

# Bioactive factors for tissue regeneration: state of the art

Shinsuke Ohba,<sup>1</sup>  
Hironori Hojo<sup>1</sup>  
Ung-il Chung<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Clinical Biotechnology, Center for Disease Biology and Integrative Medicine, The University of Tokyo Graduate School of Medicine, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Bioengineering, The University of Tokyo Graduate School of Engineering, Tokyo, Japan

## Corresponding author:

Shinsuke Ohba  
Division of Clinical Biotechnology, Center for Disease Biology and Integrative Medicine,  
The University of Tokyo Graduate School of Medicine  
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan  
e-mail: soba@bmw.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp

## Summary

**There are three components for the creation of new tissues: cell sources, scaffolds, and bioactive factors. Unlike conventional medical strategies, regenerative medicine requires not only analytical approaches but also integrative ones. Basic research has identified a number of bioactive factors that are necessary, but not sufficient, for organogenesis. In skeletal development, these factors include bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), transforming growth factor  $\beta$  TGF- $\beta$ , Wnts, hedgehogs (Hh), fibroblast growth factors (FGFs), insulin-like growth factors (IGFs), SRY box-containing gene (Sox) 9, Sp7, and runt-related transcription factors (Runx). Clinical and preclinical studies have been extensively performed to apply the knowledge to bone and cartilage regeneration. Given the large number of findings obtained so far, it would be a good time for a multi-disciplinary, collaborative effort to optimize these known factors and develop appropriate drug delivery systems for delivering them.**

*Key words: bone regeneration, cartilage regeneration, chondrocyte, mesenchymal cell, osteoblast.*

## Introduction

Conventional medical strategies have focused on determining and removing the causes of diseases. In line with the treatment strategies, basic research has mostly been

focused on clarifying causes. Recent progresses in biology contribute to uncovering pathophysiology of diseases at a gene level; identification of the genes responsible for disease pathogenesis through forward genetics and analyses of gene-manipulated mice through reverse genetics has enabled us to understand the molecular mechanisms of organogenesis as well as the pathophysiology of diseases. These analytical approaches will further help us to develop treatment strategies and drugs that are targeted to factors causing diseases.

When it comes to the repair of damaged tissues, the conventional strategies have relied mostly on the natural healing abilities of tissues-i.e., helping the tissues to exert their own healing abilities more efficiently. But this approach has been much less effective at curing irreversible tissue defects. Such defects are mainly treated by two strategies: tissue grafts and prosthetic implants. Autografts are superior to the other techniques in terms of function and engraftment, because the tissue is derived from the same individual and contains live cells and growth factors. Autografts are thought to have the ability both to facilitate tissue regeneration and to actively induce tissue regeneration, which enables them to be speedily fused and integrated to the implantation site. However, donor site morbidity often occurs<sup>1</sup>. Although allografts are not invasive and are less restricted in quantity, they run a biological risk of contamination by pathogens as well as ethical challenges<sup>2</sup>. In addition, we need to reduce immunological reactions between host and donor tissues when using allografts. Thus, grafts have shortcomings both with respect to quantity (availability of suitable graft material) and quality (donor site troubles, graft rejection, and disease transmission). Prosthetic implants overcome some problems associated with grafts, but have shortcomings concerning biocompatibility, function, and longevity.

Regenerative medicine utilizing tissue engineering techniques has drawn attention as a possible solution to these problems. In 1993, Langer and Vacanti proposed three components for the creation of new tissues: cell sources, scaffolds, and tissue-inducing factors (signaling factors), which we call bioactive factors hereafter<sup>3</sup>. It is crucial to justify and optimize the use of each component as well as to sufficiently advance and combine the three components<sup>4</sup>. The justification and optimization should be achieved by combining findings from biology, medicine, engineering, medical ethics, etc. Thus, unlike the conventional strategies, regenerative medicine would require not only analytical approaches but also integrative ones. Bioactive factors include a broad range of factors: growth factors, intracellular signaling molecules such as receptors, kinases and transcription factors, and signaling mimetics derived from synthetic or natural compounds.

However, in most cases, basic research has so far elucidated bioactive factors that are necessary, but not sufficient, for organogenesis. We will eventually have to identify the sufficient factors through both analytical and integrative approaches.

This work provides an overview of the progress on bioactive factors for tissue regeneration, with a particular focus on the regeneration of bone and cartilage, as a first step toward identifying bioactive factors sufficient for the regeneration. We initially discuss key factors for bone and cartilage development, then expand the discussion to the regeneration of these tissues. In addition, we mention our own approaches for optimizing bioactive factors.

### Key factors for bone and cartilage development

#### Overview of bone and cartilage development

The mammalian skeleton is derived from three distinct origins, the paraxial mesoderm, the lateral plate mesoderm, and the ectoderm-derived neural crest; they give rise to the axial skeleton, the appendicular skeleton, and the facial skeleton, respectively. Another classification of the mammalian skeleton is based on two modes of ossification<sup>5</sup>, intramembranous ossification and endochondral ossification. In the former process, through which the facial skeleton is formed, mesenchymal cells condense and directly differentiate into osteoblasts to deposit bone matrix. Appendicular and axial skeletons develop through endochondral ossification, in which cartilage mold is initially formed and subsequently replaced by bone and bone marrow.

