Colloquia: TOP2011

Top quark spin correlations—Theory

S. J. PARKE

Theoretical Physics Department, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory Batavia IL 60510, USA

ricevuto l' 1 Marzo 2012 pubblicato online l'1 Giugno 2012

Summary. — The theoretical aspects of spin correlations in top quark pair production are briefly reviewed.

PACS 14.65.Ha - Top quarks.

The top quark decay width $(G_F m_t^3 \sim 1 \text{ GeV})$ is much larger than the QCD hadronization scale ($\Lambda_{QCD} \sim 0.1 \text{ GeV}$) and much larger than the spin decorrelation scale ($\Lambda_{QCD}^2/m_t \sim 0.1 \text{ MeV}$). Therefore, spin correlations in top quark pair production are reflected in angular correlations of the decay products, see [1] and [2].

1. – Top quark pair production

1¹. Quark-antiquark annihilation or unlike helicity gluon fusion. – For top quark pair production via quark-antiquark annihilation or unlike helicity gluon fusion, there exists a spin axis such that the top quarks are produced in only the up-down or down-up configuration, *i.e.* parallel, since the spin axes are back to back,

(1)
$$q_L \bar{q}_R, \quad q_L \bar{q}_R, \quad g_L g_R, \quad g_R g_L \to t_U t_D + t_D t_U.$$

No combinations $t_U \bar{t}_U$ or $t_D \bar{t}_D$ are produced, see fig. 1. This spin basis is known as the Off-Diagonal basis, see [3] and [4], and the spin axis makes an angle Ω wrt to the top quark momentum direction in the ZM frame. This angle is given by

(2)
$$\tan \Omega = (1 - \beta^2) \tan \theta = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \tan \theta,$$

where the speed and the scattering angle of the top quark are given by β and θ , respectively. Note, at threshold, $\Omega = \theta$ at the spin axis is aligned along the beamline, whereas at ultra-high energies, $\Omega = 0$ and the spin axis is aligned along the direction of motion of the top quark (ZMF helicity).

© Società Italiana di Fisica

111

S. J. PARKE




Fig. 1. – Spin correlations for the production of top quark pairs via unlike helicity gluon fusion or quark-antiquark annihilation.

1[•]2. *Like-helicity gluon fusion*. – For top quark pair production via like-helicity gluon fusion in the helicity basis, the top quarks are produced in only the left-left or right-right configuration, *i.e.* anti-parallel,

(3)
$$g_L g_L, \qquad g_R g_R \to t_L t_L + t_R t_R$$

No combinations $t_L \bar{t}_R$ or $t_R \bar{t}_L$ are produced in this process, see fig. 2.

2. – Polarized top quark decay

The decay products from a polarized top quark have their moment vectors correlated with the top quark spin axis as follows:

(4)
$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_T} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\chi_i} = (1 + \alpha_i \cos\chi_i)/2, \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_i = \begin{cases} +1.0 & (+0.998) \quad l^+, \\ +1.0 & (+0.966) \quad \bar{d}\text{-quark}, \\ -0.31 & (-0.314) \quad \bar{\nu}, \\ -0.31 & (-0.317) \quad u\text{-quark}, \\ -0.41 & (-0.393) \quad b\text{-quark} \end{cases}$$

at LO with the NLO results, see [5], in parenthesis. χ_i is the angle between the decay product and the spin axis in the top quark rest frame.




Fig. 2. – Spin correlations for the production of top quark pairs via like-helicity gluon fusion.

TOP QUARK SPIN CORRELATIONS—THEORY

3. – Understanding the full correlated matrix element squared

In top quark rest frame, denote the polar and azimuthal angles of the charged lepton from top quark decay wrt to the top spin axis by χ and ϕ , respectively. Whereas for the anti-top quark these angles wrt to the anti-top quark spin axis by $\bar{\chi}$ and $\bar{\phi}$ respectively. ϕ and $\bar{\phi}$ are determined wrt to the scattering plane. Then for quark-antiquark annihilation, the correlated part of the full matrix element squared, apart from over all factor $(b \cdot \nu)(\bar{b} \cdot \bar{\nu})$, is given by

(5)
$$|\mathcal{A}|_{LR}^2 + |\mathcal{A}|_{RL}^2 \sim \left[(2 - \beta^2 s_\theta^2) (1 + c_\chi c_{\bar{\chi}}) + \beta^2 s_\theta^2 s_\chi s_{\bar{\chi}} \cos\left(\phi + \bar{\phi}\right) \right]$$

in the Off-Diagonal bases. Also for unlike helicity gluon fusion

(6)
$$|\mathcal{A}|_{LR}^2 + |\mathcal{A}|_{RL}^2 \sim \frac{(7+9\beta^2c_{\theta}^2)}{(1-\beta^2c_{\theta}^2)^2}\beta^2 s_{\theta}^2 \left[(2-\beta^2s_{\theta}^2)(1+c_{\chi}c_{\bar{\chi}}) + \beta^2s_{\theta}^2s_{\chi}s_{\bar{\chi}}\cos\left(\phi+\bar{\phi}\right) \right]$$

again in the Off-Diagonal bases. Notice that the part of these two expressions between $[\cdots]$ are identical, so that these two expressions only differ by an overall factor.

