

## High-performance computing for classic gravitational $N$ -body systems

R. CAPUZZO-DOLCETTA

*Departimento di Fisica, Sapienza, Università di Roma - Rome, Italy*

(ricevuto il 15 Maggio 2009; pubblicato online il 6 Agosto 2009)

**Summary.** — The role of gravity is crucial in astrophysics. It determines the evolution of any system, over an enormous range of time and space scales. Astronomical stellar systems as composed of  $N$  interacting bodies represent examples of self-gravitating systems, usually treatable with the aid of Newtonian gravity but for particular cases. In this note I will briefly discuss some of the open problems in the dynamical study of classic self-gravitating  $N$ -body systems, over the astronomical range of  $N$ . I will also point out how modern research in this field compulsorily requires a heavy use of large-scale computations, due to the contemporary requirement of high-precision and high computational speed.

PACS 02.70.Ns – Molecular dynamics and particle methods.

PACS 95.10.Ce – Celestial mechanics (including  $n$ -body problems).

PACS 98.10.+z – Stellar dynamics and kinematics.

### 1. – Introduction

In terrestrial physics gravity is, of course, important but not difficult to account for because it simply corresponds to an external constant field to add to other more complicated interactions among the constituents of the system under study. The physical systems on Earth are not self-gravitating, and this corresponds to an enormous simplification. In an astrophysical context, it is no more so. Astronomical objects are self-gravitating; their shape, volume and dynamics are determined mainly by self-gravity. It acts, often, in conjunction with the external gravity due to the presence of other bodies, which makes the object under study moving on some orbit, and influences its shape, at least in its outskirts, by means of tidal interactions.

A simple parameter to measure how much self-gravity contributes to the whole energetics of a given system may be the ratio,  $\alpha$ , between the self-gravitation energy of the system and the energy given by the external gravitation field where the system is embedded in. For a typical terrestrial system like the Garda lake  $\alpha \simeq 10^{-8}$ , while for two astronomical systems (a typical globular cluster moving in a galaxy and a typical galaxy in a galaxy cluster)  $\alpha \simeq 10^{-2}$ : a million times greater. Apart from the other,

obvious, differences (a lake is composed of a liquid, where the collisional time scale is negligible with respect to any other time scale in the system, while the globular cluster and the galaxy are composed of stars moving in volumes such that the collisional 2-body time scale is comparable to, in the case of globular cluster, or much longer than, in the case of galaxy, the system orbital time and age), it is clear that while the lake molecules mutual gravitational interactions are negligible with respect to the external field, this is not the case for the stars in globular clusters or galaxies.

## 2. – Astronomical $N$ -body systems

As stated in the Introduction, self-gravity cannot be neglected when dealing with physics of astronomical objects. This makes theoretical astrophysics a hard field: astrophysical systems are intrinsically difficult to study, even in Newtonian approximation, because of the *double divergence* of the, simple, two-body interaction potential,  $U_{ij} \propto 1/r_{ij}$ , where  $r_{ij}$  is the Euclidean distance between the  $i$  and  $j$  particle,  $r_{ij} = \sqrt{(x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2}$ . *Ultra-violet* divergence corresponds to very close encounters, *infra-red* divergence to the fact that the gravitational interaction never vanishes. These divergences introduce a multiplicity of time scales [1] and make it impossible to rely on statistical mechanics and/or to non-perturbative methods, as often done in other particle-systems physics. Actually, the newtonian  $N$ -body dynamics is mathematically represented by the system of  $N$ , second-order, differential equations

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_i = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N G \frac{m_j}{r_{ij}^3} (\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i), \\ \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i(0) = \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i0}, \\ \mathbf{r}_i(0) = \mathbf{r}_{i0}, \\ (i = 1, 2, \dots, N). \end{cases}$$

This dynamical system is characterized by: i)  $O(N^2)$  complexity, ii) being far from linearity, iii) having few constraints in the phase-space. Sundman [2] in 1912 showed that, for  $N = 3$ , there exists a series solution in powers of  $t^{1/3}$  convergent for all  $t$ , except for initial data which correspond to zero angular momentum (unfortunately, the King Oscar II Prize had already been awarded to H. Poincaré...). This result was generalized to any  $N$  only in 1991 by [3]. Anyway, the power series solutions are so slow in convergence to be useless for practical use. This means that the gravitational  $N$ -body problem must be attacked numerically. The difficulties in doing this are, contemporarily, *theoretical* and *practical*. On the *theoretical* point of view, one has to face the chaotic behaviour of the nonlinear system which is related to the extreme sensitivity of the system's differential equations to the initial conditions: a very small initial difference may result in an enormous change in the long-term behaviour of the system. Celestial dynamics gives, indeed, one of the oldest examples of chaos in physics. This problem is almost unsolvable; it may be just kept under some control by using sophisticated, high-order time integration algorithms. On the *practical* side, the (obvious) greatest complication to face is due to the infrared (large scale) divergence, that implies the need of computing all the  $\propto N^2$  force interactions between the pairs in the systems. This results in an extremely demanding computational task, when  $N$  is large (see table I).

TABLE I. – *Some typical astronomical systems, with their star number ( $N$ ), number of floating point operations needed for the force evaluations in a single system configuration ( $n_f$ ) and CPU time required to the  $n_f$  operations by a single processor of 1 Gflops speed ( $t_{\text{CPU}}$ , in seconds). Note that  $1.8 \times 10^{14} \text{ s} \simeq 5.7 \text{ My!}$*

| System           | $N$       | $n_f$                | $t_{\text{CPU}}$     |
|------------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Open cluster     | 1000      | $1.5 \times 10^7$    | 0.02                 |
| Globular cluster | $10^5$    | $1.5 \times 10^{11}$ | 180                  |
| Galaxy           | $10^{11}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{23}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{14}$ |

We will now discuss some of the problems arising when dealing with the numerical study of the evolution of self-gravitating systems over the astronomical range of  $N$ .