Most bones of mesodermal origin undergo endochondral ossification<sup>5</sup>. In the process, undifferentiated mesenchymal cells condense with the rough configuration of future skeletons. Cells in the core differentiate into chondrocytes; thin layers of cells at the periphery differentiate into fibroblast-like perichondrial cells. Chondrocytes proliferate rapidly and become post-mitotic, and start enlarging to differentiate into hypertrophic chondrocytes. Cells adjacent to hypertrophic chondrocytes are specified into the osteoblast lineage to form the bone collar and the primary spongiosa. Recent progress in understanding how the cascade of skeletal formation is regulated has revealed several growth factors and transcription factors essential for the process, including bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs)<sup>6</sup>, transforming growth factor  $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ )<sup>6</sup>, Wnts<sup>7</sup>, hedgehogs (Hhs)<sup>8</sup>, fibroblast growth factors (FGFs)<sup>9</sup>, insulin-like growth factors (IGFs)<sup>10</sup>, SRY box-containing gene (Sox) 9<sup>11</sup>, Sp7, which is also known as osterix (Osx)<sup>12</sup>, and runt-related transcription factors (Runx)<sup>12</sup>.

#### Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs)

BMPs have multiple important roles during skeletal formation. *Bmp2*, *3*, *4* and *7* are expressed in the perichondrium<sup>13-16</sup>; *Bmp2* and *6* are expressed in hypertrophic chondrocytes<sup>17</sup>, and *Bmp7* is expressed in proliferating chondrocytes<sup>18</sup>. BMP receptors also exhibit characteristic expression patterns in the growth plate. BMP receptor type 1A (*Bmpr1a*), also known as activin receptor-like kinase (ALK) 3, is highly expressed in perichondrial cells, proliferating chondrocytes, and hypertrophic chondro-

cytes; BMP receptor type 1B (*Bmpr1b*, ALK6) is expressed throughout the growth plate and in the perichondrium; and activin A receptor type 1 (*Acvr1*, ALK2) is expressed in resting and proliferating chondrocytes<sup>14,19-21</sup>. BMP receptor type II (*Bmpr2*) is expressed throughout the growth plate.

Tissue-specific ablation of the signaling offers consistent results which suggest that BMP signaling supports the proliferation of chondrocytes and induces early chondrocyte differentiation<sup>22,23</sup>. However, the effects of BMP signaling on chondrocyte hypertrophy are still debated; both *in vitro* and *in vivo* evidence suggest that the signaling promotes or inhibits the hypertrophic differentiation<sup>24-27</sup>. As BMPs derive their name from their potent ability to induce ectopic bone formation when subcutaneously implanted in rodents<sup>28</sup>, there are a number of studies reporting that BMPs stimulate osteoblast differentiation. In particular, phenotypes of mutant mice in which BMP-related genes are manipulated in a tissue-specific manner suggest that BMP signaling does not directly induce bone formation in the fetal stage, but rather regulates bone homeostasis after birth<sup>26,29-32</sup>.

#### Transforming growth factor beta $\beta$ TGF- $\beta$

Perichondrial cells and hypertrophic chondrocytes express *Tgfb1*, *2*, and *3*. The expressions of *Tgfb1* and *2* are maintained in adult articular cartilage, suggesting a role for the TGF- $\beta$  pathway in the maintenance of permanent cartilage<sup>33,34</sup>. TGF- $\beta$  receptor type 1 (*Tgfb1*, ALK5) and TGF beta receptor II (*Tgfb2*) are expressed in perichondrial cells as well as proliferating and hypertrophic chondrocytes within the growth plate. TGF- $\beta$ s have been shown to inhibit chondrocyte hypertrophy *in vitro*, and this effect was partially mediated by the induction of parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP) expression<sup>35</sup>. This action was supported by phenotypes of mice overexpressing a dominant-negative form of *Tgfb2*<sup>36</sup> and *Smad3*<sup>-/-</sup> mice<sup>37</sup>; these mutant mice showed severe progressive osteoarthritis, in which the hypertrophic zone was enlarged and the proliferating zone was reduced in postnatal articular and growth plate chondrocytes.

#### Wnts

The Wnt-signaling pathway is roughly divided into two by its modes of signal transduction, i.e., the  $\beta$ -catenin-dependent mode (canonical Wnt pathway) and the  $\beta$ -catenin-independent mode (non-canonical Wnt pathway)<sup>38</sup>. The former is primed by the binding of Wnts to Frizzled receptors and the low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5 or 6 (LRP5/6) in vertebrates. This interaction leads to inhibition of the activity of glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK-3 $\beta$ ), a kinase which directs beta-catenin ( $\beta$ -catenin) to degradation. Accumulated  $\beta$ -catenin forms a complex with lymphoid enhancer-binding factor/T cell-specific transcription factor (Lef/Tcf) in the nucleus, contributing to the transcriptional activation of target genes<sup>38</sup>. Non-canonical Wnt pathways include a planar cell polarity pathway, a Ca<sup>2+</sup>/protein kinase A pathway, and a protein kinase C-dependent pathway<sup>38</sup>. A number of Wnt ligands are expressed in growth cartilage and regulate endochondral ossification<sup>39</sup>. Limb bud cell-specific<sup>40</sup>, condensed mesenchyme-spe-

cific<sup>41</sup>, or chondrocyte-specific<sup>42</sup> ablation of  $\beta$ -catenin leads to the impairment of chondrocyte maturation in mice. In addition, chondrocyte hypertrophy has been shown to be significantly delayed in *Wnt5a*<sup>-/-</sup> mice<sup>43</sup>. On the other hand, transgenic mice expressing *Wnt14* in chondrocytes showed an accelerated hypertrophy of chondrocytes<sup>44</sup>; however, constitutive stabilization of  $\beta$ -catenin in immature chondrocytes suppressed hypertrophy<sup>42,45</sup>. Thus, mutants of Wnt signaling molecules show contradictory phenotypes depending on the stage of chondrogenesis, which suggests that the signaling needs to be kept within a certain range in order to positively promote chondrogenesis.

