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Introduction

Pain management in dentistry can be a real challenge. The key to managing pain lies in understanding whether what you do to patients will or will not create an inflammatory response, which is what activates the pain-producing mediators in tissue. Generally, procedures on hard tooth structure that do not involve the pulp create little or no inflammatory response. On the contrary, procedures that are performed on soft tissues are traumatic, and pain responses can be expected (1).

Oral medications that reduce pain, administered pre or postoperatively, improve clinical outcomes, making them an integral part of dental practice (2). Analgesic medications in dentistry are indicated for the relief of acute pain, postoperative pain, and chronic pain, and for controlling adjunctive intraoperative pain. In addition these medications can be given preoperatively, to mitigate both postoperative pain and reduce postoperative pain medication requirement (2).

Alleviating pain is of the utmost importance when treating dental patients, as it is prevalent and has far-reaching implications, for both the patient and the clinician (3). The major cause of pain is thought to be the release of inflammatory mediators that activate sensory nociceptors surrounding the tooth (4). The resultant stimulation of both central and peripheral mechanisms (5) is referred to as hyperalgesia and defined as an increase in perceived magnitude of a painful stimulus (6). Given that the mechanisms involved are occurring at the periphery, an anti-inflammatory agent should be used to control this process. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are among the most widely prescribed analgesics for management of postoperative pain in dental patients (7). NSAIDs that have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for OTC analgesic use can be divided into three groups: salicylates (i.e. aspirin, salicylic acid, diflunisal), propionic acid derivatives (i.e. ibuprofen, naproxen, and ketoprofen) and the para-aminophenol derivative acetaminophen.

The analgesic effect of NSAIDs is primarily the result of their inactivation of cyclo-oxygenase, an enzyme that converts arachidonic acid into eicosanoids such as prostaglandins and leukotrienes (8,9,10). Two forms of cyclooxygenase have been identified: COX-1, which is constitutive and exists in the stomach, intestines, kidneys, and platelets, and COX-2, which is expressed as part of the inflammatory process (11). Ibuprofen is a nonselective inhibitor of cyclooxygenase and is available as both a prescription and over-the-counter (OTC) product (12).

Conversely, celecoxib, introduced as a prescription drug in January 1999, selectively inhibits the COX-2 form of the enzyme (13). More recently, celecoxib was approved by the FDA for acute analgesia with directions to use 400 mg as an initial loading dose followed by 200 mg every 24 hours. Acetaminophen, a para-aminophenol derivative, posed a problem with regard to this classification, as it has analgesic and antipyretic actions but little or no anti-inflammatory activity (14); this led to the suggestion, several years ago, that there is a further COX in the brain, named COX-3 (15). To date, however, the existence of this putative COX-3 has not been proven, the presence of a COX-1 variant seeming more likely, even though the presence of another COX gene has not been ruled out (16).

The ability of NSAIDs to inhibit both COX-1 and COX-2 may increase the efficacy of this class of drugs. Indeed, when the lipoxin pathway is activated in the presence of COX-1 inhibitors, acetylation of the COX-2 enzyme occurs to inhibit further production of prostanoids through arachidonic acid metabolism while inducing the synthesis of 15-R-hydroxy-(p)-eicosatetraenoic acid that is transformed to 5(6)-epoxytetraene and then into 15-epi-lipoxins or into aspirin-triggered 15-epi-lipoxins (ATLs). Both 15-epi-lipoxins and ATLs control the resolution phase of acute inflammation and promote lesion healing (17,18). The generation of lipoxins or ATLs triggered by “first-phase” proinflammatory lipid mediators may explain the potentially serious cardiovascular consequences of the chronic use of selective COX-2 antagonists (see later). Inflammation is a multifactorial process, therefore a single “paninflammatory” agent cannot antagonise all deleterious pathways involved while preserving the resolution pathways (19).

While COX-2 inhibitors may provide a therapeutic advantage for patients requiring chronic NSAID therapy who...
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are at increased risk of developing gastrointestinal (GI) adverse events, for the routine treatment of moderate to severe acute pain in the general population, ibuprofen provides faster and better analgesic efficacy without any apparent increased safety risk. Ibuprofen has been proven to be safe and effective in the relief of postoperative dental pain in adults.

Ibuprofen pharmacology

Ibuprofen, a 2-proprionic acid derivative discovered by the research arm of the British Boots Group in the 1960s, is a peripherally acting analgesic with a potent anti-inflammatory action that works through a reversible and balanced COX-1/COX-2 inhibition (20). Previously, Seymour and Walton documented that drugs with both analgesic and anti-inflammatory action are able to control postoperative dental pain (21). Indeed, ibuprofen has been evaluated extensively in postoperative dental pain and several studies support its efficacy (22,23,24).

Ibuprofen exists as a racemic mixture of both R(-) and S(+) enantiomers, and its anti-inflammatory, analgesic and anti-platelet effects (determined by cyclooxygenase inhibition) are related to the S(+) enantiomer (25,26,27). By contrast R(-) ibuprofen is less active as a prostaglandin (PG) synthesis inhibitor but has shown some pharmacological properties relevant to the anti-inflammatory actions of ibuprofen (28). However, 50-60% of the R(-)-form of ibuprofen is metabolically converted to the S(+) form in the intestinal tract and liver after oral absorption (29). The pharmacokinetic profile of ibuprofen has been examined in both single-dose and multiple-dose studies in children. Following a single dose of 5mg/kg and 10mg/kg ibuprofen in children aged between 2 and 11 years, peak plasma concentrations of ibuprofen were achieved in under 2 hours with a half-life of less than 2 hours (30). Multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of ibuprofen (20-40mg/kg/day ibuprofen syrup in divided doses), administered to children affected by juvenile arthritis with a mean age of 8.8 years (range 1.5-16 years), indicate that, at steady state, peak plasma levels of 100-150 mol/l are achieved within 1-2 hours after dosing with a half-life of 2 hours (31). These data indicate that the pharmacokinetic profile of ibuprofen is not different in younger and older children and that the pharmacokinetic profile of ibuprofen in children is similar to that observed in adults.

The anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties of NSAIDs result primarily from their blocking of cyclooxygenase activity at the site of tissue injury, leading to the inhibition of PG synthesis (32) and the secondary inhibition of the sensitisation of nociceptive nerve endings (33). However, other independent effects have also been documented. Nielsen et al. (34), in a clinical trial, suggested that ibuprofen might also act centrally on PG release, or have a direct effect on peripheral nerve endings (35).

Moreover, it has been demonstrated in experimental studies that several NSAIDs, such as ibuprofen, ketorolac, and flurbiprofen, are able to inhibit the fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH), the enzyme that degrades anandamide (36, 37) and leads to increased anandamide levels. In addition, it has been demonstrated that the combination of ibuprofen with anandamide produced a synergistic analgesic effect in the formalin test which is mediated by CB1 and partially by CB2 cannabinoid receptors (38).

Indeed, this modulation of the endogenous cannabinoids, through blocking of FAAH, conferred a better anti-nociceptive effect than endocannabinoids given alone (39). Another mechanism of action involved the beta-endorphin that is secreted in response both to surgical stress and during postoperative pain (40). Dionne and McCullagh, in 1998, found that the administration of ibuprofen following pain onset in an oral surgery model resulted in decreased plasma beta-endorphin levels coinciding with a reduction in pain. They suggested that ibuprofen suppresses pituitary beta-endorphin release and produces analgesia, presumably by suppressing nociceptive activation of the pituitary-adrenal axis (41).

Another reported effect of NSAIDs is reduction of oedema, which is a typical sign of tissue injury-induced inflammation during the acute postoperative sequelae of dental procedures. Ibuprofen at both 1200 mg daily for three days and 2400 mg daily for two days significantly suppressed oedema formation 48 hours after oral surgery (42,43), even though better efficacy has been documented with ibuprofen 1200 mg per day plus 32 mg of methylprednisolone (44).

Absorption and distribution

Ibuprofen is rapidly absorbed from the upper gastrointestinal tract (Tmax <0.25 hours for granules and about 2 hours for tablets) even though absorption is delayed if ibuprofen is administered with food (45). The plasma S/R ratio is dependent on the time-release characteristics of the drug, higher ratios being obtained with sustained-release compared with immediate-release formulations. Like most other NSAIDs, ibuprofen has a short half-life (2.1 hours) (Table 1), which, even should repeated administration is required, is able to reduce the development of side effects (see later).

Ibuprofen and the other NSAIDs tend to have similarly small values for total body clearance (0.01 to 0.05 L/kg/min) and volume of distribution (10 to 15 L for an individual weighing 70 kg), and extensive binding to plasma proteins (90 to 99%; except for acetaminophen, which is approximately 20% bound) (46,47,48).

Moreover, Seymour et al. (49), evaluating patients with postoperative pain after third molar surgery, reported that soluble ibuprofen 400 mg provided an earlier onset of pain relief (20 min) compared with ibuprofen tablets (30 min). This finding may be related to differences in the pharmacokinetic profiles of the soluble and tablet formulations of the drug. Indeed, soluble ibuprofen produces an earlier and more rapid onset of action than the usual tablets.

Table 1 - Half-lives of NSAIDs in healthy patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Half-life (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketoprofen</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flurbiprofen</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketorolac</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naproxen</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celecoxib</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piroxicam</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
greater peak plasma concentration of ibuprofen than the tablet form, suggesting that the rate of absorption of ibuprofen is an important determinant of the drug’s efficacy. In agreement with this, Sharma et al. (50) demonstrated, in 50 dental outpatients requiring surgical removal of lower third molar teeth, that the effervescent granule formulation of ibuprofen 600 mg is preferable to the conventional tablet form for managing immediate postoperative dental pain because of its faster onset of analgesic action (Figure 1).

Metabolism and excretion
Like other NSAIDs, ibuprofen is extensively metabolized in the liver, principally through cytochrome enzymes P450 2C9 (CYP-2C9), CYP-2C8 and 2C19 participating in the oxidation of the alkyl side chain to hydroxyl and carboxyl derivatives.

Impaired liver metabolism in patients with moderate to severe cirrhosis leads to prolongation of the t1/2 to 3.1 h and 3.4 h for R(-) and S(+) ibuprofen, respectively, with evidence of reduced metabolic inversion of the R(-) to S(+) enantiomer (51). Alcoholic liver disease also prolongs the Tmax as well as the half life of the drug (52). Phase II metabolism involves formation of phenolic and acyl glucuronides (53) and a minor route of conjugation with taurine which is stereospecific to the S(+) enantiomer because of formation from the thioether CoA which participates in the R(-) to S(+) conversion (54). Biliary excretion in humans of unaltered drug and active phase II metabolites accounts for about 1% of the drug, which compares with the 50% accounted for by urinary excretion (55). The 15 known UDP-glucuronyl transferases that catalyse the formation of glucuronides in human liver have been shown to be controlled by five UGT1A and five UGT2B genes and the development of these proceeds from birth to 6 months of age (56).

