Introduction

The use of the nasogastric tube (NGT) dates back to 300 years ago and since then it has been applied for both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. During the last century advances in abdominal surgery have fostered the use of NGT for various reasons. However, recent evidence suggests that the routine use of NGT may not be necessary in certain surgical procedures, such as elective colorectal surgery. This study aims to evaluate the tolerability and safety of the non-use of NGT in elective colorectal surgery.

Patients and methods

Between March 2009 and December 2010, 110 consecutive patients underwent elective colorectal surgery without nasogastric decompression. The incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting, pulmonary complications, return of bowel function, deep wound breakdown, and anastomotic leakage were assessed.

Results

Only 15 patients (13.6%) reported nausea without vomiting immediately after surgery, and 9 cases of vomiting were observed (8%), requiring the insertion of a nasogastric tube in 5 (4.5%). A total of 105 patients (96.3%) were NGT-free. No deep wound dehiscence was observed, and only one case of pneumonia occurred. Anastomotic dehiscence occurred in 4 patients (3.6%), and a second surgical procedure was needed in three cases. The return of bowel function, except in the last four patients, occurred in 3.8 days (range 2-7 days).

Conclusion

We confirm the uselessness of the NGT in the framework of a fast-track program adopted in elective open colorectal surgery.
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Introduction. Routine use of nasogastric tubes (NGT) after abdominal operations is intended to hasten the return of bowel function, diminish the risk of anastomotic leakage and prevent pulmonary complications. The aim of our study was to prospectively assess the tolerability and safety of the non-use of NGT after elective colorectal open operations.

Patients and methods. Between March 2009 and December 2010, 110 consecutive patients underwent colorectal elective open surgery for tumors. We analyzed the incidence of nausea and vomiting, the pulmonary complications, the return of bowel function the deep wound breakdown (fascial dehiscence) and the anastomotic leakage.

Results. Only 15 patients (13.6%) reported nausea without vomiting immediately after surgery and 9 cases of vomiting were observed (8%), requiring the insertion of the NGT (nasogastric tube) in 5 (4.5%). A total of 105 patients (96.3%) were NGT-free. No deep wound dehiscence was observed and only one real pneumonia occurred. Anastomotic dehiscence occurred in 4 patients (3.6%) and a second surgical procedure was needed in three cases. The return of bowel function, except in the last four patients, occurred in 3.8 days average (range 2-7 days).

Conclusion. We confirm the uselessness of the NGT in the framework of fast track program adopted in elective open colorectal surgery.
of the NGT for the prevention (1) of nausea and vomiting, the anastomotic leakage and the intestinal distension caused by postoperative ileus. Evidence of the widespread consensus on the use of the NGT is given in literature where it is described as “the standard of care” (2), “common practice” (3,4) and “unquestioned” (5).

Randomized studies (1) and metaanalysis (6) have nonetheless reduced the efficacy of the gastric preventive decompression. To date the surgical practice has not changed the past routine (7) and the NGT continues to be placed only because “traditionally used by most surgeons” (8). It needs to be investigated once more if the prophylactic use of NGT really decrease the incidence of nausea and vomiting, reduces the chance of pulmonary pneumonia, fascial dehiscence, wound infection, anastomotic leakage, and facilitates an earlier return of bowel function and earlier hospital discharge.

In the framework of a fast-track program implemented by the Division of General Surgery at the “Belcolle” Hospital in Viterbo (Italy) the non use of the NGT has been promoted in the elective colorectal surgery. A retrospective analysis of the results obtained with reference to the incidence of vomiting, the need to reposition the NGT, pulmonary complications, the return of bowel function and anastomotic leakage are reported in this article.

Patients and methods

Between March 2009 and December 2010, 164 patients were admitted at the Unit of General Surgery of the Hospital “Belcolle” (Viterbo, Italy) with a diagnosis of colorectal cancer; 10 patients undergoing emergency surgery for an obstructive malignancy and then they were not considered for the study. Another criteria of exclusion was a defunctioning stoma because of its preventive use in anastomotic leakage. Therefore a consecutive series of 110 patients, 67 men and 43 women, underwent emergency open surgery of any type for colorectal cancer and these represented our prospective non randomized cohort (Table 1).

Thirty-six patients (32.7%) reported of previous abdominal surgeries for diseases other than neoplastic ones.

