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^s$. Find a *capacitated s -coloring*, i.e. an s -coloring φ of G such that the number of vertices taking color i is bounded by α_i^* , i.e. $|\varphi^{-1}(i)| \leq \alpha_i^*$, for each $i = 1..s$.

An instance of the *capacitated coloring problem* is described by a triple (G, α^*, s) . Note that the CC problem generalizes the BC problem, arising when $\alpha_1^* = \dots = \alpha_s^* = h$. The CC problem also generalizes another known coloring problem:

Equitable Coloring problem. [29, 17] Given a graph $G(V, E)$ and an integer $s \geq 1$. Find an *equitable s -coloring*, i.e. an s -coloring φ of G such that, for each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, $||\varphi^{-1}(i)| - |\varphi^{-1}(j)|| \leq 1$.

Let $r = |V| \bmod s$. By setting $\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_r^* = \lceil \frac{|V|}{s} \rceil$ and $\alpha_{r+1}^*, \dots, \alpha_s^* = \lfloor \frac{|V|}{s} \rfloor$, equitable coloring can be reduced to capacitated coloring.

2.1 Related literature on the bounded coloring problem

The BC problem has a number of applications. It is also known in the literature as *mutual exclusion scheduling* (MES) [3], which is the problem of scheduling unit-time tasks non-preemptively on h processors subject to constraints, represented by a graph G . The scheduling must be such that tasks corresponding to adjacent vertices must run in disjoint time intervals. A schedule of length s corresponds to a bounded coloring of G . This problem arises in load balancing for the parallel solution of partial differential equations by domain decomposition [3, 33]. Problems of this form have been studied in [4, 24]. Other applications are in scheduling of communication systems [19] and in constructing

school timetables [23]. Bodlaender and Jansen [6] studied the decision problem of a complementary scheduling problem. Their problem is similar to MES, but if two tasks are adjacent in G then they cannot be executed on the same processor. Therefore, in MES an independent set is processed in a time unit, whereas in compatibility scheduling an independent set is executed on one processor.

The BC problem can be solved in linear time on trees [3, 21] and in polynomial time on split graphs, complements of interval graphs [7, 10, 25], complements of bipartite graphs [7], and graphs with bounded treewidth [5]. Moreover, a linear time algorithm was proposed in [22] when restricted to graphs with bounded treewidth and fixed h . For fixed h or s the problem is polynomial time solvable on cographs [7, 25], for fixed h on bipartite graphs [7, 18] and line graphs [1], and for fixed s on interval graphs [7]. For $h = 2$ the problem is equivalent to the maximum matching problem on the complement graph and, therefore, is polynomial. The problem remains NP-complete on cographs, bipartite and interval graphs [7], on co-comparability graphs and fixed $h \geq 3$ [25], on complements of line graphs and fixed $h \geq 3$ [11]. For fixed $s \geq 3$ the problem is NP-complete on bipartite graphs [7].

Almost all complexity results for the BC problem on different graph classes mentioned above can also be obtained for equitable s -coloring by making use of the following observations: a graph G on n vertices is h -bounded k -colorable if and only if the graph G' obtained from G by adding an independent set of size $hs - n$ is equitably s -colorable; conversely, G is equitably s -colorable if and only if the graph G'' obtained from G by adding a clique of size $\lceil \frac{n}{s} \rceil s - n$ is $\lceil \frac{n}{s} \rceil$ -bounded s -colorable.

3 Comparability and k -thin graphs

Definition 4 *A graph $G(V, E)$ is a comparability graph if there exists an ordering v_1, \dots, v_n of V such that, for each triple (r, s, t) with $r < s < t$, if $v_r v_s$ and $v_s v_t$ are edges of G , then so is $v_r v_t$. Such an ordering is a comparability ordering.*

Comparability graphs can be recognized in linear time [28]. Moreover, the recognition algorithm outputs a comparability ordering for the graph. A *co-comparability graph* is the complement of a comparability graph. Permutation graphs are exactly the graphs which are comparability and co-comparability [12]. In fact, it is easy to see that the ordering $1, \dots, n$ in the Definition 1 is a comparability ordering for both G and its complement.

While the coloring problem can be solved in $O(n^3)$ on co-comparability graphs [16], the bounded coloring problem is NP-hard on co-comparability graphs, for any fixed $h \geq 3$ [25].