Canonical Wnt signaling is necessary for proper osteoblastogenesis. The results of studies on *in vivo* loss-of-function of  $\beta$ -catenin suggest that canonical Wnt signaling is required for the transition of *Runx2*-positive osteoblast precursors into *Osx*-positive ones and the maturation of osteoblasts<sup>40,41,46</sup>. In addition, canonical Wnt signaling has been shown to suppress osteoclastogenesis by activating the expression of osteoprotegerin, an inhibitor of osteoclast differentiation, in mature osteoblasts<sup>47</sup>. It is notable that loss- or gain-of-function mutations in *LRP5* are linked with the osteoporosis-pseudoglioma syndrome<sup>48</sup> and a familial high bone mass phenotype<sup>49</sup>, respectively, which were recapitulated in mice carrying similar mutations<sup>50,51</sup>.

#### Hedgehogs (*Hhs*)

Mammals have three homologues of drosophila hedgehog: sonic hedgehog (*Shh*), indian hedgehog (*Ihh*), and desert hedgehog (*Dhh*)<sup>52</sup>. Among them, *Ihh* has been shown to be essential for endochondral ossification. Mouse genetic studies have revealed that *Ihh* forms a negative feedback loop with PTHrP to maintain proper differentiation of growth plate cartilage<sup>53,54</sup>. In the model, PTHrP, which is expressed in periarticular chondrocytes, acts on columnar proliferating chondrocytes to inhibit their hypertrophy via PTH/PTHrP receptors (PPRs). Prehypertrophic chondrocytes highly express not only PPRs but also *Ihh*. *Ihh* may act on periarticular chondrocytes to induce PTHrP expression in an *Ihh* gradient-dependent manner. Thus, the length of proliferating columns, and hence the growth potential of cartilage, is critically determined by the *Ihh*-PTHrP negative feedback loop. In addition, *Ihh* itself has positive effects on chondrocyte proliferation<sup>55-57</sup>.

In osteoblast differentiation, direct input of *Ihh* to mesenchymal cells in the perichondrium or primary spongiosa is required for their specification into the osteoblast lineage<sup>56,58</sup>. Perichondrial cells in *Ihh*<sup>-/-</sup> mice have been shown to neither express *Runx2*, one of the earliest markers of the osteoblast lineage, nor form bone collars<sup>56</sup>. The requirement of Hh is restricted to endochondral bones; inactivation of Hh signaling affects endochondral ossification, but not intramembranous ossification<sup>56,58</sup>. We recently reported that Gli1, a transcriptional activator acting downstream of Hh signaling, worked for the initial requirement of Hh signaling in the specification of progenitors into osteoblast lineages by cooperating with two other Gli members, Gli2 and Gli3<sup>59</sup>. Hh signaling is also involved in the maintenance of bone mass in adults<sup>50,61</sup>.

#### Fibroblast growth factors (FGFs)

FGF ligands and FGF receptors (FGFRs) are expressed at every stage of endochondral ossification<sup>62</sup>. In particular, *Fgfr3* is expressed in proliferating chondrocytes and *Fgfr1* is expressed in prehypertrophic and hypertrophic chondrocytes<sup>5</sup>. Transgenic mice carrying activating point mutations in *Fgfr3* showed a decreased rate of chondrocyte proliferation and impaired chondrocyte hypertrophy<sup>63</sup>. Conversely, *Fgfr3*<sup>-/-</sup> mice exhibited an increased rate of proliferation of chondrocytes and an expansion of hypertrophic chondrocytes<sup>64</sup>. In humans, activating mutations in the *FGFR3* gene cause several types of chondrodysplasia including achondroplasia (ACH, OMIM 100800), hypochondroplasia (HCH, OMIM 146000), and thanatophoric dysplasia type I and II (OMIM 187600 and 187601). As for FGF ligands, the strong resemblance between cartilage phenotypes in *Fgf18*<sup>-/-</sup> and *Fgfr3*<sup>-/-</sup> mice suggests that FGF18 is a relevant ligand with FGF signaling in chondrocytes, although such ligands are not fully defined yet<sup>5</sup>.

Osteoblast-specific inactivation of *Fgfr1* was shown to result in accelerated differentiation of osteoblasts, whereas the differentiation was delayed by knockout of *Fgfr1* in osteo-chondroprogenitors<sup>66</sup>. These data suggest that FGFR1 promotes early osteoblast differentiation, but inhibits osteoblast maturation. Skeletal dwarfism with decreased bone mass has been observed in mesenchyme-specific *Fgfr2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, in which the proliferation of osteoprogenitors and anabolic function of mature osteoblasts were disturbed<sup>67</sup>. *Fgfr3*<sup>-/-</sup> mice had defects in bone as well as those in cartilage mentioned earlier; the mutants showed osteopenia, suggesting roles of FGFR3 in postnatal homeostasis of bone<sup>68</sup>. In addition, deletion of *Fgf2* caused osteopenia in adult mice<sup>69</sup>, and *Fgf2* transgenic mice had defects in bone mineralization<sup>70</sup>. Based on these data, one can infer that in osteoblast differentiation, FGF signaling positively acts on the proliferation of immature cells to form a progenitor pool, which is why both activation and inactivation of the signaling affect bone formation.

