

## SUSY searches at LHC with the CMS detector

M. PROPPI on behalf of the CMS COLLABORATION

*Imperial College London - London, UK*

(ricevuto il 29 Luglio 2011; pubblicato online il 21 Dicembre 2011)

**Summary.** — The cascade decay of heavy supersymmetric particles is expected to produce energetic jets, missing transverse momentum and leptons. A selection of the search strategies employed in CMS are described and the results obtained with the  $35 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  of data recorded in 2010 are presented. The data did not show any significant deviation with respect to the expectation from Standard Model processes. The limits on the existence of supersymmetric particles set by TeVatron and LEP experiments are abundantly extended.

PACS 12.60.Jv – Supersymmetric models.

### 1. – Introduction

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics has been enormously successful in describing all phenomena at the highest attainable energies thus far. Yet, it is widely believed to be only an effective description of a more complete theory which is valid at the highest energy scales. Of particular theoretical interest is SuperSymmetry (SUSY) [1, 2] which solves the hierarchy problem of the SM by compensating for each of the fermionic and bosonic degrees of freedom in the SM with a supersymmetric bosonic and fermionic degree of freedom, respectively. The resulting superfields have the same quantum numbers as their SM counterparts, except for spin. Since no SUSY particle has been observed so far, they must have higher masses than their SM partners, implying that SUSY is a broken symmetry. At the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN, supersymmetric particles, if they exist, are predicted to be produced dominantly via strong interaction, through the fusion of two gluons into a pair of gluinos, a pair of squarks, or a gluino and a squark.

The LHC, with a proton-proton  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ , is a copious source of high-energy partons which allows to probe squark and gluino masses beyond the limits previously set at LEP and at the TeVatron. Squarks and gluinos initiate a decay cascade in which quarks, leptons and photons are produced, until the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is created. The dynamics of the expected cascade depends on the SUSY model under consideration, and in particular on the masses of the supersymmetric particles.

In these proceedings, the emphasis is put on the search for SUSY in final states fully hadronic, with two leptons with the same sign and with two photons. The results presented in these proceedings are based on the data collected by the CMS experiment [3] in 2010 ( $\sim 35 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ ).

## 2. – Search for SuperSymmetry in All-Hadronic final state

In the decay of gluinos and squarks there is an abundant production of hadronic jets while the presence of leptons and photons is not guaranteed, thus the production of all hadronic final states is the most frequent final state deriving from SUSY particles. In CMS, three complementary approaches are followed. The jets + Missing Energy analysis, described elsewhere [4], consists of looking for an excess of multi-jet events at high Missing Energy. This approach is the most efficient of the three, but requires the QCD background to be accurately controlled. The razor analysis [5] relies on the kinematical properties of the production of two heavy particles. A third method based on the kinematical properties of the QCD background [6] has been developed. With respect the other two approaches, this analysis is very robust against jet energy mis-measurement which is the most important source of fake missing transverse energy. The data sample used in this analysis is recorded using a trigger based on the scalar sum (HT) of the transverse energy ( $E_T$ ) of jets reconstructed at the trigger level. The pseudo-rapidity of the leading jet is required to be within  $|\eta| < 2.5$  and the transverse energies of each of the two leading jets must exceed 100 GeV. Events with isolated photon with  $P_T > 25$  GeV or isolated leptons (electron or muon) with transverse  $P_T > 10$  GeV are vetoed. Jet mis-measurements are potentially the dominant source of (fake) missing transverse energy in the events from the QCD background. To control this background and to separate it from a genuine missing energy signal, a variable which is robust against energy mis-measurements,  $\alpha_T = E_T^{j2}/M_T$  [7], is used. For events with two jets,  $E_T^{j2}$  is the transverse energy of the jet with the lower  $E_T$  in the event and  $M_T$  is the transverse mass of the di-jet system. For a perfectly-measured di-jet event, with  $E_T^{j1} = E_T^{j2}$  and jets back-to-back in  $\phi$ , and in the limit where the jet momenta are large compared to their masses, the value of  $\alpha_T$  is nearly 0.5 (fig. 1 left). In case of an imbalance of the measured transverse energies,  $\alpha_T$  takes on values  $< 0.5$ . For larger jet multiplicities, the  $n$ -jet system is reduced to a di-jet system by combining the jets in the event into two pseudo-jets. The chosen combination is the one which minimizes the  $E_T$  difference of the two pseudo-jets. To protect against multiple jets failing the  $> 50$  GeV threshold, the jet-based estimate of the missing energy,  $MHT = |-\sum_{\text{jets}} \vec{P}_{T\text{jets}}|$ , is compared to the calorimeter tower-based estimate of the missing energy,  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ , and events with  $MHT/E_T^{\text{miss}} > 1.25$  are rejected. In the signal region (HT  $> 350$  GeV) 13 events pass the requirements. The total background is estimated with the ratio of the numbers of events which pass and fail the  $\alpha_T$  selection requirement ( $R_{\alpha_T}$ ). The total number of background events with  $\alpha_T > 0.55$  from SM processes in the signal region is therefore the product of the extrapolated  $R_{\alpha_T}$  in the signal region with the number of events with  $\alpha_T < 0.55$  and HT  $> 350$  GeV. This estimate ( $9.4_{-4.0}^{+4.8}$  (stat)  $\pm 1.0$  (syst)) is found to be compatible with the observed number of events in data after the full cut-flow. An upper limit on the number of non-SM events compatible with the measurements is derived in this section using the Profile Likelihood method. To interpret the consistency of the observed number of events with the background expectation in the context of a model, and also to facilitate comparison with previous experimental results, an exclusion limit in the CMSSM [8] is set.




