methods, techniques, drugs

Laparoscopic surgery in acute small bowel obstruction. Our experience

G. ZANGHÌ, G. DI STEFANO, G. BENFATTO, M. ARENA, F. BASILE

SUMMARY: Laparoscopic surgery in acute small bowel obstruction. Our experience.

G. ZANGHÌ, G. DI STEFANO, G. BENFATTO, M. ARENA, F. BASILE

Small bowel obstruction (SBO) is a very common condition, in the vast majority of cases caused by post-operative adhesions. It often requires surgical treatment. Traditionally, this consisted of a laparotomy, but nowadays a laparoscopic approach is also possible.

This study discusses 24 cases of SBO and compares them with literature data. Successful complete laparoscopic treatment was feasible in 9 patients, while conversion to laparoscopically-assisted surgery or laparotomy was required for the others.

RIASSUNTO: La laparoscopia nelle occlusioni intestinali acute del tenue. Nostra esperienza.

G. ZANGHÌ, G. DI STEFANO, G. BENFATTO, M. ARENA, F. BASILE

L'occlusione intestinale del tenue è una condizione clinica di assai frequente riscontro e le aderenze postoperatorie ne rappresentano certamente la causa più frequente; il trattamento chirurgico si avvale oggi della possibilità di un approccio anche laparoscopico.

Scopo di questo studio è confrontare i risultati da noi ottenuti nella chirurgia open vs laparoscopica dell'occlusione intestinale e con i dati della letteratura. Nella nostra casistica abbiamo considerato 24 pazienti affetti da occlusione intestinale del tenue e trattati chirurgicamente con approccio mininvasivo: in nove casi l'intervento è stato portato a termine per via laparoscopica, mentre negli altri casi è stata necessaria una conversione.

KEY WORDS: Bowel obstruction - Laparoscopic surgery. Occlusione intestinale - Chirurgia laparoscopica.

Introduction

Acute bowel obstruction is the cause of abdominal pain in 15% of patients (1) admitted for surgery and often requires urgent surgical intervention. In 83.2% of cases it is secondary to post-operative adhesions, while hernias are the cause in 3.1% and cancer in 2.9% (2,3).

Bowel obstruction was once considered a contraindication to laparoscopic surgery due to the high risk of iatrogenic injuries and the poor view permitted by the distended bowel loops (2). However, improved surgical techniques and the increased availability of new surgical instruments have encouraged the use of a minimally invasive approach (4). The most recent EAES (European Association for Endoscopic Surgery) guidelines confirm the safety of this approach with the Hassan technique for the treatment of radiologically and clinically diagnosed acute bowel obstruction not improved with conservative management (5).

We report our experience in the minimally invasive treatment of small bowel obstruction, discussing our results in terms of etiology, duration of the surgical procedure, length of hospitalization, conversion rate, and morbidity.

Patients and methods

Between 2004 and 2009 24 patients (15 female, 9 male, age 34-76 years, mean 55) underwent laparoscopic surgery for small bowel obstruction. In this retrospective analysis we excluded patients who had undergone previous abdominal surgery, patients with a high grade of intestinal distention, patients with a colorectal occlusion and patients who had obstructing cancer.

[&]quot;Vittorio Emanuele" Hospital, University of Catania, Italy Department of General Surgery (Director: F. Basile)

[©] Copyright 2012, CIC Edizioni Internazionali, Roma

The obstruction was due to adhesions in 18 cases, with 12 having a single adhesion and 6 multiple adhesions. 3 patients had an internal hernia, 2 had adhesions secondary to Crohn's disease and 1 had gallstone ileus. In patients whose bowel obstruction was caused by a single band, the surgical history was positive for appendectomy in 5 cases, hysterectomy in 3 cases, cholecystectomy in 2 cases, oophorectomy in 1 case and c-section in 1 case.