#### Insulin-like growth factors (IGFs)

Insulin and IGFs bind to receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) to initiate cellular responses. RTKs are known to regulate a variety of signaling pathways controlling metabolism, growth, and survival. Insulin receptor substrates (IRSs) are substrates of RTKs, integrating pleiotropic effects of insulin and IGFs. There are two IGFs, IGF-1 and IGF-2; IGF1 is thought to control body size throughout development<sup>71,72</sup>, whereas IGF-2 is indispensable for normal embryonic growth<sup>73,74</sup>.

Type I IGF receptor (*Igf1r*), a receptor for both IGF-1 and IGF-2, is expressed in both chondrocytes and osteoblasts. *Igf1r*<sup>-/-</sup> mouse embryos exhibited a smaller skeleton with significant delays in ossification, suggesting an important role of IGF-1 signaling in skeletal growth<sup>72</sup>. In addition, the size of hypertrophic chondrocytes was reduced in postnatal *Igf1r*<sup>-/-</sup> mice<sup>75</sup>.

Patients with type 1 diabetes caused by insulin deficiency are associated with osteoporosis<sup>76</sup>; those with Laron syndrome caused by IGF-1 deficiency also exhibit this condition<sup>77</sup>. A reduction in IGF-1 is also an important factor in the

etiology of involuntal osteoporosis, and especially of age-related bone loss<sup>78</sup>. Based on our results of analyses on *Irs1*<sup>-/-</sup> or *Irs2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice, it is proposed that IRS-2 was necessary for maintaining the predominance of bone formation over bone resorption<sup>79</sup>, whereas IRS-1 maintained bone turnover<sup>80</sup>.

#### *SRY box-containing gene 9 (Sox9)*

Sox9 has been shown to be essential for mesenchymal condensations and subsequent formation and maintenance of chondrocytes<sup>81,82</sup>. Two members of the Sox family, Sox5 and Sox6, are known to function as coactivators of Sox9 in the chondrocyte differentiation<sup>83</sup>. *Sox9*, *Sox5* and *Sox6* (the Sox trio) are expressed at a high level in proliferative and prehypertrophic chondrocytes, and at a moderate level in hypertrophic chondrocytes<sup>84</sup>. The function of Sox9 in chondrocyte hypertrophy is controversial; hypertrophic chondrocyte-specific overexpression of *Sox9* led to delayed hypertrophy, while chondrocyte hypertrophy was accelerated in the *Sox9*<sup>+/-</sup> cartilage<sup>42,85</sup>. On the other hand, Sox9 was recently shown to be required to delay prehypertrophy and to allow chondrocyte hypertrophy<sup>84</sup>. Collectively, these results suggest that Sox9 likely exerts its different effects in different stages of chondrocyte differentiation, possibly by interacting with different partners in addition to Sox5 and 6. Haploinsufficiency of *SOX9* in humans causes campomelic dysplasia (CD; OMIM 114290), and skeletal defects in CD patients are recapitulated in *Sox9*<sup>+/-</sup> mice<sup>86</sup>.

#### *Sp7*

Osterix (*Osx*), a zinc finger - containing transcription factor encoded by *Sp7*, is highly expressed in osteoblastic cells of all skeletal elements<sup>87</sup>. *Osx* has been thought to be one of the master regulators of osteoblastogenesis, since disruption of *Sp7* resulted in perinatal lethality with a complete lack of bone formation in both intramembranous and endochondral bones<sup>87</sup>. Unlike in *Runx2*-deficient mice (as discussed below), chondrocyte differentiation and mineralization were normal in *Sp7*<sup>-/-</sup> mice<sup>87</sup>. Furthermore, *Sp7*<sup>-/-</sup> perichondrial cells ectopically differentiated into chondrocytes expressing *Sox9*<sup>87</sup>. *Sp7* was not expressed in *Runx2*<sup>-/-</sup> embryos, and *Runx2* was expressed normally in *Sp7*<sup>-/-</sup> embryos<sup>87</sup>, suggesting that *Osx* genetically acted downstream of *Runx2* in the transcriptional cascade of osteoblast differentiation.

#### *Runx-related transcription factors (Runx) and core binding factor beta (Cbfb)*

In mammals, there are three *Runx* genes: *Runx1*, *Runx2*, and *Runx3*<sup>88</sup>. Developing cartilage expresses *Runx1*; *Runx2* is expressed in proliferating, prehypertrophic, and hypertrophic chondrocytes as well as perichondrium including osteoblast precursors; *Runx3* is mainly expressed in hypertrophic chondrocytes. In 1997, three different groups reported that *Runx2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice lacked osteoblasts in both intramembranous and endochondral bones, which indicated that *Runx2* was indispensable for osteoblastogenesis<sup>89-91</sup>. The importance of *Runx2* in bone formation is underscored by the existence of cleidocranial dysplasia, a hereditary skeletal disorder caused by inactivating mutations of one of the *RUNX2* alleles<sup>91</sup>.