Five days prior to surgery all patients followed a normal diet combined with the use of an immune modulating formula (enriched with arginine, omega-3 fatty acid and nucleotides) per os with three bricks/day equal to 711 ml/day. Patients followed the diet prescribed until the night before surgery and drank clear liquids (water or tea) or maltodextrines up to two hours before. In all the patients the intestinal preparation was done with polyethylene glycol, which was taken 24 hours prior to surgery. An antibiotic prophylaxis with Cephazolin 2 mg + Metronidazole 1 g was prescribed in the day of surgery and the dosage was to be repeated if surgery lasted more than 2.5 hours.

We adopted the P-Possum score as a scoring system of risk prediction of mortality and morbidity which respectively resulted in 4% and 38.4% on the average.

The surgical open procedures employed were: 57 right colectomies (33.6%); 31 left colectomies (28%); 40 anterior rectal resections (36.5%); 2 segmental colonic resections (1.8%). There were 27 associated procedures: 10 colecystectomies, 8 hepatic metastasectomies, 8 oophorectomies, 1 spleen-distal pancreatectomy.

The NGT was placed after induction of anaesthesia in the operative room and removed soon after the surgical procedure; it was reinserted after two episodes of vomiting over 24 hours despite the use of Metoclopramide and the absence of bowel movements.

All patients were given a continuous analgesic therapy with morphine during the first 48 post-operative hours; 12 patients were required a further dosage of analgesic. A clear liquid diet for the 24 hours following surgery was prescribed and a semiliquid diet started from the third post-operative day. However a progressive oral intake was decided based upon the requests of patients, in compliance with an early oral feeding program. The resolution of postoperative ileus was defined as having bowel movement in the absence of abdominal distension and vomiting. The patients were discharged after they were tolerating regular diet for at least 24 hours.

We evaluated the incidence of vomiting, the need of repositioning of the NGT, the deep wound breakdown (fascial dehiscence), the pulmonary pneumonia, evaluated clinically and by radiogram, the return of bowel function measured by the time of flatus and the anastomotic leakage proved by discharge of bowel content via the drain or by radiological findings.

The data were retrieved by using a computer database containing demographic, clinical, operative, pathologic and post-operative data.

Results

All the patients were submitted to a radical resection as it was confirmed by the histopathological exam. The resected specimens were staged according to the AJCC (American Joint Committee on cancer) / UICC (International Union against Cancer) system: 32 patients in stage I (29%), 57 in stage II (51.8%), 20 in stage III (18%); besides it was proven histologically one case of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1 - CHARACTERISTICS OF PATIENTS.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men/Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous abdominal surgeries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right colectomy</td>
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*Average value.*
GIST of the colon. The average operation time was 150 minutes (range 60-270 min). The intraoperative bleeding was calculated by the bag of suction device and the average blood loss was 220 ml.

We analyzed the incidence of vomiting, the repositioning of the NGT, the pulmonary complications, the return of bowel function, the fascial dehiscence and the anastomotic leakage (Table 2). Only 15 patients (13.6%) reported nausea without vomiting immediately after surgery and it was resolved spontaneously, while in 5 cases the seriousness of symptoms required the use of Metoclopramide 10 mg ev. Only 9 cases of vomiting were observed (8%), requiring the insertion of the NGT in 4 (4.5%); in four patients it was due to anastomotic dehiscence in fourth postoperative day, while another one presented a delayed postoperative ileus with more than two episodes of vomiting. Therefore 105 patients (96.3%) avoided the NGT and the majority of them tolerated the early feeding schedule.

A wound infection was observed in 18 patients (16.3%) but any deep wound dehiscence arose. The anastomotic dehiscence occurred in 4 patients (3.6%), requiring a second surgical procedure in three, while a conservative treatment was adopted successfully in one case. Excluding the late subgroup of patients, the return of bowel function, measured by time to flatus emitted by the intestine on the average occurred in 3.8 days (range 2-7 days). A pleuro-pulmonary complication, documented by a chest radiography, occurred in 6 patients (5.4%), but a real pulmonary pneumonia, confirmed by laboratory data, was observed in only one patient (0.9%) and it was treated with an antibiotic therapy. The overall morbidity was 32.7% (36 patients) and the mortality rate was 3.6% (4 patients): there were no significant differences with the P-Possum risk prediction, 38.4% (p=0.29) and 4% (p=0.69), respectively.

The average hospitalization was of 8.2 days (range 6-29 days).