Definition 5 *A graph $G(V, E)$ is k -thin if there exist an ordering v_1, \dots, v_n of V and a partition of V into k classes such that, for each triple (r, s, t) with $r < s < t$, if v_r, v_s belong to the same class and $v_t v_r \in E$, then $v_t v_s \in E$.*

If so, the partition and the ordering are said to be *consistent*. The minimum k such that G is k -thin is called the *thinness* of G and denoted by $\text{thin}(G)$. Graphs with bounded thinness were introduced in [27] as a generalization of *interval graphs*, that are exactly the 1-thin graphs. We believe that the complexity of the coloring problem on graphs with bounded thinness is not known. However, since the bounded coloring problem is NP-complete for fixed $h \geq 4$ on interval graphs [7], it follows that the bounded coloring problem (and, therefore, the capacitated coloring problem) in graphs with bounded thinness remains NP-complete.

Theorem 6 *Let $G(V, E)$ be a co-comparability graph. Then, $\text{thin}(G) \leq \chi(G)$. Moreover, any vertex partition given by a coloring of G and any comparability ordering for its complement are consistent.*

Proof. Let v_1, \dots, v_n be a comparability order of V for \overline{G} . Let φ be a k -coloring of G and let $V^i = \varphi^{-1}(i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. We will show that the ordering v_1, \dots, v_n and the partition V^1, \dots, V^k are consistent. Let (r, s, t) with $1 \leq r < s < t \leq n$, such that $v_t v_r \in E$ and v_r, v_s belong to the same (color) class, so $v_r v_s \notin E$. If $v_s v_t \notin E$, since v_1, \dots, v_n is a comparability order of V for \overline{G} , then $v_t v_r \notin E$, a contradiction. This proves that any vertex partition given by a coloring of G and any comparability ordering for \overline{G} are consistent. In particular, any partition given by an optimum coloring is consistent with v_1, \dots, v_n , thus $\text{thin}(G) \leq \chi(G)$. \square

The bound in Theorem 6 can be arbitrarily bad: for example, if G is a clique of size n , then $\text{thin}(G) = 1$ and $\chi(G) = n$. However, it holds with equality for the graphs $\overline{tK_2}$, i.e. the complement of a matching of size t , that has thinness [27] and chromatic number t .

4 Capacitated coloring on k -thin graphs

In this section, we show that the capacitated coloring problem with a fixed number of colors s on a k -thin graph can be solved in polynomial time, when a consistent partition and ordering are given and k is bounded by a constant. We build upon some techniques developed in [27].

So consider an instance $(G(V, E), \alpha^*, s)$ of the CC problem on a graph G that is k -thin. W.l.o.g. we assume that $\sum_{i=1..s} \alpha_i^* \geq n$. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and (V^1, \dots, V^k) be an ordering and a partition of V which are consistent. Assume that $V^j = \{v_1^j, \dots, v_{p^j}^j\}$, with $v_1^j < v_2^j < \dots < v_{p^j}^j$. Let:

$N(v_r, j)_{<}$ the set of vertices of V^j adjacent to and smaller than v_r , i.e. $N(v_r, j)_{<} = V^j \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\} \cap N(v_r)$, for each vertex v_r and class V^j ;

$\Delta(j)_{<}$ the maximum size of $|N(v_r, j)_{<}|$ over all vertices v_r , i.e. $\Delta(j)_{<} = \max_{r=1..n} |N(v_r, j)_{<}|$, for each class V^j ;

We want to emphasize the following fact, that indeed gives an alternative definition for k -thin graphs, that will be fundamental for the following:

Fact 7 For each vertex $v_r \in \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and each $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the set $N(v_r, j)_{<}$ is made of the largest $|N(v_r, j)_{<}|$ vertices in $V^j \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$.

We reduce the CC problem on G to a reachability problem on an auxiliary acyclic digraph D . The digraph D will be *layered*, i.e. the node set of D is the disjoint union of subsets (layers) N_0, N_1, \dots, N_n and all edges of D have the form (u, w) with $u \in N_r$ and $w \in N_{r+1}$, for some $0 \leq r \leq n-1$. Moreover, we will associate to each arc (u, v) of D a set of colors $c(u, v) \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$.