Ibuprofen shows linear kinetics up to 1200 mg, therefore, within this dosage, the elimination is not saturable. In fact, a dose-dependent efficacy of ibuprofen 400, 600, and 800 mg has been reported in patients with postoperative dental pain (57). Serum concentrations of ibuprofen at 1, 2 and 3 hours after dosing were found to correlate with the global analgesic response. Moreover, a correlation has also been reported between dose and the area under the blood concentration-time curve (AUC), and the high dose is indeed associated with a high AUC; however, the availability of ibuprofen was similar for both the granule and the tablet formulations (58) (Figures 2 and 3).

Due to the very short half-life of the drug (2.1 hours), the
presence of liver or renal disease does not significantly increase the plasma AUC of ibuprofen and therefore the use of ibuprofen is associated with very low side effects. It is also important to underline that the pharmacokinetic parameters of ibuprofen in children <12 years old can be considered similar to those of young/middle-aged adults (Cmax: 35.8 g/mL; Tmax: 1 to 2 hours; volume of distribution: 0.22 to 0.27 L/kg; half-life 0.9 to 2.3 hours; drug plasma clearance 80 to 110 mL/h/kg; metabolism: CYP2C9 and 2C8).

Clinical implications
Efficacy
Endodontic pain management
Effective pain control is crucial in dentistry, and endodontics is no exception. Pain control particularly during the early phases of endodontic treatment is of paramount importance, and its achievement makes both the dentist and the patient confident and comfortable for the remainder of the treatment (59). Local anaesthesia is the primary method used in dentistry to control patient pain. However, a common clinical problem is the difficulty obtaining satisfactory anaesthesia of an acutely painful inflamed tooth by means of regional block anaesthesia in teeth with irreversible pulpitis (60). The lack of profound anaesthesia of an acutely painful inflamed tooth by means of regional block anaesthesia in teeth with irreversible pulpitis is the most likely explanation for the inability of a regional block to achieve profound anaesthesia in mandibular teeth. Problems in achieving profound regional block to achieve profound anaesthesia in mandibular teeth have been reported (70). Prostaglandins can affect tetrodotoxin-resistant sodium channels and decrease nerve responses to anaesthetic agents (71,72). Previous investigations have described the anti-inflammatory effects of ibuprofen and indomethacin (73,74). Several subtypes of sodium channels play important roles in mediating inflammatory pain, such as Nan 1.7, Nan 1.8, and Nan 1.9 (75). Prostaglandins play an important role in sodium channel augmentation during inflammation. Pretreatment with ibuprofen prevents up-regulation of the Nan 1.7 and Nan1.8 sodium channels. Ibuprofen has been used in previous investigations for pre or post-treatment analgesia (76,77). Seymour and Ward-Booth (78) evaluated various doses of ibuprofen (200 mg, 400 mg, and 600 mg) for the management of postoperative dental pain and reported a trend of higher pain relief in patients who had taken 400-mg doses. Parirokh reported that premedication with ibuprofen and indomethacin significantly increased the success rates of inferior alveolar nerve block anaesthesia in teeth with irreversible pulpitis. The overall success rates for the placebo, ibuprofen and indomethacin groups were 32%, 78% and 62%, respectively (79). Ibuprofen and indomethacin were significantly better than placebo (p < 0.01). There was no difference between ibuprofen and indomethacin (p = 0.24) (Figure 4). These data are similar to those reported by Ianiro et al. (76), who reported 46.2%, 71.4%, and 76.9% success rates for placebo, acetaminophen, and a combination of acetaminophen with ibuprofen, respectively. Taken together, the results of the above studies suggest that combinations of analgesics are not required and that the use of only one anti-inflammatory drug is just as effective as when combined with acetaminophen. Indomethacin is an NSAID with strong anti-inflammatory effects that is used for the management of moderate to severe muscular and joint pain. It has not been commonly used or recommended in endodontic therapy and has several side effects that should be considered before it is prescribed (80). In some studies, prophylactic administration of acetaminophen or an NSAID like ibuprofen has been shown to reduce or prevent postoperative dental pain (81). As regards the effects of ibuprofen or acetaminophen pre-
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Medication on the quality of anaesthesia in inflamed teeth during endodontic therapy, Modaresi et al. confirmed that ibuprofen is more effective in achieving profound anaesthesia (77). Ibuprofen premedication increases the depth of anaesthesia because of the COX pathway-blocking and PG-reducing effects of NSAIDs, which result in significant inhibition of stimulated nerve activity (82). Ibuprofen seems to be more effective in achieving a deep anaesthesia than acetaminophen-codeine. The analgesic efficacy of NSAIDs in inflammatory pain has been well established (83). NSAIDs have been shown to be effective for managing pain of inflammatory origin; by virtue of their binding to plasma proteins they actually exhibit increased delivery to inflamed tissue (81). Ibuprofen is vastly superior to acetaminophen-codeine and placebo (77). Preoperative administration of 800 mg ibuprofen 45 minutes before anaesthesia injection in patients with irreversible pulpsitis and partial necrosis is recommended in order to ensure profound anaesthesia and a comfortable experience for the patient.

It is well established that, in general, preoperative pain is the main factor determining the level of postoperative pain. One study reported that tooth pain is the most common form of pain in the oral-facial region (84). Another study demonstrated that 20% of patients have moderate to severe post-endodontic pain. NSAIDs, acetaminophen and opioids are active analgesics and can have additive effects when combined. Because opioids and NSAIDs produce analgesia by different mechanisms, the simple additive effect of administering an opioid in combination with an NSAID is often substantially greater than the analgesia achieved by doubling the dose of either drug administered alone (85). Dental pain is a complex process resulting from a combination of biological, biochemical, environmental, and psychogenic factors. Many factors can influence clinicians' decisions to prescribe analgesics to help combat their patients' postoperative pain. Currently, there is a gap in the endodontic literature with regard to the question of how particular endodontic diagnoses (surgical and nonsurgical), endodontic procedures, and perceived levels of patient pain might affect the choice of analgesic or combination of analgesics (non-narcotic and narcotic) prescribed. In a recent study it was hypothesised that ibuprofen would be used most often, regardless of the endodontic diagnosis, procedure rendered, or severity of perceived pain: 600 mg ibuprofen given four times per day was found to be the most preferred analgesic prescribed for patients regardless of their perceived level of pain, endodontic diagnosis, or treatment rendered. This result was statistically significant (86). Ibuprofen blocks both the COX-1 and the COX-2 enzymes, but has been shown to be safe and cost-effective with a highly effective analgesic and anti-inflammatory action in post-endodontic pain (87). The prescription of narcotics has gone up in the following conditions: postsurgical pain (28%), postoperative flare-up (31%), or severe pain associated with a necrotic pulp and acute periapical abscess (34%).

---

Table 2 - Evaluation of different doses of soluble ibuprofen tablets in postoperative dental pain. Distribution of the overall assessment scores for the various doses of ibuprofen and placebo as evaluated on a five-point global scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Very Good</th>
<th>Not recorded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen tablets 200mg*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen tablets 400mg*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen tablets 600mg*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble ibuprofen 200 mg*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble ibuprofen 200 mg*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble ibuprofen 200 mg*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant differences (p<0.05) in the distribution of scores from placebo treatment.

---

Figure 4 - Frequency distribution of the stages of failure and success among the three groups (placebo, ibuprofen, and indomethacin) based on patient-reported pain after anaesthesia and during access preparation (sample size in each group = 50).
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Sutherland and Matthews’ meta-analysis on the effectiveness of interventions used in the emergency management of acute apical periodontitis showed that preemptive NSAIDs in conjunction with pulpectomy provided a significant benefit (88). Endodontic pain is best managed by elimination of the source of the pain, as far as possible, along with judicious use of local anaesthetics and non-opioid or opioid analgesics (89).

Krasner and Jackson noted from their study that although pulpectomy eliminates the source of endodontic pain, postoperative pain and discomfort are fairly common side effects of endodontic treatment, a problem for 25 to 40% of all endodontic patients (90). Placing calcium hydroxide as an intra-canal medication can cause perceived postoperative discomfort for the patient. Several possible reasons why calcium hydroxide may contribute to postoperative pain are: coagulation necrosis, tissue dissolution, cytotoxicity, and bone necrosis. Although postoperative pain may be associated with calcium hydroxide placement, calcium hydroxide actually decreases production of arachidonic acid from membrane phospholipids, thus decreasing levels of prostaglandin E2 and decreasing pain.

Chong and Pitt Ford evaluated the pain experience following root-end resection and filling with mineral trioxide aggregate or intermediate restorative material (IRM). Thirty-seven percent of patients did not take any analgesics following treatment. In order of popularity, the analgesics taken were ibuprofen, acetaminophen, and acetaminophen plus codeine (91). Contrary to Chong and Pitt Ford’s findings, Kvist and Reit noted that significantly more patients reported discomfort after surgical retreatment than after nonsurgical procedures. High pain scores were most frequent on the operative days, whereas swelling peaked on the first postoperative day, after which it progressively decreased both in frequency and magnitude. Analgesics were significantly more often consumed after periradical surgery than after nonsurgical procedures (92). Houck found the majority of patients with asymptomatic necrotic teeth had significant postoperative pain and required analgesics to manage this pain (93).

Although combining narcotic analgesics with non-narcotic anti-inflammatory analgesics is beneficial in alleviating postoperative pain, Utkovisli et al. found that rates of nausea and vomiting were significantly lower with oxycodone 5 mg/ibuprofen 400 mg compared with oxycodone 5 mg/acetaminophen 325 mg but not with hydrocodone 7.5 mg/ibuprofen 400 mg (95). Keenan et al., considering a Cochrane systematic review, reported that there is no significant difference in pain relief in patients with untreated irreversible pulpitis who received antibiotics versus those who did not (96). The administration of penicillin did not significantly reduce pain, percussion pain, or the number of analgesics taken by patients with untreated irreversible pulpitis.

Wisdom tooth extraction

The removal of third molar teeth in a day-case surgery setting has become popular with patients, healthcare trusts and oral surgeons. Management of pain after third molar operations is important, particularly as most patients are treated as day-cases. In addition to alertness and rapid recovery from anaesthesia, well-controlled pain is another indication for discharging a patient home (97). The trend toward day-case surgery, with discharge on oral medication, has highlighted the need for effective and safe analgesics that facilitate a rapid recovery and discharge time. Postoperative analgesia may be achieved by the use of local anaesthetics or by giving NSAIDs, opioids, or a combination (Table 3).

Studying postsurgical dental pain is a sensitive method for evaluating analgesic drugs (98), and the most intense pain occurs after the removal of impacted third molars. Analgesics with an anti-inflammatory action are effective in controlling postoperative dental pain (21) and ibuprofen provides good analgesia after the removal of impacted third molars (99,49). A retrospective analysis of randomised clinical trials conducted over the past 40 years demonstrated that ibuprofen is effective for treating moderate to severe postoperative pain (3,4,5). Numerous studies conducted in patients with postoperative dental pain after third molar surgery confirmed the analgesic effects of ibuprofen in these patients (100,101, 87, 102,103).