Laparoscopy was possible in 9 out of 24 patients (40%), while conversion to an open procedure was necessary in the remaining 15 (60%). In 9 of these, conversion was required due to multiple adhesions, Crohn's disease or gallstone ileus. A laparoscopically-assisted approach was used in the remaining 6 patients, of whom 5 underwent ischemic bowel resection and 1 a repair of iatrogenic intestinal perforation (this patient had an intestinal occlusion secondary to multiple adhesions).

In the patients treated with laparoscopy, the operating time was 85±20 minutes (range 65-105) and duration of hospitalization was 4±2 days (range 2-6). In the cases converted to open surgery the operating time was 130±30 minutes (range 100-160) and duration of hospitalization was 6±2 days (range 4-8).

With the exception of the patient requiring conversion to an open approach due to an iatrogenic injury, who was discharged on postoperative day 6 after an uneventful postoperative course, there were no intraoperative or postoperative complications in either treatment group.

Discussion

According to a recent Swiss study, 35% of all patients undergoing a laparotomy will develop small bowel obstruction within 10 years, and 2-5% will need surgery for lysis of adhesions (6). The financial cost of this complication is high: in a Dutch study, it was estimated that small bowel obstruction secondary to adhesions costs the national healthcare system €57,000 Euro per 100,000 inhabitants a year (7), whereas in the UK it is estimated as around £500 million every 10 years (8).

In addition to the high costs, the long-term results of open lysis of adhesions are less than desirable, with recurrences of around 18% within 10 years and 29% within 30 years (2). This technique is also associated with prolonged postoperative pain, morbidity, reduced lung function and longer hospitalization (3,9).

In contrast, a minimally invasive approach has several advantages: reduced postoperative pain, blood loss, wound infection, and postoperative ileus, and shorter hospitalization (7,9-11). There also seem to be fewer postoperative adhesions (12,13), and thus a lower recurrence rate than with open surgery (14). Finally, laparoscopy often enables complete diagnosis in cases when imaging procedures have not revealed the cause of the obstruction (11,15,16).

A recent review of 19 studies (2) calculated a success rate of 55% for laparoscopy in the treatment of small bowel obstruction. Conversion to an open procedure was required in 33.5% of cases. The reasons for conversion were dense adhesions (27.7%), bowel resection (23.1%), unidentifiable cause (13%), iatrogenic injury (10.2%),

cancer (7.4%), poor exposure (4.2%), hernia (3.2%), and other (11.1%). Hand-assisted procedures with an incision of less than 10 cm were not considered as conversions. The indications for a hand-assisted technique were doubtful viable bowel, iatrogenic injury, and need for intestinal anastomosis (15,17,18).

Another study of 46 patients who had undergone laparoscopic lysis of adhesions (19) reported conversion to minilaparotomy in 2.2% of cases and conversion to an open procedure in 6.5%. The procedure was completed by laparoscopy in 91.3% of cases with no intra- or postoperative complications, and 93.5% of patients were asymptomatic at a median follow-up of 46.5 months. The authors attributed the efficacy and safety of the procedure to careful selection of patients. In fact, only 13% had dense adhesions and the cohort excluded patients whose obstruction was caused by cancer.

Single adhesions are often secondary to a previous appendectomy (4,9,17,20-24) and can cause small bowel obstruction (44%). Both these and adhesions following cholecystectomies (6) can be treated safely and successfully with laparoscopy. The presence of multiple dense adhesions increases the risk of iatrogenic perforations (17,22), especially upon insertion of the first trocar or during manipulation of the bowel loops. Smaller perforations can be repaired laparoscopically but in most cases a conversion to a laparoscopically-assisted or open procedure is advisable (2,6,10). In the latter case an increase in postoperative morbidity and duration of hospitalization is inevitable. Obviously, this is also true for patients requiring a second operation because an intra-operative injury was overlooked.

Careful selection is needed to ensure that patients will benefit from a laparoscopic approach. The following factors have been reported as increasing the need for conversion: more than 2 previous surgical procedures, bowel obstruction for more than 24 hours, bowel diameter greater than 4 cm on X-ray (5,11). In addition, laparoscopy is contraindicated in cases of peritonitis, peritoneal carcinomatosis, dense adhesions, bowel ischemia requiring intestinal resection, and high anesthetic risk (10,25).