We first describe the node set of D . The first layer will have only a dummy node z , i.e. $N_0 = \{z\}$. As for layers N_1, \dots, N_{n-1} , there will be a one-to-one correspondence between nodes at layer N_r and $(s(k+1)+1)$ -tuples $(r, \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1..s}, \{a_i^j\}_{i=1..s, j=1..k})$, with $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \alpha_i^*$, for each i , and $0 \leq a_i^j \leq \Delta(j)_{<}$, for each i, j . As for the last layer, it will have only one node t corresponding to the tuple $(n, \{\alpha_i^*\}_{i=1..s}, 0, \dots, 0)$.

We associate with each node $u \neq z$ a suitable capacitated coloring problem *with additional constraints*, and, as we show in the following, u will be reachable from z if and only if this problem has a solution. Namely, we will show that the following property holds:

- (*) a node $(r, \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1..s}, \{a_i^j\}_{i=1..s, j=1..k})$ is reachable from z if and only if $G[\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}]$ admits a capacitated s -coloring, with capacities $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$, and with the additional constraint that, for each $i = 1..s$ and $j = 1..k$, color i is forbidden for the last a_i^j vertices in $V^j \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$.

In this case, G admits a capacitated s -coloring with capacities $\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_s^*$ if and only if the node t is reachable from z .

We now define the arcs of D . We start with the arcs from z to N_1 . Let j^* be such that $v_1 \in V^{j^*}$. There is an arc from z to a node $u = (1, \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1..s}, \{a_i^j\}_{i=1..s, j=1..k})$ if and only if, for some $1 \leq i^* \leq s$, it holds that $\alpha_{i^*} \geq 1$ and $a_{i^*}^{j^*} = 0$; then, if the arc (z, u) does indeed exist, we set $c(z, u) = \{i^* : \alpha_{i^*} \geq 1 \text{ and } a_{i^*}^{j^*} = 0, i^* = 1..s\}$.

We now deal with the arcs from N_{r-1} to N_r , with $2 \leq r \leq n$. Let $u = (r, \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1..s}, \{a_i^j\}_{i=1..s, j=1..k})$ be a node of N_r and assume that $v_r \in V^{j^*}$. For each $1 \leq i^* \leq s$, such that $\alpha_{i^*} \geq 1$ and $a_{i^*}^{j^*} = 0$, there will be an arc from a node u_{i^*} to u , with $c(u_{i^*}, u) = \{i^*\}$. Namely, $u_{i^*} = (r-1, \{\tilde{\alpha}_i\}_{i=1..s}, \{\tilde{a}_i^j\}_{i=1..s, j=1..k})$, where:

$$\tilde{\alpha}_i = \begin{cases} \alpha_i & i \neq i^* \\ \alpha_i - 1 & i = i^* \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\tilde{a}_i^j = \begin{cases} \max\{|N(v_r, j)_{<}|, a_i^j\} & i = i^* \\ \max\{0, a_i^j - 1\} & i \neq i^*, j = j^* \\ a_i^j & i \neq i^*, j \neq j^* \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Note that u_{i^*} is indeed a node of N_{r-1} , as the $(s(k+1)+1)$ -tuple $(r-1, \{\tilde{\alpha}_i\}_{i=1..s}, \{\tilde{a}_i^j\}_{i=1..s, j=1..k})$ is such that $0 \leq \tilde{\alpha}_i \leq \alpha_i^*$, for each i , and $0 \leq \tilde{a}_i^j \leq \Delta(j)_{<}$, for each i, j (in fact, $a_i^j \leq \Delta(j)_{<}$, since u is a node of N_r).

Lemma 8 *G admits a capacitated s -coloring with capacities $\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_s^*$ if and only if there is a directed path from z to t in D . If such a path exists, then a capacitated s -coloring is that assigning each node v_r , $r = 1..n$, a color from $c(a_r)$, where a_r is the arc of the path entering into layer N_r .*

Proof. In order to prove the first part of the statement it is enough to show that property (*) holds, for each node $u \in N_r$, $1 \leq r \leq n$. So let $u = (r, \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1..s}, \{a_i^j\}_{i=1..s, j=1..k})$ and consider the capacitated s -coloring problem with additional constraints associated with u : in the following, we refer to this problem as the *constrained sub-problem* associated with u .