Barden and Edwards(104) reviewed the literature on the available analgesics commonly prescribed by dentists, in order to compare the relative efficacy of these drugs after third molar extraction. They collected data from systematic reviews of randomised, double blind studies of analgesics in acute pain, and concluded that NSAIDs and COX-2 inhibitors show the lowest (best) number needed to treat values (NNTs). They may also have fewer adverse effects after third molar surgery, Sharma (50) compared both the speed of onset and the efficacy of the analgesia produced by the effervescent granule formulation with the speed of onset and efficacy produced by the conventional-release tablet formulation of ibuprofen in patients with acute dental pain requiring surgical removal of unilateral or bilateral lower third molar teeth under general anaesthesia. They also recorded the incidence and severity of any adverse events. In this investigator-blind, parallel-group, multiple-dose study, a total of 50 patients received the effervescent granule formulation of ibuprofen 600 mg (Bufen® granules) as the study treatment and another 50 received the 600 mg tablet formulation. Patients received either one sachet of ibuprofen granules or one tablet of ibuprofen at six-hourly intervals for up to 24 hours once postoperative pain was moderate to severe. Both treatments were shown to be efficacious in treating postoperative dental pain. The granules were found to give significantly better pain relief in the first 30 minutes following the first dose. This may be due to more rapid absorption with the granule formulation in these patients and/or a local action of ibuprofen in solution in the mouth. It was concluded that because of its faster onset of action, the soluble effervescent form of ibuprofen (Bufen® granules) is preferable to the conventional tablet form for managing the immediate postoperative dental pain experienced.

Seymour et al. also reported that a soluble formulation of the drug provided a more rapid onset of analgesia than ibuprofen tablets in patients with early postoperative pain after third molar surgery (49). Differences in efficacy were attributed to earlier and greater peak concentrations of ibuprofen after taking the soluble formulation compared with the tablets. Further investigation showed that both preparations of ibuprofen, soluble and tablet, provided effective pain control in the early postoperative period after removal of impacted third molars, but further medication after 3 hours (when pain intensity is likely to increase) is recommended.

Ahlstrom et al., in a randomised, double-blind, parallel-
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Table 3 - Efficacy of analgesics after third molar extraction, from systematic reviews of randomised double-blind trials (a total of 14,150 patients included in 155 trials of fifteen drug and dose combinations against placebo).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug and dose</th>
<th>Number of patients with at least 50% pain relief</th>
<th>Relative benefit (95% CI)</th>
<th>Number needed to treat (95% CI)</th>
<th>Total patients</th>
<th>Total trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valdecoxib 40 mg</td>
<td>204(229/197)</td>
<td>19/144(10)</td>
<td>7.1(0.9 to 11.7)</td>
<td>1.6(1.4 to 1.7)</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac 100 mg</td>
<td>71/102(69)</td>
<td>8/102(8)</td>
<td>8.1(4.5 to 17.5)</td>
<td>1.6(1.4 to 1.9)</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valdecoxib 20 mg</td>
<td>69/701(98)</td>
<td>8/703(11)</td>
<td>8.1(4.5 to 17.5)</td>
<td>1.7(1.4 to 2.0)</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac 50 mg</td>
<td>112/189(59)</td>
<td>21/178(12)</td>
<td>4.9(1.3 to 7.5)</td>
<td>2.1(1.8 to 2.4)</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rufecoxib 50 mg</td>
<td>31/805(37)</td>
<td>23/802(29)</td>
<td>6.1(4.5 to 9.5)</td>
<td>2.1(1.9 to 2.3)</td>
<td>819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen 400 mg</td>
<td>1,026/1,015 (100)</td>
<td>1,016/1,017 (100)</td>
<td>4.7(1.3 to 1.46)</td>
<td>2.3(2.1 to 2.4)</td>
<td>1,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rufecoxib 200 mg</td>
<td>31/809 (38)</td>
<td>47/809 (59)</td>
<td>4.4(1.5 to 6.1)</td>
<td>2.7(2.4 to 3.0)</td>
<td>1,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen 600 mg</td>
<td>90/164 (55)</td>
<td>38/164 (24)</td>
<td>1.9(1.5 to 2.5)</td>
<td>2.8(2.0 to 4.3)</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celecoxib 200 mg</td>
<td>39/89 (43)</td>
<td>4/45 (9)</td>
<td>4.8(1.8 to 12.7)</td>
<td>2.9(2.1 to 4.8)</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol 975/1000 mg</td>
<td>22/864 (52)</td>
<td>40/862 (58)</td>
<td>3.0(2.0 to 5.2)</td>
<td>3.7(3.1 to 4.7)</td>
<td>1,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol 600/650 mg + codeine 60 mg</td>
<td>21/862 (61)</td>
<td>68/880 (76)</td>
<td>2.5(1.9 to 3.1)</td>
<td>4.2(3.4 to 5.5)</td>
<td>911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol 600/650 mg</td>
<td>23/860 (54)</td>
<td>70/863 (71)</td>
<td>3.0(1.8 to 4.7)</td>
<td>4.2(3.6 to 5.2)</td>
<td>1,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin 600/650 mg</td>
<td>62/771 (98)</td>
<td>26/747 (11)</td>
<td>5.1(2.2 to 9.1)</td>
<td>4.7(4.2 to 5.4)</td>
<td>1,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol 300 mg + codeine 30 mg</td>
<td>48/175 (28)</td>
<td>11/124 (9)</td>
<td>3.1(1.3 to 6.2)</td>
<td>5.4(3.7 to 9.1)</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihydrocodeine 30 mg</td>
<td>4/49 (9)</td>
<td>2/50 (4)</td>
<td>4.0(1.8 to 18)</td>
<td>not calc</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shaded areas are those analgesics used by dentists in the UK.

Figure 5 - Faster onset of analgesia with ibuprofen granules than with ibuprofen tablets in patients with pain following dental surgery (50).

Group trial in 127 adults complaining of at least moderately severe pain after the removal of an impacted third molar, compared the efficacy of single oral doses of drinkable diclofenac dispersible 50 mg with that of ibuprofen 400 mg (an established reference analgesic) and placebo, assessing the onset and duration of pain relief obtained.

In comparison with conventional enteric-coated diclofenac sodium, diclofenac dispersible has a rapid onset of absorption and provides a drinkable form of the drug for patients unable or unwilling to swallow tablets. The doses (diclofenac 50 mg and ibuprofen 400 mg) were chosen on the basis of the fact that pain after the removal of an impacted third molar is rather severe; only patients who experienced at least moderately severe pain were eligible for the study. Both diclofenac and ibuprofen produced analgesia within 40 minutes and the effect lasted for up to 6 hours. At 6 hours about 60% of both groups had not taken any rescue medication. In conclusion, diclofenac dispersible is a rapid and effective analgesic for the treatment of postsurgical pain after the removal of an impacted lower third molar, but it is not more effective than conventional ibuprofen (105).

NSAIDs suppress the activity of both isoforms of cyclooxygenase. Inhibition of COX-1, the constitutive isoform, is primarily responsible for the adverse GI effects of this class of drugs, whereas inhibition of COX-2, the inducible isoform, accounts for their therapeutic effects. COX-2 inhibitors such as celecoxib and rofecoxib appear to be as effective as nonselective NSAIDs in the treatment of chronic inflammatory disease, but their analgesic efficacy and safety at the higher doses required for analgesia are less certain. There is consistent evidence that COX-1 plays a major role in the early pain response following injury and that analgesia is increased when both COX-1 and COX-2 are inhibited simultaneously. Early postoperative noception may cause delayed hyperalgesia by a process of central plasticity. In an experimental model of pain, ibuprofen promptly suppresses PGE2 concentrations, whereas celecoxib has no discernible effect until 90-120 minutes postoperatively, when COX-2 activity is induced. Both drugs significantly reduce pain compared with placebo but celecoxib appears to have a slower onset of action. The analgesic effect of ibuprofen is well characterised for acute pain and short-term treatment is well tolerated (106).

Pre-emptive use of an NSAID before an operation may be more beneficial than its use after an operation (107); opioids, too, are more effective if given before rather than after an operation is performed (111,112). Pre-emptive analgesia prevents the establishment of central sensitisation caused by incisional and inflammatory injuries. It starts before incision and covers both the period of the operation and the initial postoperative period. Postoperative NSAIDs, such as ibuprofen (100,113,114) and paracetamol with codeine (115,116), have been reported to be effective after third molar removal. Basic scientific evidence suggests that an analgesic given before an operation should produce a better outcome than the same drug given after an operation. It is now accepted that the policy...
of waiting for a patient to report severe pain before prescribing an analgesic results in unnecessary discomfort and may reduce the efficacy of any subsequent treatment (117). Although reviews of clinical findings have been mostly unfavourable (118,119,120,121), there is still a widespread belief in the efficacy of pre-emptive analgesia among clinicians. Three randomised controlled trials with NSAIDs (122,123,124) and one with paracetamol (125) showed no evidence of a pre-emptive effect. In another study, the analgesic efficacy of a single 50-mg preoperative dose of flurbiprofen was compared with ACC-30 (aspirin 375 mg, codeine 30 mg, caffeine 30 mg) and a placebo. The results indicated that better analgesia was obtained when flurbiprofen was given preoperatively compared to only after surgery. Conversely, preoperative administration of ACC-30 did not demonstrate any significant influence on postsurgical analgesia. When comparing the two drugs, flurbiprofen proved to be superior in providing pain relief only when it was given prior to surgery. There was no difference between the drugs when they were given only after surgery. The side effects were moderate and not significantly different between patients receiving flurbiprofen and those receiving ACC-30 (126). A double-blind, randomised cross-over trial was carried out in 50 patients undergoing surgical removal of bilaterally impacted lower wisdom teeth. Paracetamol 1000 mg was administered once preoperatively and once postoperatively. It was concluded that preoperative paracetamol does not offer any clinical advantage in patients who undergo surgical removal of impacted lower wisdom teeth (125). Pre-emptive analgesia is effective in immediate postoperative pain control and there are no significant differences between ibuprofen 600 mg, paracetamol 1g + codeine 60 mg or diclofenac 100 mg (127). In addition, there are no significant differences between the groups with regard to adverse events, including nausea, vomiting, GI discomfort and dizziness. Combinations of paracetamol and codeine have been reported to have more side effects than ibuprofen (119). NSAIDs have a number of side effects (113,114,115,116), including their influence on platelet function. However, the increased risk of bleeding from the preoperative use of NSAIDs is clinically unimportant (118). Careful patient selection and history taking before the use of NSAIDs should avoid any adverse events.

Esteller-Martinez compared the analgesic efficacy of diclofenac sodium versus ibuprofen following surgical extraction of impacted lower third molars. The drug administration protocol was ibuprofen 600 mg every 8 hours and diclofenac sodium 50 mg every 8 hours for 4 days; the rescue medicine was paracetamol/codeine 325/15 mg, two tablets as required. No statistically significant differences in analgesic efficacy emerged between diclofenac sodium and ibuprofen, although the former was associated with an increased need for supplementary medication in the first two postoperative days (128).