To increase the safety of the laparoscopic approach (2,4,8,17,22,26,27) it is advisable to limit use of monopolar electrocautery as far as possible, use atraumatic graspers, taking care to grip the bowel loop and leave free the mesentery, improve exposure by adjusting the patient's position, and limit dissection to the loops responsible for the occlusion and any loops restricting the view of the operative field. As mentioned above, an open Hassan technique (6,16,19) is preferable for more dense adhesions identified by preoperative ultrasound mapping; in such cases, the trocars should be placed away from the surgical scars and the site of adhesions.

Conclusions

The use of laparoscopy to treat small bowel obstruction is limited by the difficulty in creating an adequate space among the distended bowel loops. (2,20). This, together with the difficulty in handling the loops without causing injury, is responsible for the high rate of conversion to open surgery (6-50%) (6,11) and incidence of iatrogenic intestinal injuries (3-26.9%) (6,7,19). However, its success and safety can be impro-

ved by careful patient selection according to radiological and clinical data (1,28).

Laparoscopy offers considerable benefits over open surgery: fewer postoperative adhesions, shorter hospitalization, reduced operating time, reduced postoperative pain, and reduced morbidity and mortality, provided that an adequate surgical technique is used. The decision on whether or not to subsequently convert to an open or laparoscopically-assisted approach depends on the surgeon's skills and intraoperative variables (11).

References

- Ghezzi TL, Moschetti L, Corleta OC, Abreu GP, Abreus LP. Analysis of the videolaparoscopy potentiality in the surgical treatment of the bowel obstruction. Arq Gastroenterol 2010; 47(2): 148-51.
- Ghoshen B, Salameh JR. Laparoscopic approach to acute small bowel obstruction: review of 1061 cases. Surg Endosc 2007;21:1945-9.
- Franklin ME Jr, Gonzales JJ Jr, Milter DB, Glass JL, Paulson D. Laparoscopic diagnosis and treatment of intestinal obstruction. Surg Endosc 2004;18(1):26-30.
- Kirshtein B, Roy-Shapira A, Lantsberg L, Avinoach E, Mizrahi S. Laparoscopic management of acute small bowel obstruction. Surg Endosc 2005;19(4):464-7.
- Sauerland S, Agresta F, Bergamaschi R, Borzellino G, Budzynsky A, Champault G, Fingerhut A, Isla A, Joanssin M, Lundorff P, Navez B, Saad S, Neugebauer EAM. Laparoscopy for abdominal emergencies: evidence-based guidelines of the European Association for Endoscopy Surgery. Surg Endosc 2006;20(1):14-29.
- Grafen FC, Neuhaus V, Schöb O, Turina M. Management of acute small bowel obstruction from intestinal adhesions: indications for laparoscopic surgery in a community teaching hospital. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2010; 395(1): 57-63.
- Ten Broek RP, Van Goor H. Laparoscopic reintervention in colorectal surgery. Minerva Chir 2008; 63(2):161-8.
- Taylor GW, Jayne DG, Brown SR, Thorpe H, Brown JM, Dewberry SC, Parker MC, Guillou PJ. Adhesions and incisional hernias following laparoscopic versus open surgery for colorectal cancer in the CLASICC trial. Br J Surg 2010; 97(1): 70-8.
- Khaikin M, Schneidereit N, Cera S, Sands D, Efron J, Weiss EG, Nogueras JJ, Vernava AM, Wexner SD. Laparoscopic vs. open surgery for acute adhesive small bowel obstruction: patients' outcome and cost effectiveness. Surg Endosc 2007;21(5):742-6.
- Neff M, Schmidt B. Laparoscopic treatment of a postoperative small bowel obstruction. JSLS 2010; 14(1): 133-6.
- 11. Karamanakos SN, Sdralis E, Panagiotopoulos S, Kehagias I. Laparoscopy in the emergency setting: a retrospective review of 540 patients with acute abdominal pain. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. 2010; 20(2): 119-124.
- Garrard CL, Clements RH, Nanney L, Davidson JM, Richards WO. Adhesion formation is reduced after laparoscopic surgery. Surg Endosc 1999;13(1):10-3.
- 13. Gutt CN, Oniu T, Schemmer P, Mehraibi A, Buchler MW. Fewer adhesion induced by laparoscopic surgery? Surg Endosc 2004;18(6):898-906.
- 14. Duepree HJ, Senagore AJ, Delaney CP, Fazio VW. Does means of access affect the incidence of small bowel obstruction and ventral hernia after bowel resection? Laparoscopy versus laparotomy. J Am Coll