Since D is layered, u is reachable from z if either $r = 1$ and there is an arc from z to u , or $r > 1$ and there exists a node u' in N_{r-1} , reachable from z and such that (u', u) is an arc of D . We will therefore prove the property by induction on r . Let $r = 1$ and let j^* be such that $v_1 \in V^{j^*}$. First suppose the arc (z, u) exists. Then, by construction, there exists some $1 \leq i^* \leq s$, such that $\alpha_{i^*} \geq 1$ and $a_{i^*}^{j^*} = 0$. Therefore, if we give v_1 color i^* , we obtain an s -coloring of $G[\{v_1\}]$ that is a solution for the constrained sub-problem associated with u . Vice versa, if there exists a coloring of $G[\{v_1\}]$ that is a solution to the constrained sub-problem associated with u , then the color i^* assigned to v_1 is such that $\alpha_{i^*} \geq 1$ and $a_{i^*}^{j^*} = 0$, and so the arc (z, u) does exist.

Now, let $r > 1$ and let j^* be such that $v_r \in V^{j^*}$. By inductive hypotheses, suppose that property (*) holds true for $r-1$. Suppose that u is reachable from z . Let $u' = (r-1, \{\tilde{\alpha}_i\}_{i=1..s}, \{\tilde{a}_i^j\}_{i=1..s, j=1..k})$ a node in N_{r-1} , reachable from z and such that (u', u) is an arc of D . By inductive hypotheses, $G[\{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}]$ admits a capacitated s -coloring φ , with capacities $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_s$, and the additional constraint that, for each $i = 1..s$ and $j = 1..k$, color i is not given to the last \tilde{a}_i^j vertices in $V^j \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$. By definition of D , there exists some $1 \leq i^* \leq s$ such that $\alpha_{i^*} \geq 1$, $a_{i^*}^{j^*} = 0$, and (1) and (2) hold true. We extend φ to v_r by giving color i^* to v_r . It is easy to see that we then obtain a coloring φ' that is a solution to the constrained sub-problem associated with u , in fact:

coloring constraints: for each class V^j , no vertex in $V^j \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$ adjacent to v_r gets color i^* , as φ was not giving color i^* to the last $\tilde{a}_{i^*}^{j^*}$ vertices in $V^{j^*} \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$, and v is only adjacent to the last $|N(v_r, j)|_{<} \leq \tilde{a}_{i^*}^{j^*}$ vertices of $V^j \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$ (see Fact 7);

capacity constraints: φ' satisfies capacity constraint because of (1);

additional constraints: if we consider a class V^j , $j \neq j^*$, and a color $i \neq i^*$, φ' is not giving color i to the last a_i^j vertices in $V^j \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$, as φ was not giving color i to the last $a_i^j = \tilde{a}_i^j$ vertices in $V^j \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$;

additional constraints: if we consider the class V^{j^*} , and a color $i \neq i^*$ such that $a_i^{j^*} > 0$, φ' is not giving color i to the last $a_i^{j^*}$ vertices in $V^{j^*} \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$, as φ was not giving color i to the last $\tilde{a}_i^{j^*} = a_i^{j^*} - 1$ vertices in $V^{j^*} \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$ and v_r gets color $i^* \neq i$;

additional constraints: if we consider a class V^j and the color i^* , φ' is not giving color i^* to the last $a_{i^*}^j$ vertices in $V^j \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$, as φ was not giving color i^* to the last $\tilde{a}_{i^*}^j \geq a_{i^*}^j$ vertices in $V^j \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$ (note that the last fact is trivial for j^* , as $a_{i^*}^{j^*} = 0$).

Conversely, let φ' a capacitated s -coloring of $G[\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}]$ that is a solution to the constrained sub-problem associated with u , and let $i^* = \varphi'(v_r)$. So $\alpha_{i^*} \geq 1$ and $a_{i^*}^{j^*} = 0$. Let $u_{i^*} = (r-1, \{\tilde{\alpha}_i\}_{i=1..s}, \{\tilde{a}_i^j\}_{i=1..s, j=1..k})$, such that (1) and (2) hold. By definition of D , there is an arc from u_{i^*} to u . It is easy to see that, if we restrict φ' to $\{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$, then we get a capacitated s -coloring φ that is a solution to the constrained sub-problem associated with u_{i^*} . In fact, φ is trivially an s -coloring of $G[\{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}]$; it satisfies capacity constraints by (1); finally it satisfies the additional constraints by construction (we skip the details, as they go along the same lines as above). By inductive hypotheses, therefore, u_{i^*} is reachable from z , and so is u .