Dionne (102) evaluated the analgesic effect of pre and postoperative ibuprofen in outpatients undergoing impacted third molar removal. Patients were given ibuprofen 600 mg prior to the procedure and 400 mg 4 and 8 hours later. Comparison was made with groups receiving either placebo at all doses, 600 mg paracetamol before and 4 and 8 h after surgery, or preoperatively administered placebo followed by two doses of postoperatively administered 600 mg acetaminophen plus 60 mg codeine. The results of this study demonstrated that pretreatment with ibuprofen resulted in a better suppression of postoperative pain when compared to standard therapy without an increase in side effects, suggesting that analgesic drugs that inhibit peripheral prostaglandin synthesis are more efficacious for suppressing postoperative pain than drugs that do not interfere with this pathway (Tab. 4). Ibuprofen pretreatment followed by postoperative administration of a second dose also resulted in less pain than placebo, a second dose of paracetamol, or the postoperative administration of a standard combination analgesic: paracetamol plus codeine. The greater efficacy of ibuprofen pretreatment in comparison to these standard analgesics suggests that suppression of the processes that contribute to postoperative pain, i.e., the arachidonic acid cascade, results in less pain than the postoperative administration of drugs that attempt to relieve pain by antagonising activated pain pathways. The well-established analgesic effect of ibuprofen 400 mg was confirmed in Averbuch and Katzper’s study, which concluded that the intensity of initial pain is not correlated with the need for larger doses of analgesic (130). In contrast, Laska et al. (57), reported that in the first 0.5 hour the serum level and the clinical efficacy were greater for ibuprofen 600 mg than for the 400 and 800 mg dose, suggesting that the 600 mg dose may have been more bioavailable than the tablets used in the 400 and 800 mg groups. This would help to explain the better analgesic effect of ibuprofen 600 mg at 0.5 hours after administration (57).

Zamiril and Mousavizadeh (131) compared the analgesic efficacy of different medications and dosages.

Table 4 - Comparison of the analgesic effect of different medications and dosages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Percentage of patients with ≥50% pain relief</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>Total Trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen 200 mg</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen 400 mg</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3402</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen 600 mg</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac 50 mg</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac 100 mg</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen 600/650 mg</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen 600/650 mg + Codeine 60 mg</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6497</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data adapted from Barden, 2004 [129]
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Pain is a common cause of distress in children and its management, despite being the focus of increasing interest during the past decade, is still recognised as frequently being suboptimal (135, 136). Perrott et al. (137) summarised studies testing the efficacy and safety of single-dose paracetamol and ibuprofen for treating children’s pain or fever. They found that single doses of ibuprofen (4–10 mg kg⁻¹) and paracetamol (7–15 mg kg⁻¹) have similar efficacy for relieving moderate to severe pain. Although we are improving, very often we are rather poor at providing analgesia to injured children in an adequate and timely fashion. Co-codamol preparations for children (paracetamol/codeine) are seen by many as a “step-up” in the analgesic category—as a moving away from “simple” analgesia such as paracetamol and NSAIDs into the altogether more “potent” world of opioids. Co-codamol may be more difficult to prescribe, as many clinicians feel uncomfortable about discharging patients after its administration. We do not need to move back to co-codamol. Instead, what we need to do is to examine the use of ibuprofen within the altogether more “potent” world of opioids. Co-codamol preparations for treating children’s pain or fever. They found that single doses of ibuprofen (4–10 mg kg⁻¹) and paracetamol (7–15 mg kg⁻¹) have similar efficacy for relieving moderate to severe pain. Although we are improving, very often we are rather poor at providing analgesia to injured children in an adequate and timely fashion. Co-codamol preparations for children (paracetamol/codeine) are seen by many as a “step-up” in the analgesic category—as a moving away from “simple” analgesia such as paracetamol and NSAIDs into the altogether more “potent” world of opioids. Co-codamol may be more difficult to prescribe, as many clinicians feel uncomfortable about discharging patients after its administration. We do not need to move back to co-codamol. Instead, what we need to do is to examine the use of ibuprofen within the altogether more “potent” world of opioids.

Table 5 - The effective analgesic dose of ibuprofen (Sinitv 20 mg, elixir) in growing children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Dose mg/kg (average)</th>
<th>Total Daily Dose mg/kg/day (average)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 – 6 months</td>
<td>5.6 - 7.7</td>
<td>2.5 ml T.I.D. (150 mg)</td>
<td>8.9 - 6.5 (7.7)</td>
<td>26.7 - 19.5 (23.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – 12 months</td>
<td>7.8 - 10</td>
<td>2.5 ml T.I.D. (150 mg)</td>
<td>6.4 - 5.0 (5.7)</td>
<td>19.2 - 15.0 (17.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 – 3 years</td>
<td>11 - 15</td>
<td>5 ml T.I.D. (300 mg)</td>
<td>9.1 - 6.7 (7.9)</td>
<td>27.3 - 20.1 (23.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – 6 years</td>
<td>16 - 20</td>
<td>7.5 ml T.I.D. (450 mg)</td>
<td>9.4 - 7.5 (8.5)</td>
<td>28.2 - 22.5 (25.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 – 9 years</td>
<td>21 - 28</td>
<td>10 ml T.I.D. (600 mg)</td>
<td>9.5 - 7.1 (8.3)</td>
<td>28.5 - 21.3 (24.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - 12 years</td>
<td>29 - 40</td>
<td>15 ml T.I.D. (900 mg)</td>
<td>10.3 - 7.5 (8.9)</td>
<td>30.9 - 22.5 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A topical anaesthetic (0.25% bupivacaine) placed over the socket at the time of extraction did not relieve children’s distress on recovery from general anaesthesia (147). Pre-operative administration of oral analgesics may lessen postextraction pain. A variety of analgesics have been tried in adults. A few studies have evaluated the use of preoperative analgesics in children. Primosch et al. found that there was no significant decrease in post-extraction pain between children in placebo and paracetamol groups (136). Primosch et al. (143) conducted a study of 60 children to evaluate the efficacy of the preoperative administration of ibuprofen and paracetamol compared with a placebo for pain relief after tooth extraction. The preoperative administration of neither analgesic was superior to placebo administration. A study by Pickering et al. (144), however, provided evidence to support the combination of ibuprofen with paracetamol for perioperative analgesia in children after tonsillectomy. McGaw et al. (145) found ibuprofen to be more efficacious than paracetamol or placebo for postoperative pain in children undergoing permanent tooth extraction. Gazal (146) compared the effectiveness of different oral analgesics for relieving pain and distress in children following the extraction of teeth under general anaesthesia. The analgesics studied were paracetamol alone, ibuprofen alone, and paracetamol and ibuprofen in combination. There were significant decreases in the mean pain and distress scores for both the ibuprofen alone and paracetamol/ibuprofen combination groups compared to the control group (usual-dose paracetamol) at 15 min postoperatively. Gazal provided evidence to support the oral administration of ibuprofen alone or in combination with paracetamol for postoperative analgesia in children who are having teeth extracted under general anaesthesia. Ibuprofen and ibuprofen/paracetamol combination were more effective than normal- or high-dose paracetamol at reducing children’s pain and distress following extraction of teeth.

Implant dentistry
Dental implants have become a predictable and widely used treatment for the restoration of oral function (147), as well as for aesthetic improvement (148) in both partially dentate (149) and edentulous patients (150). A successful outcome of dental implantation is largely dependent on preservation of bone support (151). Maintenance of osseointegration and a marginal alveolar bone levels are therefore pivotal for predictable and long-term performance of implant-supported prostheses (152). The inhibition of PG production with oral administration of several NSAIDs, including flurbiprofen (153,154), naproxen (155) and meclofenamic acid sodium (156), can reduce the rate of bone loss associated with periodontal disease. Although it might be tempting to assume that NSAIDs can have a similar influence on the bone supporting dental implants, resulting in a bone-sparing effect (157), several reports in the medical literature have suggested that NSAIDs can delay bone fracture healing (158,159) and impair bone in growth in orthopaedic implants (160,161). Moreover, many orthopaedic surgeons still recommend the use of NSAIDs after total hip arthroplasty in order to prevent heterotopic ossification (162,163), which is pathological ectopic bone deposition that can limit the motion of the joint, often resulting in functional impairment (164).

In a recent review of the literature (7), it was concluded that the question of the influence of NSAIDs on dental implant osseointegration has not been adequately addressed owing to the lack of prospective studies in humans, and that further research is required to determine whether or not administration of NSAIDs is associated with osseointegration impairment and early failure of dental implants. In short, observations from the orthopaedic literature are possibly one of the main reasons for the reservations, on the part of dental implant surgeons, over the use of NSAIDs for postoperative pain management following implant surgery. Following a pilot study (165), Jeffcoat et al. carried out a randomised clinical trial to evaluate the effect of a three-month course of flurbiprofen (50 mg or 100 mg twice a day) on the marginal bone loss around dental implants in patients. The authors demonstrated a bone-sparing effect with the use of a 100 mg dose of flurbiprofen (167). However, the treatment doses used in the study were consistent with those associated with chronic use and not with those used for postoperative pain relief. No clinical observation has been published that addresses the question of the effect of the NSAID ibuprofen on the marginal bone around dental implants when the drug is administered postoperatively in a short course at a prescribed dose suitable for pain relief in patients following implant surgery. Therefore, a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial was undertaken to ascertain whether the postoperative administration of a one-week course of ibuprofen 600 mg four times daily had an effect on the marginal bone healing and early osseointegration of dental implants (168). The preliminary results suggested that ibuprofen might not have affected the early bone response to implants placed in the patients recruited in the study. This finding was supported by the lack of statistically significant differences in marginal bone level changes around implants at the 3- and 6-month radiographic assessments following implant placement, between patients who received a short-term therapeutic dose of ibuprofen and those who received placebo.

Ibuprofen is one of the prototypes of the NSAID class of analgesics, which are among the most widely used therapeutics, primarily for the treatment of pain and inflammation following surgical procedures since they are effective in the management of pain, fever, redness and oedema arising as a consequence of inflammatory mediator release (9). Like other drugs of its class, systemic administration of ibuprofen can be associated with side effects. Gastrointestinal upset, bleeding and ulceration are among the most frequently observed side effects of NSAIDs (167). It was noted that the maximum dose of ibuprofen used in the above mentioned study (2.4 g daily divided into four doses of 600 mg each) was well tolerated and also sufficiently effective for pain relief following implant placement, as suggested by the infrequent use of the rescue analgesic by the ibuprofen group patients. Prostaglandins are considered potent mediators of inflammation (168). It has been experimentally demonstrated that, during the inflammatory process, levels of PGs are increased in a wide range of inflamed tissues including gingival tissues (169). Furthermore, elevation of PGs, particularly of PGE2 in crevicular fluid, is often associated with a localised bone resorption activity (170,171). Since the mechanism of action of the NSAIDs involves inhibition of conversion of arachidonic acid to the PG series of metabolites, it is reasonable to hypothesise that inhibiting conversion of arachidonic acid to prostaglandins by NSAIDs could result in a bone-sparing effect such as that observed in several reports in the dental implantology (157,165).
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and periodontology literature (154,155,156,157). However, the evidence for this is by no means conclusive because PGs, particularly the E-type produced by osteoblasts under physiological or pathological conditions, have bimodal functions during the bone remodelling process and may also promote bone formation (172). In addition, it was demonstrated in an experimental rat model that low levels of pharmacological doses of PGs such as PGE2 may stimulate bone formation in the mandible (173). Thus, these data may be used to support the hypothesis that the administration of NSAIDs could have an inhibitory effect on bone healing around implants, because these compounds inhibit PG formation, as has been shown in the orthopaedic literature. However, such studies were performed in animal models using different doses dissimilar to those used in humans, which could lead to contradictory conclusions, particularly if their results are applied to bone biology and osseointegration concerns in humans.