Chondrocyte hypertrophy was also affected in the *Runx2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice<sup>89</sup>, although proliferating chondrocytes were present. Chondrocyte-specific *Runx2* transgenic mice exhibited acceleration of hypertrophy with ectopic expression of prehypertrophic and hypertrophic markers including *Col10a1* and *Ihh*<sup>92</sup>. Yoshida et al. further reported that chondrocyte hypertrophy was absent in *Runx2*<sup>-/-</sup>; *Runx3*<sup>-/-</sup> compound mutants, suggesting that those two genes had an overlapping and necessary function in the process<sup>93</sup>. *Runx1* was shown to regulate the commitment of mesenchymal cells to the chondrocyte lineage by cooperating with *Runx2* to induce the expression of *Sox5* and *6*<sup>94</sup>.

Core binding factor beta (*Cbfb*) is known to be a cofactor of *Runx* proteins. *Cbfb* is highly expressed in prehypertrophic and hypertrophic chondrocytes, perichondrial cells and osteoblasts. *Cbfb*<sup>-/-</sup> mice display a lack of osteogenesis and a disruption of chondrocyte hypertrophy like that seen in *Runx2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice<sup>93,95</sup>.

### **Application of bioactive factors to bone and cartilage regeneration**

#### *Bone regeneration*

The efficacy of recombinant human BMP-2 (rhBMP-2) and rhBMP-7 in the fracture repair of tibia and spine fusion has been shown by several clinical trials<sup>96</sup>. Friedlaender et al. found in a randomized, prospective, and multi-institution study that the clinical outcomes of rhBMP-7 treatment were comparable to those of autologous bone grafts, with no adverse events in the treatment of tibial non-unions, which led them to conclude that rhBMP-7 was a safe and effective alternative to bone grafts<sup>97</sup>. Govender et al. reported the safety and efficacy of rhBMP-2 for the treatment of open tibial fractures by a prospective, randomized, controlled, and single-blind study<sup>98</sup>. Burkus et al.<sup>99</sup> and Johnsson et al.<sup>100</sup> described successful outcomes of the use of rhBMP-2 in posterolateral lumbar spine fusion and the use of rhBMP-7 in noninstrumented posterolateral spinal fusions, respectively. There are other promising results on the efficacy of BMPs in clinical settings, which Lee et al. recently reviewed<sup>96</sup>. However, a large amount of BMP is required for the treatments, and BMP-containing devices often fail, which raises concerns over costs and safety<sup>101-103</sup>. The reasons may be related to a lack of delivery systems which enable the release of BMPs in a controlled and sustained manner, the short biological half-life of BMPs, and the difficulties in mimicking the biological condition<sup>104</sup>. Takaoka et al. studied PLA derivatives and their composites with other materials as a carrier of rhBMP-2<sup>105-108</sup>. They combined a block co-polymer of PLA-p-dioxanone-poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) and beta-TCP (PLA/PEG/beta-TCP)<sup>109</sup>. The efficacy of the rhBMP-2-loaded PLA/PEG/beta-TCP in bone repair has been shown by various animal models relevant to clinical situations<sup>110-113</sup>. Similarly, Nie et al. reported the delivery of plasmids expressing *BMP-2* using PLGA/hydroxyapatite composite scaffolds<sup>114</sup>. Finally, some studies have suggested that the use of BMP enables us to utilize abundant autologous adult cells for bone regeneration; Krebsbach et al. and Hirata et al. de-

scribed *in vivo* bone regeneration using dermal fibroblasts that were infected with adenoviruses expressing BMP-7 and BMP-2, respectively<sup>115,116</sup>.

Among Wnt ligands, Wnt3a has been applied to the enhancement of bone regeneration or osseointegration of implants. Using a 1.0 mm hole drilled in mouse tibia, Minear et al. showed that Wnt3a, purified and packaged in liposomal vesicles, increased the rate of bone regeneration<sup>117</sup>. In terms of the mechanisms underlying the effect of Wnt3a, they found that Wnt3a treatment stimulated the proliferation of cells around injury sites and their differentiation into osteoblasts. The group also reported that the liposomal Wnt3a enhanced osseointegration of implants in mouse tibia<sup>118</sup>.

The *in vivo* bone regeneration effect of Hh proteins was initially examined by the transplantation of *SHH*-transduced cells into rat calvarial bone defects. Gingival fibroblasts, periosteal-derived cells, or fat-derived stem cells were retrovirally transduced with human *SHH* and encapsulated into the alginate/type I collagen-mixed matrix; these cell-matrix composites were found to induce substantial bone regeneration in a rat model<sup>119</sup>. In line with these results, Wang et al. showed impaired bone healing in mice defective in Hh signaling as well as *in vivo* bone regeneration by periosteal cells adenovirally transduced with *Shh*<sup>120</sup>. What are the mechanisms underlying the osteogenic effect of Hh? Recently, Hh was shown to induce the development of the vasculature in terms of vascular lumen formation, size, and distribution. Lumen formation in a cellular aggregate consisting of human mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and endothelial cells depended on the activity of Hh signaling; the formation was enhanced when Hh signaling was exogenously stimulated by an Hh agonist or Shh protein. Furthermore, an Shh-treated cellular aggregate induced more bone formation than untreated aggregates when they were subcutaneously implanted. This result suggested that the enhancement of vasculature formation, as well as the osteogenic induction, contributed to Hh-mediated *in vivo* bone regeneration<sup>121</sup>. In addition, Song et al. described that bone marrow-derived MSCs transduced with both *Fgf2* and *Shh* showed more potent effects on bone regeneration in rat 8-mm-diameter calvarial defects than those transduced with *Fgf2* or *Shh* alone<sup>122</sup>.