Fig. 1. – Left:  $\alpha_T$  distribution in data and simulation for events with only 2 reconstructed jets. Right: 95% CL exclusion contour at NLO in the CMSSM ( $m_0, m_{1/2}$ )-plane ( $\tan\beta = 3$ ,  $A_0 = 0$  GeV,  $\text{sign}(\mu) > 0$ ).

The 95% CL limit for  $\tan\beta = 3$  and  $A_0 = 0$  is shown in fig. 1 (right) and the excluded region significantly extends the previous limits from TeVatron and LEP experiments.

### 3. – Search for SuperSymmetry in same-sign di-lepton final state

Same-sign isolated lepton-pairs are very rare in SM but appear very naturally in many supersymmetric scenarios [9-11]. In order to maximize the sensitivity to the presence of new physics from SUSY, four different search regions are defined [12]: 1)  $HT > 200$  GeV,  $E_T^{miss} > 30$  GeV,  $l_1 > 20$  GeV,  $l_2 > 10$  GeV with  $l_{1,2} = e, \mu$ , 2)  $HT > 60$  GeV,  $E_T^{miss} > 80$  GeV,  $l_1 > 20$  GeV,  $l_2 > 10$  GeV with  $l_{1,2} = e, \mu$ , 3)  $HT > 300$  GeV,  $E_T^{miss} > 30$  GeV,  $e > 10$  GeV,  $\mu > 5$  GeV, 4)  $HT > 350$  GeV,  $E_T^{miss} > 50$  GeV,  $e > 10$  GeV,  $\mu > 5$  GeV,  $\tau > 15$  GeV. The first two search regions are selected with single electron/muon triggers while the last two regions are selected with a pure HT trigger that allows to explore the phase space of low- $P_T$  electrons and muons, as well as final states with hadronic  $\tau$  decays. In all the regions both the leptons must be isolated. Backgrounds in all of our searches are dominated by one or two jets mimicking the lepton signature. Such lepton candidates can be real leptons from heavy flavor decay, electrons from unidentified photon conversions, muons from meson decays-in-flight, hadrons reconstructed as leptons, or jet fluctuations leading to hadronic  $\tau$  signatures. Backgrounds from jets mimicking leptons are estimated using the so-called “Tight-Loose” (TL) method [13]. In this method a probability, for a lepton passing loose cuts to also pass the tight analysis cuts is measured in QCD multi-jet events. To predict the background from real lepton + jets in a signal region, the TL probability is applied to a sample of di-lepton events satisfying all requirements but where one of the leptons fails the tight cuts and passes the loose cuts. A second potentially important source of background consists of opposite-sign di-lepton events with at least one electron where the sign of the charge of a reconstructed electron is mis-measured because of hard bremsstrahlung in the tracker volume. The probability of mis-measuring the charge of the electron is obtained in data by selecting a sample of  $Z \rightarrow ee$  events. The background due to charge mis-identification is estimated by scaling the opposite-sign yields by the above probability. Figure 2(left) summarizes the signal region yields and background composition in all four search regions. Estimates for backgrounds due to events with one or two fake leptons and from electron charge mis-