Short-term use of ibuprofen of 200 mg or 400 mg taken three times daily has been shown to cause a considerable reduction in total body synthesis of the PG type-E in healthy humans (174). Moreover, Kehoe et al. demonstrated a 21-fold reduction in PGE2 production in a sample of inflammatory exudate from the periodontal ligament space when ibuprofen was administered in a 30 mg/kg dose twice daily in an experimental study on the effect of ibuprofen on orthodontic tooth movement. The authors suggested that ibuprofen may reduce bone resorption as measured by tooth movement (175). Ibuprofen used as a post-operative analgesic (1-week course of 600 mg of ibuprofen taken four times daily) may not have a significant negative impact on marginal bone level around dental implants (166).

Orthodontic pain management (176)

No matter how much progress has been made in orthodontics or how competent the practitioner is, orthodontic treatment is still associated with discomfort. Pain and discomfort are common clinical symptoms in orthodontic patients, especially 2 to 4 days after the placement of fixed orthodontic appliances. It has even been suggested that orthodontic pain can discouraging some patients from seeking treatment and might cause a number of patients to discontinue treatment (177). After an orthodontic procedure, it is typical to experience pain and soreness 24 hours after placement of the appliance. The pain generally arises following placement of the first archwire (178, 179, 180) and subsides after a further week (181). Orthodontic appliance and treatment acceptance can be predicted by the degree of initial pain and discomfort. The more pain associated with initial orthodontic treatment, the less compliant the patient will be during treatment.

Researchers attributed both the initial and delayed pain response to hyperalgesia of the periodontal ligament. This hyperalgesia makes the periodontal ligament sensitive to algogens that are released, such as histamine, bradykinin, PGs, and serotonin (182). The increase in the levels of these mediators elicits a pain response following orthodontic force application. Tooth movement is a complex phenomenon, and various studies have attempted to explain its mechanism. According to the pressure-tension theory, tooth movement occurs in three stages: alterations in blood flow associated with pressure in the periodontal ligament (PDL), formation or release of chemical messengers, and activation of cells (183). Prostaglandin (PG) E and interleukin-1 B levels increase in the PDL and the gingival crevicular fluid within a short time after the application of pressure and appear to be important cellular response mediators, working by increasing the number of multinuclear osteoclasts, osteoclastic bone resorption, and the rate of orthodontic tooth movement. Several studies demonstrated that the application of PG-E1 or 2 resulted in increased tooth movement in both rats and humans, emphasising its important role in the mechanism of tooth movement (184,185,186,187). Inflammatory mediators, such as PG 1 or 2, contribute to orthodontic tooth movement and are also involved in the mediation of orthodontic pain. NSAIDs that block PG production are commonly given to patients for pain relief. At present there is no universal recommendation on the use of analgesics in pain reduction. NSAIDs such as ibuprofen and acetaminophen are commonly recommended. Their analgesic action has been explained by their ability to inhibit the synthesis of PGs at the site of the tissue injury. This is thought to occur through inhibition of the cyclooxygenase enzymes COX-1 and COX-2 (188). Ibuprofen has been considered a representative NSAID on the basis of its efficacy for postoperative relief of dental pain, while acetaminophen has been believed not to affect tooth movement, and aspirin has been considered the traditional NSAID. The question of whether ibuprofen offers an advantage in terms of pain relief compared with acetaminophen and aspirin needs to be further studied.

A systematic review by Xiao et al. (176) compared the clinical outcome of different methods of pain intervention. Two questions were asked:

• Are medications still the main treatment modality to reduce orthodontic pain?
• Are there any other new approaches that have been proved to be more effective in pain control?

Since gastric ulceration, bleeding disorders, allergy, etc., are among the common adverse effects of NSAIDs, orthodontic researchers and clinicians have focused on the search for much safer analgesics among the many kinds of NSAID. Initially, ibuprofen was highlighted as safe and effective. However, there are still many controversies over the use of NSAIDs because of their potential influence on tooth movement (189,190). Acetaminophen is preferred because it does not inhibit PG synthesis and has no deleterious effects on tooth movement (191,192,193). A meta-analysis has revealed that there is no difference in pain relief between ibuprofen, acetaminophen, and aspirin, although compared with a placebo, ibuprofen has a better effect on pain control (176). Other studies comparing the efficacy of ibuprofen and acetaminophen found statistically significant differences (194). In their study of impacted third molar removal, Dionne et al. found that ibuprofen resulted in significantly less reported pain than a placebo or acetaminophen taken before the procedure and administered 4 and 8 hours later (105). Forbes et al. also found similar results in a study of surgical removal of impacted third molars (195). They concluded that 400 mg of ibuprofen provided superior pain relief compared with acetaminophen alone or in combination with codeine. Cooper concluded from his five studies on ibuprofen for postsurgical pain that ibuprofen 400 mg is consistently more effective than aspirin 650 mg, acetaminophen 600 mg, and aspirin and acetaminophen combined (196). Most of these studies concluded that ibuprofen provided significantly faster and greater relief than did acetaminophen. Al-
through these findings contradict the results on reducing orthodontic pain, which show no statistically significant differences between ibuprofen, acetaminophen, and aspirin (176), they might simply highlight the great differences between postsurgical pain and the much less severe orthodontic pain. Less pain is experienced with an orthodontic appliance if ibuprofen 400 mg is taken 1 hour before, 3 hours after, and 7 hours after placement. Preemptive and post-treatment ibuprofen administration reduced orthodontic pain significantly at 6 hours and at bedtime on the night of the orthodontic procedure. A rebound effect and high pain ratings at 24 hours indicate that additional doses should be given after the 7-hour dose to maintain the benefits of the medication (197).

Analgesics are still the main treatment modality to reduce orthodontic pain. However, the pharmacological actions as well as their side effects should be identified before prescribing these medications in routine clinical practice. Some long-acting NSAIDs and COX-2 inhibitors, such as ibuprofen, are interestingly recommended on the strength of their comparatively few side effects, and their preemptive use is promising. The downside of NSAIDs is that they inhibit PG synthesis and therefore delay or inhibit orthodontic tooth movement. Although much has been published on this subject, it is still controversial. Some clinicians argue that, since lower doses of these medications are used in humans and for shorter durations, in a healthy subject they are cleared by the body before tooth movement and therefore have no effect on tooth movement. Other relatively safer approaches such as low level laser therapy (LLLT) have aroused researchers’ interest. To date, however, there is still only limited evidence suggestive of benefits of LLLT, vibratory stimulation, and other non-pharmacological modalities.

**Periodontal pain management**

Chronic periodontitis is a common inflammatory disease of the gums and related bones (198). Periodic professional mechanical plaque removal is a standard procedure listed under internationally recognised “parameters of care” to control chronic periodontitis and to maintain periodontal health, although its efficacy on the prevention of periodontal diseases is currently debated (199,200). Pain or discomfort is often associated with nonsurgical plaque removal (201,202,203,204). The ideal anesthetic agent is characterised by convenient and painless administration, fast onset, adequate duration, and minimal adverse effects. NSAIDs meet most of these criteria and their efficacy for dental surgery pain is well established. There is no evidence that any nonselective NSAID is more effective than another for non-specific pain management, but ibuprofen is nowadays considered the safest (inexpensive choice (205). The addition of arginine to ibuprofen enhances the rate and extent of absorption of ibuprofen so that ibuprofen arginine becomes bioavailable about three times more rapidly than generic ibuprofen (206). Ibuprofen arginine, because of its rapid onset of action and long duration, its favourable safety profile and the possibility of easy oral administration shortly before a dental procedure, is a promising agent to achieve pain control during and after periodontal scaling and root planing (SRP) (206,207). For patients with mild to moderate chronic adult periodontitis treated in a general dental practice, a single dose of ibuprofen arginine 800 mg taken 30 minutes before treatment proved to be an effective and safe medication for maximising comfort during treatment, reducing average and maximum pain levels during SRP compared with placebo (208).

**Tooth whitening**

Gingival irritation and tooth sensitivity are the most common side effects of vital tooth bleaching (209). One clinical study, for example, showed that 55% of patients treated with carbamide peroxide reported tooth sensitivity and 20% of those who experienced side effects terminated treatment due to discomfort (210). Charakom and Cabanilla studied the effects of ibuprofen 600 mg on tooth sensitivity from in-office bleaching with 38% hydrogen peroxide. They performed a double-blind randomised controlled trial on 30 patients retaining all anterior teeth. They measured the level of tooth sensitivity by using a modified visual analogue scale. The authors observed that ibuprofen 600 mg (single dose) decreased tooth sensitivity associated with in-office bleaching only during treatment time. This finding suggests that ibuprofen 600 mg single dose may be used to help patients who have a lower pain threshold get through the treatment(211).

**Safety**

Adverse drug reactions

Gastrointestinal toxicity

The long-term use of classical NSAIDs is related to the development of adverse drug reactions such as gastric toxicity, which has precluded a wider extension of their therapeutic use (212). In fact, PGE2 and prostacycline are both hyperalgesic (elicit an increased sense of pain) and gastroprotective. Thus, nonselective COX inhibition with agents such as aspirin, ibuprofen, indomethacin and naproxen, which inhibit both COX-1 and COX-2enzymes, provides effective pain relief for inflammatory conditions but carries with it a risk of erosive gastritis and GI bleeding. In view of the relative paucity of COX-2 expression in the GI tract and the relative abundance of COX-2 expression in inflamed and painful tissues, selective COX-2 inhibitors (valdecoxib, rofecoxib, celecoxib, and others still in development) were developed to minimise GI toxicity. Previously we reported in a retrospective study that NSAIDs caused >55% of the adverse drug reactions detected in hospitalised patients, which are common in subjects aged >61 years. Moreover we reported that the adverse drug reactions induced by NSAIDs affected the skin, GI tract and respiratory system and that the drugs more commonly involved were diclofenac and aspirin (213). A systematic review of studies that examined the relative risks of GI complications associated with different NSAIDs found ibuprofen to be the least toxic NSAID (214). According to Lugardon et al., the reported risk of GI events was low among patients treated with ibuprofen, compared with diclofenac, naproxen, ketoprofen, celecoxib, piroxicam (215). Moreover, Moore described that during NSAID treatment, significant GI adverse effects were more common with aspirin (7.1%) and acetaminophen (5.3%) than ibuprofen (4%) (216). Lower rates of occurrence of GI complications in patients treated with ibuprofen could be attributed to its short half-life (about 2 hours). Thus, there is a good pharmacokinetic rationale to account for the low rate of GI adverse drug reactions with ibuprofen.
Liver toxicity
Several papers have described fatal hepatotoxicity in patients receiving both conventional NSAIDs and selective COX-2 inhibitors, e.g. diclofenac, nimesulide, celecoxib, lumiracoxib (217.218.219), as well as acetylsalicylic acid (220).