Finally, if in D there exists a directed path from z to t , this path goes through one node of each layer. By construction, the set $c(u, v)$ associated with an arc (u, v) of D has the following meaning: if the constrained sub-problem associated with u has a solution φ , then we can extend φ into a solution to the constrained sub-problem associated with v by giving a color $i \in c(u, v)$ to v . Therefore, if t is reachable from z via a path P , then a capacitated s -coloring is that assigning each node v_r , $r = 1..n$, a color from $c(a_r)$, where a_r is the arc of P entering into layer N_r . \square

Theorem 9 *Suppose that for a (k -thin) graph G with n vertices we are given an ordering and a partition of $V(G)$ into k classes that are consistent. Then, an instance (G, α^*, s) of the capacitated coloring problem can be solved in $O(n^{s+1} s^2 k \prod_{j=1..k} \Delta(j)_{<}^s)$ -time, that is $O(n^{ks+s+1} s^2 k)$ -time.*

Proof. By definition, for $r = 1..n-1$, $|N_r| = \prod_{i=1..s} (\alpha_i^* + 1) \prod_{j=1..k} (\Delta(j)_{<} + 1)^s$. Note that each node of D has at most s incoming arcs, and each arc can be built in $O((s(k+1)))$ -time. Therefore, D can be built in $O(n^{s+1} s^2 k \prod_{j=1..k} \Delta(j)_{<}^s)$ -time. Finally, since D is acyclic, the reachability problem on D can be solved in linear time in the number of arcs of D , that is $O(n^{s+1} s \prod_{j=1..k} \Delta(j)_{<}^s)$ time. So, the overall time complexity is $O(n^{s+1} s^2 k \prod_{j=1..k} \Delta(j)_{<}^s)$, that is $O(n^{ks+s+1} s^2 k)$. \square

Theorem 10 *On a co-comparability graph G with n vertices, an instance (G, α^*, s) of the capacitated coloring problem can be solved in $O(n^{s^2+s+1} s^3)$ -time, that is polynomial when s is fixed.*

Proof. We are given an instance (G, α^*, s) of the capacitated coloring on a comparability graph. We evaluate $\chi(G)$ in $O(n^3)$ -time [16]: if it is greater than s , the instance is infeasible. Else, by Theorem 6, G is a $\chi(G)$ -thin graph, and the partition of $V(G)$ given by an optimum coloring of G is consistent with respect to a comparability ordering of its complement. It follows from Theorem 9 that then the problem can be solved in time $O(n^{\chi(G)s+s+1}s^2\chi(G)) = O(n^{s^2+s+1}s^3)$ -time. Since $s^2 + s + 1 \geq 3$, the statement follows. \square

Corollary 11 *An instance (π, h, s) of the Pickup and Delivery Tour Combination Problem with n items can be solved in $O(n^{s^2+s+1}s^3)$ -time, and therefore in polynomial time when s is fixed.*

References

- [1] N. Alon, A note on the decomposition of graphs into isomorphic matchings, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* **42** (1983), 221–223.
- [2] C. Archetti, H. Petersen, and M.G. Speranza, Exact solution approaches to the double travelling salesman problem with multiple stacks, *Networks*, to appear.
- [3] B.S. Baker and E.G. Coffman, Mutual exclusion scheduling, *Theoretical Computer Science* **162** (1996), 225–243.
- [4] J. Blazewicz, K.H. Ecker, E. Pesch, G. Schmidt, and J. Weglarz, *Scheduling Computer and Manufacturing Processes*, second ed., Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [5] H.L. Bodlaender and F.V. Fomin, Equitable colorings of bounded treewidth graphs, *Theoretical Computer Science* **349**(1) (2005), 22–30.
- [6] H.L. Bodlaender and K. Jansen, On the complexity of scheduling incompatible jobs with unit-times, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* **711** (1993), 291–300.
- [7] H.L. Bodlaender and K. Jansen, Restrictions of graph partition problems. Part I, *Theoretical Computer Science* **148** (1995), 93–109.
- [8] A. Brandstädt, On improved time bounds for permutation graph problems, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* **657** (1992), 1–10.
- [9] M. Casazza, A. Ceselli, and M. Nunkesser, Efficient algorithms for the double traveling salesman problem with multiple stacks, *Proceedings of the 8th Cologne-Twente Workshop on Graphs and Combinatorial Optimization*, Paris (S. Cafieri, A. Mucherino, G. Nannicini, F. Tarissan, and L. Liberti, eds.), 2009, pp. 7–10.
- [10] B.-L. Chen, M.-T. Ko, and K.-W. Lih, Equitable and m -bounded coloring of split graphs, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* **1120** (1996), 1–5.