- Surg 2003;197(2):177-81.
- Stewart D, Hughes M, Hope WW. Laparoscopic-assisted small bowel resection for treatment of adult small bowel intussusception: a case report. Cases Journal 2008; 1(1):432.
- Burton E, McKeating J, Stahlfeld K. Laparoscopic management of a small bowel obstruction of unknown cause. JSLS 2008; 12(3): 299-302.
- 17. Luian HJ, Oren A, Plasencia G, Canelon G, Gomez E, Hernandez-Cano A, Jacobs M. Laparoscopic management as the initial treatment of small bowel obstruction. JSLS 2006;10(4):466-72.
- Marsden N, Saklani AP, Davies M, Chandrasekaran TV, Khot U, Beynon J. Laparoscopic right hemicolectomy for ileocolic intussusception secondary to caecal neoplasm. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2009;91(8):W7-8.
- Wang Q, Hu ZQ, Wang WJ, Zhang J, Wang Y, Ruan CP. Laparoscopic management of recurrent adhesive small-bowel obstruction: long-term follow-up. Surg Today 2009; 39(6): 493-9.
- Suter M, Zermatten P, Halkie N, Martinet O, Bettschart V. Laparoscopic management of mechanical small bowel obstruction: are there predictors of success or failure? Surg Endosc 2000; 14(5):478-83.
- 21. Ibrahim IM, Wolodiger F, Sussman B, Kahn M, Silvestri F, Sabar A. Laparoscopic management of acute small bowel obstruction. Surg Endosc 1996;10(10):1012-4.
- Strickland P, Lourie DJ, Suddleson EA, Blitz JB, Stain SC. Is laparoscopic safe and effective for treatment of acute small-bowel obstruction? Surg Endosc 1999;13(7):695-8.
- 23. Liauw JJ, Cheah WK. Laparoscopic management of acute small bowel obstruction. Asian J Sur 2005;28(3):185-8.
- Leon EL, Metzger A, Tsiotos GG, Schilkert RT, Sarr MG. Laparoscopic management of small bowel obstruction: indication and outcome. J Gastrointest Surg 1998;2(2):132-40.
- Fisher CP, Doherty D. Laparoscopic approach to small bowel obstruction: review of 1061 cases. Semin Laparosc Surg 2002;9:401-5. Ghosheh B, Salameh JR. Laparoscopic approach to acute small bowel obstruction: review of 1061 cases. Surg Endosc. 2007;21(11):1945-9.
- Nagle A, Ujiki M, Denham W, Murayama K. Laparoscopic adhesiolysis for small bowel obstruction. Am J Surg 2004;187(4):464-70.
- Caprini JA, Arcellus JA, Swanson J, Coats R, Hoffman K, Brosnan J, Blattner S. The ultrasonic localization of abdominal adhesions. Surg Endosc 1995;9(3):283-5.
- Ng SS, Lee JF, Yiu RY, Li JC, Leung WW, Leung KL. Emergency laparoscopic-assisted versus open right hemicolectomy for obstructing right-sided colonic carcinoma: a comparative study of short-term clinical outcomes World J Surg 2008; 32(3): 454-8.