Moreover, we also reported that nimesulide is able to induce livers toxicity probably through the hepatic bioactivation of nimesulide. Indeed, hepatic bioactivation of nimesulide produces reactive metabolites that have the potential to induce intraacellular oxidative stress and mitochondrial injury (221). Thus, acetylsalicylic use could be related to dose-dependent development of liver toxicity (222), and the daily dose should be lower than 4g, as indicated by the FDA (223).

At higher doses, acetylsalicylic is metabolised by CYP2E1 into a toxic metabolite (N-acetyl-p-benzoquinoneimine) (224) that, reducing the detoxification system of glutathione, is able to induce hepatocyte death. In contrast, hepatic reactions are probably rarely associated with ibuprofen. Since there have been no specific reports of hepatic reactions with OTC use of ibuprofen, either in trials (225, 226) or in literature analyses (226), it is likely that hepatotoxicity is not a significant risk factor at OTC dosages. In fact Italian data (227) documented that the percentage of patients with liver toxicity during NSAID treatment is very low during treatment with ibuprofen (1.4) versus other NSAIDs (diclofenac 2.8; ketorolac: 4.6; nimesulide 13.8).

Cardiovascular safety
NSAIDs and coxibs are likely to induce serious cardiovascular events. In the cardiovascular system, prostacycline derived from the metabolism of arachidonic acid, is the dominant prostanoid produced by endothelial cells and it is able to regulate complex interactions between platelets and the vessel wall, antagonising aggregation through the binding with platelet IP receptors (228,229). Platelets contain only COX-1, which converts arachidonic acid to the potent proaggregatory, vasconstrictive eicosanoid thromboxaneA2 (TXA2), the major COX product formed by platelets. Non-selective COX inhibition with aspirin is effective on arterial thrombosis because of its ability to reduce COX-1-dependent production of platelet TXA2; by contrast, selective inhibition of COX-2 (rofecoxib and celecoxib) could produce a relative reduction in endothelial production of prostacycline, while leaving the platelet production of TXA2 intact, increasing the risk of thrombotic cardiovascular events (230). In particular, cardiovascular events including myocardial infarction and hypertension were noted particularly with ibuprofen. Since there have been no specific reports of hepatic reactions with OTC use of ibuprofen, either in trials (225, 226) or in literature analyses (226), it is likely that hepatotoxicity is not a significant risk factor at OTC dosages.

NSAIDs and bone
COX-1 is expressed in normal bone, while COX-2 is upregulated during bone repair and in the presence of several stimuli such as inflammation. In particular, has been reported that PGE2 is able to induce the resorption during inflammatory diseases (239).

However no definitive data have been reported in experimental models regarding the effects of conventional non-selective NSAIDs (ibuprofen, naproxen and ketorolac) on long bone fracture healing. In fact while Radi et al. (240) reported inhibitory effects on long bone fracture healing, other authors failed to document such effects (241). As reported for conventional NSAIDs, there are several controversies surrounding coxib (242,243).

NSAIDs and drug interactions
Displacement to plasma proteins
Free NSAID concentrations (i.e. those non-bound to albumin) are generally regarded as pharmacologically relevant to the actions of these drugs, as well as to the untoward effects of drug-drug interactions, where toxic effects of NSAIDs or other drugs are due to displacement of one or other from the albumin or other plasma proteins. As with many NSAIDs, most of which bind to plasma proteins (around 99%), ibuprofen also strongly binds to albumin (244). In particular as reported in Table 7, ibuprofen binds...
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Table 7 - Drugs binding to site I (warfarin) or II (benzodiazepine) of albumin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site I (warfarin)</th>
<th>Site II (benzodiazepine)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorothiazide</td>
<td>Ketoprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenyltoxin</td>
<td>Ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glibenclamide</td>
<td>Indomethacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naproxen</td>
<td>Dicloxacillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salicylates</td>
<td>Nimesulide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphamidics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroquinolones</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As documented by Karjalainen et al. (250), diclofenac is not an inhibitor of CYP-2C8 but it does induce a dose-dependent inhibition of CYP-2D6 and increases the area under the serum concentration-time curve (AUC) of metoprolol (about 64%) (246).

Renal excretion

Several reports suggest that NSAIDs are able to inhibit the renal excretion of digoxin, lithium and tacrolimus (45,247,248). Moreover, Igabi and coworkers documented that diclofenac induces an increase in the plasma AUC of ciprofloxacin while reducing the total body clearance (249).

As documented by Karjalainen et al. (250), diclofenac is not a CYP inhibitor, but it does induce a dose-dependent inhibition of OAT-1 and -4 pumps involved in renal excretion (251).

With this mechanism, other authors documented that diclofenac is more likely to show a drug-drug interaction with warfarin than with ibuprofen. This is in agreement with recent guidelines suggesting a treatment with ibuprofen in patient chronically treated with warfarin.

Liver metabolism

Inhibition of CYP-2C8 by administration of gemfibrozil to humans increases the plasma concentrations of R(-)-ibuprofen by about one third, as well as prolonging the elimination half-lives of R(-) and S(+) by 54 and 34%, respectively, and increasing AUC values by about 20% (245). All this suggests that CYP-2C8 plays a major role in oxidative metabolism of the ibuprofen enantiomers. Moreover, there are at present no data concerning inhibitory effects of ibuprofen on CYP enzymes. By contrast, it has been well documented that celecoxib is an important inhibitor of CYP-2D6 and increases the area under the serum concentration-time curve (AUC) of metoprolol (about 64%) (246).

Aspirin-NSAID interactions

Previously, Catella-Lawson et al. (253) documented in healthy patients that ibuprofen may interfere with the anti-platelet effects of aspirin. In fact, the authors treated healthy patients with aspirin 81 mg taken 2 hours before ibuprofen 400 mg each morning for 6 days and then evaluated the synthesis of prostaglandins. The authors documented that when aspirin was given either before or after ibuprofen, there was complete inhibition of the effect of aspirin on serum thromboxane and platelet aggregation. The decrease in both platelet aggregation and thromboxane production during ibuprofen treatment was not evident during paracetamol, diclofenac or rofecoxib treatment. By contrast, Kimmel et al. (254) reported that in patients with no history of coronary artery disease the use of aspirin was associated with a lower risk of myocardial infarction, as expected, but this benefit was not seen in patients who took any NSAID in addition to aspirin. Patients with established coronary disease who used aspirin with NSAIDs had a similar risk of developing myocardial infarction compared to patients who had taken aspirin alone. Moreover, in elderly patients, with a history of myocardial infarction the mortality of those who had received aspirin and a non-steroidal drug was similar to that of patients who had been prescribed aspirin alone (255,256). No apparent differences were observed in the mortality in patients who had been prescribed aspirin and ibuprofen compared with those prescribed aspirin alone (255). Moreover, Cryer et al. showed that prior treatment for 8 days with aspirin is not affected by subsequent ibuprofen treatment in terms of platelet thromboxane production (257). By contrast, recently Schuit et al. (258) reported in healthy volunteers more thrombotic cardiovascular events (2.14%) during ibuprofen/aspirin therapy than in patients using lumiracoxib combined with aspirin (0.25%; p< 0.03), even though no difference was observed in a subgroup using ibuprofen or lumiracoxib only (0.92% vs. 0.80% respectively). Therefore, these authors suggest that diclofenac should be preferred to ibuprofen for combined use with aspirin. Conversely, in 2007, the FDA stated on its MedWatch website (259) that with concomitant use of ibuprofen and aspirin there is likely to be a minimal risk in the attenuation of the anti-platelet effects during the treatment with low-dose of aspirin. Moreover, they state that patients who use immediate-release aspirin (not enteric-coated) and take a single dose of ibuprofen 400 mg should take the dose of ibuprofen at least 30 minutes or longer after the aspirin to avoid attenuation of the effect of aspirin on platelets. Therefore, on the basis of information of the FDA and the available published literature it is clear that separation of the dose of aspirin from that of ibuprofen is a practical means of avoiding the potential for impairment of the anti-platelet effect of aspirin by ibuprofen. It should be noted that in an earlier study in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, Grennan et al. (260) showed that high-dose aspirin (3.6 g day-1), but not a lower dose of 2.4 g day-1, in combination with high- or low-dose ibuprofen had a weak clinical additive effect on indices of articular function and pain and this appeared to be related to an increase in serum ibuprofen by aspirin, but ibuprofen administration did not affect serum salicylate levels. Thus, high doses of aspirin (not those usually used for anti-thrombotic effects) may have some impact on the clinical efficacy of ibuprofen in a positive sense, but this is related to effects on ibuprofen concentration in the plasma.

Antihypertensive drugs

Previously, a negative interaction has been reported between NSAIDs and antihypertensive therapy. However, in a study in stage 1 and 2 hypertensive patients on low and high sodium diets receiving the angiotensin-converting en-
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zyme (ACE) inhibitor, enalapril, ibuprofen 1,200 mg daily did not affect systolic or diastolic blood pressure although in a related study indomethacin reduced the effects of ca-
propri (261). Other NSAIDs are well-known to interfere with the actions of ACE inhibitors (262). Conversely, inhibition of the renin-angiotensin system up-regulates COX-2 (263) and thus may exacerbate NSAID-related renal fun-
cctions. Calcium channel blockers do not appear to be af-
fected by ibuprofen and other NSAIDs in hypertensive pa-
tients (264).

Conclusions

Alleviating pain is of the utmost importance when treating dental patients, as it is prevalent and has far-reaching im-
lications, for both the patient and the clinician. The ma-
JOR cause of pain is thought to be the release of inflam-
MATory mediators that activate sensory nociceptors sur-
rounding the tooth. Ibuprofen has one of the best safety profiles of the nonselective NSAIDs, particularly at OTC
doses. The faster onset of effect shown by ibuprofen com-
pared to celecoxib reduced the need for early re-medication with the rescue analgesic. The approved OTC dose regi-
men of ibuprofen (400 mg/6h) has been found to provide significantly longer relief than the maximum daily dose ap-
proved for celecoxib (200 mg once a day). Pain control is cru-
cial in dentistry, and endodontics is no exception. Lo-
cal anaesthesia is the main method used in dentistry to control patient pain. However, a common clinical problem is the difficulty obtaining satisfactory anaesthesia of an acu-
tely painful inflamed tooth by means of a regional block. The lack of profound anaesthesia in teeth with inflamed pulp (irreversible pulpitis) is a well-known clinical symptom. Ibuprofen pre-medication therapy increases the depth of anaesthesia because of the COX pathway-blocking and PG-reducing effects of NSAIDs, which result in significant inhibition of stimulated nerve activity. Ibuprofen seems to be more effective in achieving a deep anaesthesia than acetaminophen-codeine.