Whereas for like helicity gluon fusion, we have

(7)
$$|\mathcal{A}|_{LL}^2 + |\mathcal{A}|_{RR}^2 \sim \frac{(7+9\beta^2 c_{\theta}^2)}{(1-\beta^2 c_{\theta}^2)^2} (1-\beta^2) \left[(1+\beta^2)(1-c_{\chi}c_{\bar{\chi}}) - (1-\beta^2)s_{\chi}s_{\bar{\chi}}\cos\left(\phi-\bar{\phi}\right) \right]$$

in the helicity basis. So the full correlation is determined by the angles of the charge leptons (or down type quarks) wrt the spin axis and momentum conservation. The term proportional to $\cos(\phi - \bar{\phi}) (\cos(\phi + \bar{\phi}))$ is the interference terms between the $t_L \bar{t}_L$ and $t_R \bar{t}_R (t_U \bar{t}_D \text{ and } t_D \bar{t}_U)$ for like-helicity gluon fusion (unlike helicity gluon fusion or quark-antiquark annihilation). Equations (5), (6) and (7) can be easily derived from eqs. (B1), (47) and (48) of ref. [4], respectively.

For the uncorrelated case, just set $c_{\chi}c_{\bar{\chi}}$ and $\cos(\phi \pm \bar{\phi})$ equal to their uncorrelated average values, zero! The expressions for arbitrary spin axis can be found in the slides presented at this workshop.

4. – Observables

4¹. The effects of spin correlations. – The dominant effect of the spin correlations is to correlated the angles of the decay products between the top quark and antitop quark, *i.e.* between χ_i and $\bar{\chi}_{\bar{i}}$. This correlation is given by

(8)
$$\frac{1}{\sigma_T} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \sigma}{\mathrm{d} \cos \chi_i \, \mathrm{d} \cos \bar{\chi}_{\bar{i}}} = \frac{1}{4} (1 + C_{t\bar{t}} \alpha_i \alpha_{\bar{i}} \cos \chi_i \cos \bar{\chi}_{\bar{i}}),$$

where

nere
$$C_{t\bar{t}} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow} + \sigma_{\downarrow\downarrow} - \sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow} - \sigma_{\downarrow\uparrow}}{\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow} + \sigma_{\downarrow\downarrow} + \sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow} + \sigma_{\downarrow\uparrow}} = \begin{cases} -0.456 & (-0.389) & \text{Helicity at Tevatron,} \\ +0.910 & (+0.806) & \text{Beamline at Tevatron,} \\ +0.918 & (+0.913) & \text{Off-Diagonal at Tevatron,} \\ +0.305 & (+0.311) & \text{Helicity at LHC (14 TeV),} \end{cases}$$

at LO with NLO in parentheses, see [5]. At the LHC, the coefficient $C_{t\bar{t}}$ in the off-diagonal and beamline bases is small, < 0.10.

S. J. PARKE




Fig. 3. – The $\Delta \Phi$ distribution of di-lepton events assuming the true $m_{t\bar{t}} < 400 \,\text{GeV}$.

4². Azimuthal correlations. – However, there are interference effects between the various spin components of the top-antitop system, *e.g.* between $t_L \bar{t}_L$ and $t_R \bar{t}_R$ for like-helicity gluon fusion, which leads to azimuthal correlations between the decay products,

(9)
$$\frac{1}{\sigma_T} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Delta\phi} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - D\cos\Delta\phi),$$

where in the ZM frame, the azimuthal correlations along the production axis are given by

(10)
$$D = \begin{cases} +0.132 & \text{Tevatron,} \\ -0.353 & \text{LHC (14 TeV),} \end{cases}$$

from [6]. The azimuthal correlations about the beam axis in the Laboratory frame for the dilepton events are discussed in [4], see fig. 3. These azimuthal correlations maybe easier to observe than the other angular correlations.

* * *

Fermilab is operated by the Fermi Research Alliance under contract no. DE-AC02-07CH11359 with the U.S. Department of Energy.

REFERENCES

- [1] MAHLON G. and PARKE S. J., Phys. Rev. D, 53 (1996) 4886 [hep-ph/9512264].
- [2] STELZER T. and WILLENBROCK S., Phys. Lett. B, 374 (1996) 169 [hep-ph/9512292].
- [3] PARKE S. J. and SHADMI Y., Phys. Lett. B, 387 (1996) 199 [hep-ph/9606419]; MAHLON G. and PARKE S. J., Phys. Lett. B, 411 (1997) 173 [hep-ph/9706304].
- [4] MAHLON G. and PARKE S. J., Phys. Rev. D, 81 (2010) 074024 [arXiv:1001.3422 [hep-ph]].
- BERNREUTHER W., BRANDENBURG A., SI Z. G. and UWER P., Phys. Rev. Lett., 87 (2001) 242002 [hep-ph/0107086].
- [6] BERNREUTHER W. and SI Z.-G., Nucl. Phys. B, 837 (2010) 90 [arXiv:1003.3926 [hep-ph]].