- [11] E. Cohen and M. Tarsi, NP-completeness of graph decomposition problems, *Journal of Complexity* **7**(2) (1991), 200–212.
- [12] B. Dushnik and E. Miller, Partially ordered sets, *American Journal of Mathematics* **63** (1941), 600–610.
- [13] A. Felipe, M.T. Ortuño, and G. Tirado, The double traveling salesman problem with multiple stacks: A variable neighborhood search approach, *Computers & Operations Research* **36**(11) (2009), 2983–2993.
- [14] A. Felipe, M.T. Ortuño, and G. Tirado, New neighborhood structures for the Double Traveling Salesman Problem with Multiple Stacks, *Top* **17** (2009), 190–213.
- [15] P.C. Gilmore and A.J. Hoffman, A characterization of comparability graphs and of interval graphs, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **16** (1964), 539–548.
- [16] M. Golumbic, The complexity of comparability graph recognition and coloring, *Computing* **18** (1977), 199–208.
- [17] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi, Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős, In: *Combinatorial Theory and its Applications, II* (P. Erdős, A. Rényi, and V. Sós, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970, pp. 601–623.
- [18] P. Hansen, A. Hertz, and J. Kuplinsky, Bounded vertex colorings of graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* **111** (1993), 305–312.
- [19] S. Irani and V. Leung, Scheduling with conflicts, and applications to traffic signal control, *Proceedings of the Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, 1996, pp. 85–94.
- [20] K. Jansen, The mutual exclusion scheduling problem for permutation and comparability graphs, *Information and Computation* **180** (2003), 71–81.
- [21] M. Jarvis and B. Zhou, Bounded vertex coloring of trees, *Discrete Mathematics* **232** (2001), 145–151.
- [22] D. Kaller, A. Gupta, and T. Shermer, The χ_t -coloring problem, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* **900** (1995), 409–420.
- [23] F. Kitagawa and H. Ikeda, An existential problem of a weight-controlled subset and its application to school timetable construction, *Discrete Mathematics* **72** (1988), 195–211.
- [24] J. Krarup and D. de Werra, Chromatic optimisation: limitations, objectives, uses, references, *European Journal of Operational Research* **11** (1982), 1–19.
- [25] Z. Lonc, On complexity of some chain and antichain partition problems, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* **570** (1992), 97–104.

- [26] R. Lusby, J. Larsen, M. Ehrgott, and D. Ryan, An exact method for the double TSP with multiple stacks, Tech. Report 2.2009, Department of Management Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, 2009.
- [27] C. Mannino, G. Oriolo, F. Ricci, and S. Chandran, The stable set problem and the thinness of a graph, *Operations Research Letters* **35** (2007), 1–9.
- [28] R.M. McConnell and J.P. Spinrad, Linear-time transitive orientation, *Proceedings of the Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, 1997, pp. 19–25.
- [29] W. Meyer, Equitable coloring, *The American Mathematical Monthly* **80**(8) (1973), 920–922.
- [30] R.H. Möhring, Algorithmic aspects of comparability graphs and interval graphs, In: *Graphs and Orders* (I. Rival, ed.), Reidel, Dordrecht, 1985, pp. 41–101.
- [31] H.L. Petersen and O.B.G. Madsen, The double travelling salesman problem with multiple stacks – Formulation and heuristic solution approaches, *European Journal of Operational Research* **198**(1) (2009), 139–147.
- [32] A. Pnueli, A. Lempel, and S. Even, Transitive orientation of graphs and identification of permutation graphs, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **23** (1971), 160–175.
- [33] B.F. Smith, P.E. Bjørstad, and W.D. Gropp, *Domain Decomposition. Parallel multilevel methods for elliptic partial differential equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.