The efficacy of FGF-2 alone on bone repair was examined in clinical settings as well as in preclinical studies. In a randomized and placebo-controlled trial, Kawaguchi et al. revealed that a local application of gelatin hydrogel containing recombinant human (rh) FGF-2 accelerated the healing of tibial shaft fractures without any significant difference in the profiles of adverse events between treatment and control groups<sup>123,124</sup>.

With regard to transcription factors, *in vitro* and preclinical studies point to Runx2 as a useful factor for bone regeneration using stem cells and/or osteoblast lineage cells<sup>125-128</sup>. Ye et al. recently achieved *in vivo* bone regeneration in a mouse calvarial bone defect model by transplanting induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) overexpressing *SATB2* into the defects. *SATB2* is a nuclear matrix protein promoting osteogenesis by interacting with Runx2 and activating transcription factor 4 (ATF 4)<sup>129</sup>. They reported that no tumor development was observed

in any of the mice that had undergone the transplantation of *SATB2*-overexpressing iPSCs. However, to apply this method in a clinical setting, it is necessary to examine how many cells still exist in an undifferentiated state and, if any, to completely sort them out after the osteogenic induction by *SATB2*.

Another strategy for bone regeneration is to activate osteogenic signaling pathways by small chemical compounds. Statins<sup>130</sup>, isoflavone derivatives<sup>131,132</sup>, and TAK-778<sup>133</sup> have been reported to stimulate osteogenic differentiation, but their osteogenic activity was shown only in specific cell types, including osteoblastic cells and stem cells. We have identified several osteogenic small compounds: 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)pyrido [4',3':4,5]thieno[2,3-b]pyridine-2-carboxamide (TH)<sup>134</sup>, icariin isolated from the herb *Epimedium pubescens*<sup>135</sup>, and an isoflavone derivative, glabrisoflavone<sup>136</sup>. These compounds may be candidates for small compound-mediated bone regeneration in the future.

#### Cartilage regeneration

Indrawattana et al. reported the use of three factors, TGF- $\beta$ 3, BMP-6, and IGF-1, in pellet cultures of human bone marrow cells for chondrogenic induction<sup>137</sup>. IGF-1-loaded fibrin clots induced cartilage repair in critical-sized, full thickness defects in adult horses<sup>138</sup> and partial thickness ones in mini pigs<sup>139</sup>. Implantation of chondrocytes loaded with IGF-1-containing fibrin clots improved the overall continuity and consistency of the cartilage repair, as compared with that of chondrocytes alone, in a horse model<sup>140</sup>. Nawata et al. generated cartilage discs by implanting, into subcutaneous tissues, muscle-derived cells that had been seeded on diffusion chambers with BMP-2; transplantation of the disc into osteochondral defects induced the repair of articular cartilages<sup>141</sup>. They also reported therapeutic effects of autologous MSCs transfected with cartilage-derived matrix protein (CDMP)-1 on cartilage defects created in rabbits<sup>142</sup>. TGF- $\beta$ 1 was shown to repair full-thickness cartilage defects by improving chondrocyte integration into endogenous tissues and to induce the differentiation of MSCs to form ectopic cartilage *in vivo*<sup>143</sup>. Regarding FGFs, Ishii et al. reported that the fibrin sealant-incorporating FGF-2 successfully induced the healing of surfaces of hyaline cartilages and concomitant repair of the subchondral bones in cartilage defects in rabbits' knees<sup>144</sup>. FGF18 also stimulated the repair of damaged cartilage<sup>145</sup>.

As described below, we previously induced chondrocyte markers in human skin fibroblasts *in vitro* by overexpressing the *SOX trio*<sup>146</sup>. Because the fibroblast-derived chondrocytes appeared to form fibrocartilage rather than hyaline cartilage, Hiramatsu et al. hypothesized that type I collagen expression still persisted in the cells and reprogramming factors might eliminate fibroblastic properties during chondrogenic differentiation of fibroblasts. Indeed, they achieved the generation of hyaline cartilage with fibroblasts retrovirally infected with two reprogramming factors, *c-Myc* and *Klf4*, and *Sox9*<sup>147</sup>.

With the ultimate goal of clinically applying autologous chondrocytes to cartilage regeneration, the combination of growth factors was optimized to expand human chondrocytes and to re-differentiate de-differentiated chon-

drocytes in culture<sup>148,149</sup>. The combination of FGF-2 with insulin or IGF-I was suggested to be useful for the promotion of chondrocyte proliferation<sup>148</sup>. Also, the combination of BMP-2, insulin, and triiodothyronine (T3) was found to be the most effective for the re-differentiation of the de-differentiated cells after repeated passages<sup>149</sup>.

As for small compounds effective for cartilage regeneration, TAK-778<sup>150</sup> and AG-041R<sup>151,152</sup> were reported to have *in vivo* chondrogenic effects. There are several other compounds showing positive effects on *in vitro* chondrocyte differentiation<sup>153-156</sup>. Recently, Johnson et al. found that kartogenin (KGN) promoted chondrocyte differentiation in human MSCs and achieved cartilage repair in both cytokine-induced and surgically-induced osteoarthritis in mice<sup>157</sup>. They identified an actin-binding protein, filamin A (FLNA), as a target molecule of KGN, and KGN blocked an association of FLNA with Cbfb, a cofactor of Runx family proteins. Based on these data, they concluded that KGN exerted chondrogenic effects by binding to FLNA and disrupting its interaction with Cbfb, which modulated the Runx proteins that were closely involved in proper chondrogenesis.