Moreover, respect to acetaminophen, ibuprofen adminis-
tration is rarely associated with liver toxicity, in fact in both trials (225, 226) and literature analyses (226), hepatoto-
xicity don’t represents a significant risk factor at OTC dos-
ges.

Premedication with ibuprofen and indomethacin significantly increased the success rates of inferior alveolar nerve block anaesthesia in teeth with irreversible pulpitis, with no dif-
ference emerging between ibuprofen and indomethacin. Indomethacin is an NSAID with strong anti-inflammatory effects that is used for the management of moderate to se-
vere muscular and joint pain. It has several side effects that should be considered before it is prescribed for dental pain management. Ibuprofen 600 mg given four times per day was found to be, statistically significantly, the most preferred analgesic prescribed for patients, irrespective of their per-
ceived level of pain, endodontic diagnosis, or treatment ren-
dered. Ibuprofen blocks both the COX-1 and the COX-2 en-
zymes, but has been shown to be safe and cost-effective with a highly effective analgesic and anti-inflammatory ac-
tion in postendodontic pain. Studying post-surgical den-
tal pain is a sensitive method for evaluating analgesic drugs, and the most intense pain occurs after the removal of im-
pacted third molars. Numerous studies conducted in pa-
tients with postoperative dental pain after third molar sur-
gery confirmed the analgesic effects of ibuprofen. Com-
paring both the speed of onset and the efficacy of the anal-
gesia produced by the effervescent granule formulation (Brufen® granules 600 mg) with the speed of onset and ef-
cacy produced by the conventional-release tablet for-
mulation in patients with acute dental pain at six-hourly in-
tervals for up to 24 hours, both treatments were shown to be efficacious in treating post-operative dental pain. The granules were found to give significantly better pain relief in the first 30 minutes following the first dose. This may be due to more rapid absorption with the granule formulation in these patients and/or a local action of ibuprofen in so-
olution in the mouth. It was concluded that because of its faster onset of action, the soluble effervescent form of ibu-
profen (Brufen® granules) is preferable to the conventio-
nal tablet form for managing the immediate postopera-
tive dental pain experienced.

It has been reported that a soluble formulation of the drug provided a more rapid onset of analgesia than ibuprofen tablets in patients with early postoperative pain after third molar surgery. Differences in efficacy were attributable to earlier and greater peak concentrations of ibuprofen af-
fter taking the soluble formulation compared with the tab-
lets. Further investigation showed that both preparations of ibuprofen, soluble and tablet, provided effective pain control in the early postoperative period after removal of im-
pacted third molars, but further medication after 3 hours (when pain intensity is likely to increase) is recommen-
ded.

Diclofenac dispersible 50 mg is a rapid and effective anal-
gesic for the treatment of postsurgical pain after removal of an impacted lower third molar but it is not more effec-
tive than conventional ibuprofen 400 mg (105). Both di-
clofenac and ibuprofen produced analgesia within 40 mi-
utes and the effect lasted for up to 6 hours. Comparing di-
clofenac sodium with ibuprofen following surgical extrac-
tion of impacted lower third molars, there emerged no sta-
tistically significant differences in analgesic efficacy be-
tween diclofenac sodium (50 mg every 8 hours for 4 days) and ibuprofen (600 mg every 8 hours for 4 days), although the former was associated with an increased need for sup-
plementary medication in the first two postoperative days (128).

The pre-emptive use of an NSAID before operation may be more beneficial than its use after an operation. Pre-em-
ptive analgesia is effective in immediate postoperative pain control and there emerged significant differences bet-
ween ibuprofen 600mg, paracetamol 1g + codeine 60mg or diclofenac 100mg, even though combinations of parace-
tamol and codeine have been reported to have more side effects than ibuprofen.

Moreover, respect to diclofenac, ibuprofen shows lower ra-
tes of GI complications (215) and a better cardiovascular safety (237), with low risk of drug-drug interactions.

Evaluation of the analgesic effect of pre and postoperati-
ve administration of ibuprofen in outpatients undergoing impacted third molar removal confirmed that pretreatment with ibuprofen results in a suppression of postoperative pain. Ibuprofen pre and post-treatment resulted in signi-
cantly less pain than pretreatment with paracetamol and paracetamol plus codeine. This suggests that analgesic drugs that inhibit peripheral PG synthesis are more effi-
cacious for suppressing postoperative pain than drugs that do not interfere with this pathway.

The greater efficacy of ibuprofen pretreatment in compa-
Pain management is an important part of dentistry, and paediatric dentistry in particular. Pain is a common cause of distress in children, and its management, despite being the focus of increasing interest during the past decade, is still recognised as frequently being suboptimal. The "paediatric dose" of ibuprofen is 10 mg/kg and it is at least as effective as paracetamol with codeine in providing analgesia for children. Children receiving ibuprofen have significantly fewer adverse effects, and both children and parents are more satisfied with ibuprofen. The oral administration of ibuprofen alone or in combination with paracetamol is effective for postoperative analgesia in children who are having teeth extracted under general anaesthesia. Ibuprofen and ibuprofen/paracetamol combination were more effective than normal- or high-dose paracetamol in reducing children's pain and distress following extraction of teeth.

Dental implants have become a predictable and widely used treatment for restoration of oral function. Maintenance of osseointegration and marginal alveolar bone levels are therefore pivotal for predictable and long-term performance of implant-supported prostheses. Ibuprofen used as a postoperative analgesic (1-week course of 600 mg of ibuprofen taken four times daily) may not have a significant negative impact on marginal bone level around dental implants. Pain and discomfort are common clinical symptoms in orthodontic patients, especially 2 to 4 days after fixed orthodontic appliances are placed. It has even been suggested that orthodontic pain can discourage some patients from seeking treatment and might cause a number of patients to discontinue treatment. Less pain is experienced with orthodontic appliances if 400 mg of ibuprofen is taken 1 hour before, 3 hours after, and 7 hours after placement. Pre-emptive and post-treatment ibuprofen administration significantly reduced orthodontic pain. A rebound effect and high pain ratings at 24 hours indicate that additional doses should be given after the 7-hour dose to maintain the benefits of the medication. The downside of NSAIDS is that they inhibit PG synthesis and therefore delay or inhibit orthodontic tooth movement. Although much has been published on this subject, it is still controversial. Some clinicians argue that, since lower doses of these medications are used in humans and for shorter durations, in a healthy subject they are cleared by the body before tooth movement and therefore have no effect on tooth movement.

Chronic periodontitis is a common inflammatory disease of the gums and related bones. Periodic professional mechanical plaque removal is a standard procedure listed under internationally recognised "parameters of care" to control chronic periodontitis and to maintain periodontal health. Pain or discomfort is often associated with non-surgical plaque removal. Ibuprofen arginine, because of its rapid onset of action and long duration, its favourable safety profile and the possibility of easy oral administration shortly before a dental procedure, is a promising agent to achieve pain control during and after periodontal scaling and root planing (SRP). For patients with mild to moderate chronic adult periodontitis treated in a general dental practice, a single dose of 800 mg ibuprofen arginine taken 30 minutes before treatment proved to be an effective and safe medication for maximising comfort during treatment, reducing average and maximum pain levels during SRP.

Gingival irritation and tooth sensitivity are the most common side effects of vital tooth bleaching. Patients who experienced these side effects terminated treatment due to discomfort. A single dose of ibuprofen 600 mg administered preoperatively, decreases tooth sensitivity associated with in-office bleaching. Finally, considering the low risk to develop gastrointestinal (214-216), liver (217-220) or cardiovascular toxicity (237,238), ibuprofen represent a good choice in the relief of dental pain and postoperative dental pain in children and adults. Moreover, considering also the optimal pharmacokinetic profile, ibuprofen may be also used in patients with poly-drug treatment due its low risk to develop drug-drug interaction.
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1. **DENOMINAZIONE DEL MEDICINALE**
   - BRUFEN 400 mg Compresse rivestite
   - BRUFEN 600 mg Compresse rivestite
   - BRUFEN 600 mg Granulato effervescente

2. **COMPOSIZIONE QUALITATIVA E QUANTITATIVA**
   - **BRUFEN 400 mg Compresse rivestite**
     Una compressa contiene:
     - Principio attivo: ibuprofene 400 mg
   - **BRUFEN 600 mg Compresse rivestite**
     Una compressa contiene:
     - Principio attivo: ibuprofene 600 mg
   - **BRUFEN 600 mg Granulato effervescente**
     Una bustina contiene:
     - Principio attivo: ibuprofene 600 mg

3. **FORMA FARMACEUTICA**
   - Compresse rivestite, granulato effervescente.

4. **INFORMAZIONI CLINICHE**

   4.1 **Indicazioni terapeutiche**
   - Come antireumatico in:
     - osteoartrosi in tutte le sue localizzazioni (artrosi cervicale, dorsale, lombare; artrosi della spalla, dell'anca, del ginocchio, artrosi diffusa, ecc.), periartrite scapoloe-omerale, lombalgie, sciatalgie, radicolo-nevriti; fibrositi, tenosinoviti, miositi, traumatologia sportiva; artrite reumatoide, morbo di Still.
   - Come analgesico in forme dolorose di diversa eziologia:
     - nella traumatologia accidentale e sportiva;
     - nella pratica dentistica, nei dolori post-estrazione e dopo interventi odontostomatologici;
     - in ostetricia: nel dolore post-episiotomico e post-partum;
     - in ginecologia: nella prevenzione e nel trattamento della dismenorrea;
     - in chirurgia: nel trattamento del dolore post-operatorario;
     - in oncologia: nel dolore post-operatorio e nelle forme dolorose di varia eziologia;
     - in medicina generale: nel trattamento di emicrania e cefalea.

   4.2 **Posologia e modo di somministrazione**
   - **Compresse da 400 mg**: 2 - 4 compresse al giorno a giudizio del medico.
   - **Compresse e granulato da 600 mg**: 1 - 3 compresse al giorno a giudizio del medico.

   La dose massima giornaliera di BRUFEN non deve superare 1800 mg. In reumatologia, per migliorare la rigidità mattutina, la prima dose orale viene somministrata al risveglio del paziente; le dosi successive possono essere assunte al pasto. In presenza di insufficienza renale l'eliminazione può essere ridotta e la posologia va di conseguenza adeguata.

   Nel trattamento di pazienti anziani la posologia deve essere attentamente stabilita dal medico che dovrà valutare un'eventuale riduzione dei dosaggi sopraccitati.

   Gli effetti indesiderati possono essere minimizzati con l'uso della dose più bassa e di dosaggi per più breve durata possibile di trattamento che occorre per controllare i sintomi (vedere sezione 4.4).

   4.3 **Controindicazioni**
   - Ipersensibilità al principio attivo o ad uno qualsiasi degli eccipienti.
   - Poliposi nasale, angioedema.
   - Insufficienza epatica o renale grave.
   - Come per altri farmaci antinfiammatori non steroidei è opportuno non somministrare il prodotto a pazienti portatori di ulcera peptica grave o in fase attiva.
   - Storia di emorragia gastrointestinale o perforazione relativa a precedenti trattamenti attivi o storia di emorragia/ulcera peptica ricorrente (due o più episodi distinti di dimostrata ulcerazione o sanguinamento).
   - Severa insufficienza cardiaca.
   - Terzo trimestre di gravidanza.