for this search [16] is, therefore, two isolated high- $E_T$  photons, at least one hadronic jet, and  $E_T^{miss}$ . Photon candidates are required to be isolated and to have  $E_T \geq 30$  GeV and  $|\eta| \leq 1.4$ . At least one jet with  $E_T > 30$  GeV and  $E_T^{miss} > 50$  GeV are required.

The SUSY signal can be mimicked in several ways. The dominant contribution comes from mis-measurement of  $E_T^{miss}$  in QCD processes such as direct di-photon, photon plus jets, and multi-jet production, with jets mimicking photons in the latter two cases (QCD background). The strategy for determining this background is to use control samples which are kinematically similar to the candidate sample while having no real  $E_T^{miss}$ . The control sample contains events with two electrons with invariant mass between 70 and 110 GeV, and is dominated by the  $Z \rightarrow ee$  decays.

The second background comes from events with real  $E_T^{miss}$ . It is dominated by the events with a real or fake photon and a  $W$  that decays into a neutrino and an electron, with the latter mis-identified as a photon (EWK background). Since all components of this background involve electron-photon mis-identification, in order to estimate its contribution to the signal sample, we weight the  $e\gamma$  sample with  $f_{e \rightarrow \gamma}/(1 - f_{e \rightarrow \gamma})$  where  $f_{e \rightarrow \gamma}$  is the probability to mis-identify an electron as a photon. Figure 3 (left) shows the  $E_T^{miss}$  distribution for the selected events and the expected background. Only 1 event is observed in the region with  $E_T^{miss} \geq 50$  and the predicted background is  $1.2 \pm 0.8$ . Based on the probability to observe zero or one event at the predicted signal cross section, the 95% CL exclusion regions shown in fig. 3 (right) for GGM SUSY is determined in the squark-gluino mass plane for various choices of the  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  neutralino mass.

\* \* \*

I would like to thank the members of the CMS Collaboration as well as the members of the CERN accelerator department.

## REFERENCES

- [1] WESS J. and ZUMINO B., *Nucl. Phys. B*, **70** (1974) 39.
- [2] NILLES H. P., *Phys. Rep.*, **110** (1984) 1.
- [3] CMS COLLABORATION, *JINST*, **0803** (2008) S08004.
- [4] CMS COLLABORATION, *CMS-PAS-SUS-10-005* (2011).
- [5] CMS COLLABORATION, *CMS-PAS-SUS-10-009* (2011).
- [6] CMS COLLABORATION, *Phys. Lett. B*, **698** (2011) 196.
- [7] RANDALL L. and TUCKER-SMITH D., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **101** (2008) 221803.
- [8] KANE G. L. *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D*, **49** (1994) 6173.
- [9] BARNETT R. M. *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B*, **315** (1993) 349.
- [10] GUHAIT M. and ROY D. P., *Phys. Rev. D*, **52** (1995) 133.
- [11] BAER H. *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D*, **53** (1996) 6241.
- [12] CMS COLLABORATION, *JHEP*, **1106** (2011) 077.
- [13] CMS COLLABORATION, *CMS PAS-SUS-10-001* (2010).
- [14] MEADE P. *et al.*, hep-ph (arXiv:0801.3278v3).
- [15] BUICAN M. *et al.*, hep-ph (arXiv:0812.3668v4).
- [16] CMS COLLABORATION, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **106** (2011) 211802.