#### *Screening of bioactive factors for potent combinations for tissue regeneration*

Because most of the studies described so far focused on a single factor, the possibility remained that some combination of these and/or other signaling molecules might induce bone and cartilage regeneration more potently than a single factor. The optimization of bioactive factors through comprehensive screening would address this concern. For comprehensive screening, we developed cell-based sensors to detect osteoblast or chondrocyte differentiation by utilizing transgenes in which an osteoblast-specific promoter fragment (2.3 kb Col1a1 promoter) or chondrocyte-specific promoter (Col2a1 promoter) was linked to a green fluorescent protein (GFP) gene. Using embryonic stem cells (ESCs) carrying the 2.3 kb Col1a1 promoter-GFP transgene, we screened cDNA libraries and the combination of activators or inhibitors of osteogenesis-related pathways (BMP, Hh, Runx2, Wnt, and IGF-1) for combinations that induced GFP fluorescence. The screening revealed that the combination of BMP signaling and Runx2 was the most potent for the induction of GFP, i.e., osteogenic differentiation. The combination induced the differentiation in mouse ESCs, human dermal fibroblasts, and non-osteogenic cell lines. We succeeded in inducing rapid bone regeneration by transplantation of a monolayer sheet of fibroblasts transduced with this combination<sup>158</sup>.

We also used ESCs carrying the Col2a1 promoter-GFP transgene to screen factors that were known to be important for chondrogenesis, SOX5, SOX6, SOX9, IGF-1, FGF-2, Ihh, BMP-2, TGF- $\beta$ , and Wnts, for potent chondrogenic combinations. GFP expression was observed only upon the treatment with the *SOX trio*. The *SOX trio* successfully induced chondrocyte differentiation in all cell types tested, including ES cells, MSCs, and human skin fibroblasts. In contrast to the conventional chondrogenic techniques, the *SOX trio* suppressed hypertrophic and osteogenic differentiation at the same time<sup>146</sup>. In addition to genes, we have been successfully identifying both os-

teogenic and chondrogenic small compounds by the screening of compound libraries using cell-based sensors<sup>136,156</sup>.

#### **Future perspectives**

A large number of bioactive factors have been identified as crucial regulators of skeletal formation, and researchers in this field have explored suitable ways to apply them to bone and cartilage regeneration. How will we effectively modulate specific signaling pathways for tissue regeneration? Most viral vectors are unlikely to be available for use in clinical settings due to safety concerns. In the case of recombinant proteins, there are problems in terms of protein degradation and the high cost of protein synthesis. The use of small compounds is likely to overcome these problems, at least to some degree, since they are more stable than proteins and can often be industrially produced. In any case, a sophisticated drug delivery system (DDS) would be required for directing proteins or compounds to target tissues in a temporally and spatially controlled manner.

As described in this review, many bioactive factors have already been identified. We think that the highest priority should now be placed on an integrative approach to optimize these known factors and to develop an appropriate DDS for their delivery, not on the identification of novel and ever more potent factors. To build an efficient integrative approach, we need to keep our eyes on new developments in every field related to tissue engineering—including medicine, biology, engineering, pharmaceutical science, medical economics, and medical ethics—and to build a multi-disciplinary collaboration.

#### **References**

1. Tessier P, Kawamoto H, Matthews D et al. Autogenous bone grafts and bone substitutes—tools and techniques: I. A 20,000-case experience in maxillofacial and craniofacial surgery. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2005;116:6S-24S; discussion 92S-94S.
2. Eppley BL, Pietrzak WS, Blanton MW. Allograft and alloplastic bone substitutes: a review of science and technology for the craniomaxillofacial surgeon. *J Craniofac Surg* 2005;16:981-989.
3. Langer R, Vacanti JP. Tissue engineering. *Science* 1993;260:920-926.
4. Lanza RP, Langer R, Vacanti J. Principles of Tissue Engineering. second ed. San Diego: Academic Press; 2000.
5. Kronenberg HM. Developmental regulation of the growth plate. *Nature* 2003;423:332-336.
6. Chen G, Deng C, Li YP. TGF- $\beta$  and BMP signaling in osteoblast differentiation and bone formation. *Int J Biol Sci* 2012;8:272-288.
7. Yang Y. Wnt signaling in development and disease. *Cell Biosci* 2012;2:14.
8. Day TF, Yang Y. Wnt and hedgehog signaling pathways in bone development. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2008;90 Suppl 1:19-24.