   4.4 **Avvertenze speciali e precauzioni d’impiego**
   - Gli effetti indesiderati possono essere minimizzati con l’uso della dose più bassa efficace per la più breve durata possibile di trattamento che occorre per controllare i sintomi (vedere sezione 4.2 e i paragrafi sottostanti sui rischi gastrointestinali e cardiovascolari).
   - Come per altri FANS, ibuprofene può mascherare segni di infezione.
   - Anziani: i pazienti anziani hanno un aumento della frequenza di reazioni avverse ai FANS, specialmente emorragie e perforazioni gastrointestinali, che possono essere letali (vedere sezione 4.2). Emorragia gastrointestinale, ulcerazione e perforazione: durante il trattamento con tutti i FANS, in qualsiasi momento, con o senza sintomi di preavviso o precedente storia di gravi eventi gastrointestinali, sono state riportate emorragia gastrointestinale, ulcerazione e perforazione, che possono essere fatali.
   - Negli anziani e in pazienti con storia di ulcera, soprattutto se complicata da emorragia o perforazione (vedere sezione 4.3), il rischio di emorragia gastrointestinale, ulcerazione o perforazione è più alto con dosi aumentate di FANS. Questi pazienti devono iniziare il trattamento con la più bassa dose disponibile. L’uso concomitante di agenti protettori (misoprostolo o inibitori della pompa protonica) deve essere...
I pazienti più a rischio di queste reazioni sono quelli con ridotte funzionalità renale, che può portare velocemente in scompenso renale. Stagnlandine e, come effetto secondario, nel flusso sanguigno renale portare una riduzione dose-dipendente nella formazione delle prostaglandine, che possono essere esacerbate (vedere sezione 4.6).

È stato riscontrato tossicità renale in pazienti nei quali le prostaglandine sono state somministrate con cautela ai pazienti con una disidratazione considerevole. Quando si verifica emorragia o ulcerazione gastrointestinale in pazienti che assumono Brufen il trattamento deve essere sospeso. Le interazioni ibuprofene con anticoagulanti come warfarin e inibitori del rene (p.es. losartan) possono portare un aumento del rischio di emorragia.

Effetti renali

Diuretici, ACE inibitori e antagonisti dell’angiotensina II: I FANS devono essere somministrati con cautela ai pazienti con storia di malattia gastrointestinale (colite ulcerosa, morbo di Crohn) poiché tali condizioni possono essere esacerbate (vedere sezione 4.6).

Funzionalità cardiaca, renale ed epatica ridotta

Particolare cautela deve essere adottata nel trattamento di pazienti con funzionalità cardia, epatica o renale fortemente ridotta. In tali pazienti è opportuno ricorrere al monitoraggio periodico dei parametri clinici e di laboratorio, specialmente in caso di trattamenti prolungati. Essendo rilevate altezza di tossicità in pazienti disidratati o pazienti anziani con funzione renale compromessa (per esempio pazienti con storia di tossicità gastrointestinale, in particolare in caso di lupus eritematoso diffuso).

Meningite asettica

Il vastissimo impiego di ibuprofene in tutto il mondo non ha dato luogo a segnalazioni di effetti interattivi. I FANS non devono essere somministrati con cautela, specialmente nei pazienti anziani. I pazienti devono essere adeguatamente idratati e deve essere preso in considerazione per questi pazienti e anche per pazienti che assumono basse dosi di aspirina o altri farmaci che possono aumentare il rischio di eventi gastrointestinali (vedere sezione 4.5).

Disturbini respiratori

BRUFEN deve essere prescritto con cautela in quei soggetti che hanno manifestato broncospasmo, dopo l’impiego di aspirina o altri FANS, nonché in soggetti con anamnesi di emorragia o ulcera gastrointestinale, scompenso cardiaco, ipertensione, effetti di coagulazione.

Meningite asettica

Sebbene il più probabile che possa succedere in pazienti con lupus eritematoso sistémico e patologie del tessuto connettivo collegate, è stato riscontrato in pazienti che non manifestavano patologie croniche concomitanti.

Interazioni con altri medicinali e altre forme di interazione

Brufen comprose contiene lattosio: i pazienti affetti da rari problemi ereditari di intolleranza al galattosio, da deficit di lattasi o da malassorbimento di glucosio-galattosio, non devono assumere questo medicinale. I FANS devono essere somministrati con cautela, specialmente nei pazienti anziani. I pazienti devono essere adeguatamente idratati e deve essere preso in considerazione per questi pazienti e anche per pazienti che assumono basse dosi di aspirina o altri farmaci che possono aumentare il rischio di eventi gastrointestinali (vedere sezione 4.5).
Durante il primo e il secondo trimestre di gravidanza, BRUFEN non deve essere somministrato se non in casi strettamente necessari. Se BRUFEN è usato da una donna in attesa di concepimento o durante il primo e secondo trimestre di gravidanza, la dose e la durata del trattamento devono essere mantenute le più basse possibili. Durante il terzo trimestre di gravidanza, tutti gli inibitori della sintesi di prostaglandine possono esporre il feto a:
- Tossicità cardiopolmonare (con chiusura prematura del dotto arterioso e ipertensione polmonare);
- Distruzione renale, che può progredire in insufficienza renale con oligo-idroamnios;
- Ipersudorazione, alla fine della gravidanza, a:
- Possibile prolungamento del tempo di sanguinamento, ed effetto antiprostaglandinico che può ocorrenere a dosi molto basse;
- Inibizione delle contrazioni uterine risultanti in ritardo o prolungamento del travaglio.
Conseguentemente BRUFEN è controindicato durante il terzo trimestre di gravidanza.

4.7 Effetti sulla capacità di guidare veicoli e sull'uso di macchinari
Non interferisce sulla capacità di guidare veicoli e sull'uso di macchinari.

4.8 Effetti indesiderati
L'esteso impiego di ibuprofene ha evidenziato una limitata incidenza di effetti indesiderati. Le segnalazioni più frequenti sono state quelle relative a rash cutaneo, generalmente risolti rapidamente con la cessazione della terapia. Sono stati segnalati inoltre casi di dispepsia, diarrea, flatulenza, costipazione, dispepsia, dolori addominali, meteorismo e nausea. Molto raramente sono state osservate pancreatiti.

4.9 Sovradosaggio
I sintomi più comuni sono: nausea, vomito, vertigini, convulsioni, perdita della coscienza e depressione del SNC e del sistema respiratorio. Molto frequentemente: cefalea, tinnitus, depressione del sistema nervoso centrale e convulsioni.
Il sovradosaggio acuto generalmente viene ben tollerato quando non sono stati somministrati altri farmaci.
In caso di sovradosaggio è indicata la lavanda gastrica e la correzione degli elettroliti ematici. Non esiste un antidoto specifico per ibuprofene.

5. PROPRIETÀ FARMACOLOGICHE

5.1 Proprietà farmacodinamiche
Categoria farmaco terapeutico: Farmaci antinfiammatori non steroidi – derivati dell’acido propionico
Codice ATC: M01AE01

Dati spettrometrici indicano che l’ibuprofene può inibire gli effetti dell’acido acetilsalicilico a basse dosi sull’aggregazione piastrinica quando i farmaci sono somministrati in concomitanza. In uno studio, dopo la somministrazione di una singola dose di 400 mg di ibuprofene, assunto entro 8 ore prima o dopo 30 minuti dalla somministrazione di acido acetilsalicilico (81 mg), si è verificata una diminuzione dell’effetto dell’acido acetilsalicilico sulla formazione di trombossano e sull’aggregazione piastrinica. Tuttavia, l’esiguità dei dati e le incertezze relative alla loro applicazione alla situazione clinica non permettono di trarre delle conclusioni definitive per l’uso continuativo di ibuprofene; sembra che non vi siano effetti clinicamente rilevanti dall’uso occasionale dell’ibuprofene.

5.2 Proprietà farmacocinetiche
Ibuprofene è ben assorbito dopo somministrazione orale e rettale; assunto a stomaco vuoto produce nell’uomo livelli serici massimi digeriti circa 45 minuti. La somministrazione di pari dosi precedute da ingestione di cibo ha rivelato un assorbimento più lento e il raggiungimento dei livelli massimi in un periodo di tempo compreso entro un minimo di un’ora e mezza e un massimo di tre ore. L’assorbimento è rapido e i livelli serici non mostrano segni di accumulo. Il 44% di una dose di ibuprofene viene recuperata nelle urine sotto forma di metaboliti farmacologicamente inerti e il 20% sotto forma di farmaco come tale. Nell’animale, dal 16% al 38% della dose giornaliera viene escreto nelle feci, e dal 38% al 70% nelle urine.

5.3 Dati preclinici di sicurezza
Le prove tossicologiche sulle diverse specie animali, per diverse vie di somministrazione, hanno dimostrato che ibuprofene è ben tollerato (la DL50 nel topo albino è di 800 mg/kg per os; mentre nel ratto, sempre per os, è di 1600 mg/kg) da parte dell’animale, dal 16% al 38% della dose giornaliera viene escreto nelle feci, e dal 38% al 70% nelle urine.

6. INFORMAZIONI FARMACEUTICHE

6.1 Elenco degli eccipienti
- BRUFEN 400 mg e 600 mg Compresse
  Cellulosa microcrystalina, croscarmellosio sodico, idrossipropilmetilcellulosa, lattosio, laurilsolfato sodico, magnesio stearato, Opispray M-1-7111B Bianco, silice colloidal e anidra, talco.
- BRUFEN 600 mg Granulato
  Acido malico, aroma arancia, povidone, saccarosio, sodio bicarbonato, sodio carbonato anidro, sodio laurilsolfato, sodio saccharato.
- BRUFEN 600 mg e 600 mg Compresse
  Acido malico, aroma arancia, povidone, saccarosio, sodio bicarbonato, sodio carbonato anidro, sodio laurilsolfato, sodio saccharato.
- BRUFEN Granulato Effervescente - 30 bustine 600 mg
  ?: 7,50 (Prezzo al netto delle scontistiche ministeriali)
  Classe A (96) RR
- BRUFEN 30 Compresse rivestite da 400 mg
  ?: 4,64 (Prezzo al netto delle scontistiche ministeriali)
  Classe A (96) RR
- BRUFEN 30 Compresse rivestite da 600 mg
  ?: 6,77 (Prezzo al netto delle scontistiche ministeriali)
  Classe A (96) RR
- BRUFEN 30 Compresse rivestite da 600 mg
  ?: 7,50 (Prezzo al netto delle scontistiche ministeriali)
  Classe A (96) RR
Contro il dolore acuto* (1)

**Brufen 600 mg**
Granulato effervescente ad azione rapida. (2)

**NUOVA CONFEZIONE E NUOVA BUSTINA**

* In caso di: emicrania e cefalea; dolore post-estrazione e dopo interventi odontostomatologici; dismenorrea; traumatologia accidentale e sportiva.