Discussion

This article reports the experience of the Unit of General Surgery of “Belcolle” Hospital (Viterbo, Italy) in non using the NGT in consecutive patients submitted to colo-rectal elective surgery.

The endpoints of this study were the incidence of vomiting, the repositioning of the NGT, the pulmonary complications, deep wound breakdown, the return of bowel function and the anastomotic leakage, regardless of the surgical procedure followed.

It is shared opinion that the NGT drains the stomach thus facilitating breathing and reducing the risk of pulmonary complications; furthermore many surgeons (2,6,7) believe that the NGT may reduce abdominal distension thus improving the patients’ comfort, and the anastomosis may be protected from the passage of various organic liquids and thus from the risk of leakage.

Actually, yet in 1963, Gerber (9) informed about the correlation between the use of the nasogastric tube and the incidence of temperature and pulmonary complications. This was further confirmed by a metanalysis carried out by Nelson (1) on nineteen studies that compared the use and the non use of the NGT in abdominal surgery.

In our group of patients we observed only one case of pulmonary infection treated with an adequate antibiotic therapy. Our data coincide with other studies in colo-rectal surgery (10-12) thus confirming the uselessness of the naso-gastric tube in the prevention of pulmonary infections. Furthermore, a tube inserted in the upper respiratory tract may quite reasonably obstruct breathing and reduce the patient’s comfort.

In 15 patients (13.6%) we observed nausea soon after surgery, but the symptom disappeared spontaneously or with the intake of Metoclopramide. In 5 patients (4.5%) we had to reposition the NGT because of vomiting. In four patient the physiopathologic reason for a repositioning was a dehiscence of anastomosis requiring a second surgical procedure in three. We therefore consider that vomiting by a delayed postoperative ileus effectively occurred in one case only (0.9%) of our group of patients without NGT. This data confirm the results of a recent review (13) that reporting the use or non use of the NGT in elective abdominal surgery does not significantly prevent the incidence of nausea or vomiting.

Cheatham (6), indeed reported that 30 patients out of all those who were inserted a NGT ended their hospitalization without it (Relative Risk Difference= 30.5 patients).

Following the literature, we considered the return of bowel function by time to flatus emitted by the intestine, a further endpoint in our group of patients. In six case studies (11,12,14-16) of colo-rectal surgery a range of 2.7-4 days without the use of the naso-gastric tube is reported in terms of “time of flatus” as a statistically better result compared to the patients with NGT. The Authors, therefore, believe that the gastric decompression is useless.

\begin{table} [h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{ |c|c| }
\hline
\textbf{Vomiting} & 9 pts (8\%) \\
\textbf{Repositioning of NGT} & 5 pts (4.5\%) \\
\textbf{Deep wound dehiscence} & 0 pts (0\%) \\
\textbf{Pulmonary complications} & 1 pts (0.9\%) \\
\textbf{Anastomotic dehiscence} & 4 pts (3.6\%) \\
\hline
\textbf{Return to bowel function} & 3,8 days* (range 2-7 days) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{ENDPOINTS OF THE STUDY.}
\end{table}
Does the nasogastric tube has a role in elective colo-rectal surgery?

This study questions also the need to postpone the oral feeding patients until after the resolution of colonic ileus. As was shown, the majority of patients who were fed earlier tolerated the gradual dietary advancement before their first postoperative bowel movement. Anyway, small intestinal motility followed by gastric motility has been shown to return earlier than colonic motility (17, 18).

Finally, the incidence of anastomotic leakage in our group of 110 colo-rectal consecutive resections accounted for 3.6% (four patients), thus proving that the insertion of a naso-gastric tube does not protect intestinal sutures. In this case an analysis carried out on 13 randomized studies (13) of abdominal surgery showed that the use of the NGT does not imply a lower incidence of anastomotic fistula. The literature reports 6 randomized studies (3,11,12,14,16,19) which analysed the correlation between the use of the NGT and the anastomotic leakage. All the studies confirmed that the naso-gastric tube does not protect the sutures of the bowel (p<0.8).

Therefore we believe that scientific evidence confirms the uselessness of the NGT in elective colo-rectal surgery (recommendation grade A). We are convinced that this may be applied to the surgery of the small intestine as well, and that further randomized studies will confirm the first results reported for gastric surgery (20,21).

We adding that both the non use of NGT and the early oral feeding may be adopted in open colo-rectal surgery, confirming that fast track program is equivalent to potential benefits of laparoscopic colo-rectal surgery (22).
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