### 3. – Small- and large- $N$ systems

On the small- $N$  side ( $N \leq 10$ , example: Solar System) the problem is not that of enormous CPU time consumption, for the number of pairs is small, but, rather, that of the need of an enormous precision. This to keep the round-off error within acceptable bounds when integrating over many orbital times. In the case of few bodies, reliable investigations cannot accept the point mass scheme (for instance, the Sun potential requires a multipole expansion) and high-precision codes are compulsory. Pair force evaluation is computationally cheap due to the low number of pairs; on the other side, even very small round-off errors increase secularly, time step by time step, making high-order symplectic integration algorithms unavoidable. The need is: a fast computer, able to handle with motion integration over a very extended time and able to evaluate forces with enormous precision.

We do not speak any further of the few-body regime, which is the realm of modern celestial mechanics and space dynamics, but go to say something on the problem of intermediate- and large- $N$ -body systems, task which is typical of the modern stellar dynamics, instead. Force computation by pairs is computationally expensive, the most demanding part being the evaluation of the distance  $r_{ij}$  between the generic  $i$  and  $j$  particle. It requires the computation of a square root which, still with modern computers, is based on ancient methods among which Erone's method, Bombelli's method and the Newton-Raphson numerical solution of the quadratic equation  $x^2 - r_{ij}^2 = 0$ . In any case, the single pair force evaluation requires about 30 floating point operations; this means that in an  $N$ -body system,  $n_f = 30 \times N(N - 1)/2$  floating point operations are required. A single processor (PE) with a speed of 1 Gflops would compute the single pair force in  $\sim 3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}$ . Consequently, the whole  $N$  star forces would require the time indicated in table I for their evaluation at every time step. Clearly, the task of following numerically the long-term evolution of a large- $N$ -body system by a program based on direct summation of pair forces is very far out of the capability even of the most performing computers. Actually, the profiling of any computer code to integrate  $N$ -body evolution indicates that about 70% of the CPU time is spent in force evaluation.

What strategies must be used, then?

The most natural way to attack the problem is a proper combination of the following ingredients: i) simplification of the interaction force calculation; ii) reduction of the

number of times that the forces have to be evaluated, by a proper variation of the time step both in space and in time; iii) use the most powerful (parallel) computers available. Points i) and ii) require high-level numerical analysis, point iii) requires the solution of the, not easy, problem of parallelizing an  $N$ -body code.

The simplification of force calculation may be done by means of the introduction of space grids, both for computing the large-scale component of the gravitational force via the solution of the Poisson's equation (with Fast-Fourier codes, for example) and for the dynamic subdivision of the space domain with a recursive, octal tree to take computational advantage by a multipole expansion of the interaction potential (approach first used by [4]). These are two of the possibilities to reduce the particle-particle (PP) force evaluation to a particle-mesh (PM) or particle-particle-particle-mesh (P3M) approach, with obvious computational advantages (see [5] for a general discussion). In addition to the complications introduced in the computer code, a clear limit of this procedure is the error introduced in the force evaluation, which can be reduced, over the small scale, by keeping a direct PP force evaluation for close neighbours. Point ii), time stepping variation, relies mainly on the use of individual (per particle) time steps. Particles are advanced with a time step proper to the individual acceleration felt, allowing a reduction in highly dynamical cases without stopping the overall calculation. Unfortunately, individual time stepping requires careful implementation to guarantee synchronous integration and implies, often, a reduction of order of precision of the integration method. Finally, the parallelization of gravitational codes (point iii)) is difficult, because gravity is such that the force on every particle depends on the position of all the others. This makes a domain decomposition such to release a balanced computational weight to the various PEs of a parallel machine non-trivial. In this context, it is relevant to note that many active groups of research chose to use "dedicated" parallel architectures, which act as boosters of specific computations, like those of the distances between particles. This is the road opened by the Japanese GRAPE group, lead by Makino (see [6]). Another, intriguing, possibility is the use of Graphic Processing Units (GPUs) as cheap alternatives to dedicated systems. GPUs are used to speed up force computations and give high computing performances at much lower costs, especially in cases where double precision is not required. This is the choice explored in astrophysics first by S. Portegies Zwart and his dutch group [7]. Capuzzo-Dolcetta and collaborators in Italy have implemented a direct  $N$ -body code using as force evaluation accelerator the brand new NVIDIA TESLA C1060 GPU and with a sophisticated 6th-order symplectic integration performed by the host [8].

## REFERENCES

- [1] AARSETH S., in *Multiple Time Scales*, edited by BRACKBILL J. U. and COHEN B. J. (Academic Press, New York) 1985, pp. 377-418.
- [2] SUNDMAN K. E., *Acta Math.*, **36** (1912) 105.
- [3] WANG Q., *Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron.*, **50** (1991) 73.
- [4] BARNES J. and HUT P., *Nature*, **324** (1986) 446.
- [5] HOCKNEY R. W. and EASTWOOD J. W., *Computer Simulation Using Particles* (Hilger, Bristol) 1988.
- [6] MAKINO J., *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.*, **43** (1991) 621.
- [7] BELLEMAN R. G., BDORF J. and PORTEGIES ZWART S. F., *New Astron.*, **13** (2008) 103.
- [8] CAPUZZO-DOLCETTA R., MASCHIETTI D. and MASTROBUONO-BATTISTI A., 2009, in preparation.