9. Marie PJ, Miraoui H, Severe N. FGF/FGFR signaling in bone formation: progress and perspectives. *Growth Factors* 2012;30:117-123.
10. Fulzele K, Clemens TL. Novel functions for insulin in bone. *Bone* 2012;50:452-456.
11. Akiyama H, Lefebvre V. Unraveling the transcriptional regulatory machinery in chondrogenesis. *J Bone Miner Metab* 2011;29:390-395.
12. Komori T. Signaling networks in RUNX2-dependent bone development. *J Cell Biochem* 2011;112:750-755.
13. Pathi S, Rutenberg JB, Johnson RL, Vortkamp A. Interaction of Ihh and BMP/Noggin signaling during cartilage differentiation. *Dev Biol* 1999;209:239-253.
14. Zou H, Wieser R, Massague J, Niswander L. Distinct roles of type I bone morphogenetic protein receptors in the formation and differentiation of cartilage. *Genes Dev* 1997;11:2191-2203.
15. Daluiski A, Engstrand T, Bahamonde ME et al. Bone morphogenetic protein-3 is a negative regulator of bone density. *Nat Genet* 2001;27:84-88.
16. Haaijman A, Burger EH, Goei SW et al. Correlation between ALK-6 (BMP-IB) distribution and responsiveness to osteogenic protein-1 (BMP-7) in embryonic mouse bone rudiments. *Growth Factors* 2000;17:177-192.
17. Lyons KM, Pelton RW, Hogan BL. Organogenesis and pattern formation in the mouse: RNA distribution patterns suggest a role for bone morphogenetic protein-2A (BMP-2A). *Development* 1990;109:833-844.
18. Lyons KM, Hogan BL, Robertson EJ. Colocalization of BMP 7 and BMP 2 RNAs suggests that these factors cooperatively mediate tissue interactions during murine development. *Mech Dev* 1995;50:71-83.
19. Minina E, Wenzel HM, Kreschel C et al. BMP and Ihh/PTHrP signaling interact to coordinate chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation. *Development* 2001;128:4523-4534.
20. Sakou T, Onishi T, Yamamoto T, Nagamine T, Sampath T, Ten Dijke P. Localization of Smads, the TGF-beta family intracellular signaling components during endochondral ossification. *J Bone Miner Res* 1999;14:1145-1152.
21. Yi SE, LaPolt PS, Yoon BS, Chen JY, Lu JK, Lyons KM. The type I BMP receptor Bmpr1B is essential for female reproductive function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001;98:7994-7999.
22. Yoon BS, Ovchinnikov DA, Yoshii I, Mishina Y, Behringer RR, Lyons KM. Bmpr1a and Bmpr1b have overlapping functions and are essential for chondrogenesis in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:5062-5067.
23. Retting KN, Song B, Yoon BS, Lyons KM. BMP canonical Smad signaling through Smad1 and Smad5 is required for endochondral bone formation. *Development* 2009;136:1093-1104.
24. Grimsrud CD, Romano PR, D'Souza M et al. BMP-6 is an autocrine stimulator of chondrocyte differentiation. *J Bone Miner Res* 1999;14:475-482.
25. Kobayashi T, Lyons KM, McMahon AP, Kronenberg HM. BMP signaling stimulates cellular differentiation at multiple steps during cartilage development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:18023-18027.
26. Bandyopadhyay A, Tsuji K, Cox K, Harfe BD, Rosen V, Tabin CJ. Genetic analysis of the roles of BMP2, BMP4, and BMP7 in limb patterning and skeletogenesis. *PLoS Genet* 2006;2:e216.
27. Yoon BS, Pogue R, Ovchinnikov DA et al. BMPs regulate multiple aspects of growth-plate chondrogenesis through opposing actions on FGF pathways. *Development* 2006;133:4667-4678.
28. Wozney JM, Rosen V, Celeste AJ et al. Novel regulators of bone formation: molecular clones and activities. *Science* 1988;242:1528-1534.
29. Kugimiya F, Kawaguchi H, Kamekura S et al. Involvement of endogenous bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) 2 and BMP6 in bone formation. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:35704-35712.
30. Okamoto M, Murai J, Yoshikawa H, Tsumaki N. Bone morphogenetic proteins in bone stimulate osteoclasts and osteoblasts during bone development. *J Bone Miner Res* 2006;21:1022-1033.
31. Mishina Y, Starbuck MW, Gentile MA et al. Bone morphogenetic protein type IA receptor signaling regulates postnatal osteoblast function and bone remodeling. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:27560-27566.
32. Kamiya N, Ye L, Kobayashi T et al. Disruption of BMP signaling in osteoblasts through type IA receptor (BMPRIA) increases bone mass. *J Bone Miner Res* 2008;23:2007-2017.
33. Ellingsworth LR, Brennan JE, Fok K et al. Antibodies to the N-terminal portion of cartilage-inducing factor A and transforming growth factor beta. Immunohistochemical localization and association with differentiating cells. *J Biol Chem* 1986;261:12362-12367.
34. Morales TI, Joyce ME, Sobel ME, Danielpour D, Roberts AB. Transforming growth factor-beta in calf articular cartilage organ cultures: synthesis and distribution. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 1991;288:397-405.
35. Serra R, Karaplis A, Sohn P. Parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP)-dependent and -independent effects of transforming growth factor beta (TGF-beta) on endochondral bone formation. *J Cell Biol* 1999;145:783-794.
36. Serra R, Johnson M, Filvaroff EH et al. Expression of a truncated, kinase-defective TGF-beta type II receptor in mouse skeletal tissue promotes terminal chondrocyte differentiation and osteoarthritis. *J Cell Biol* 1997;139:541-552.
37. Yang X, Chen L, Xu X, Li C, Huang C, Deng CX. TGF-beta/Smad3 signals repress chondrocyte hypertrophic differentiation and are required for maintaining articular cartilage. *J Cell Biol* 2001;153:35-46.
38. Johnson ML, Rajamannan N. Diseases of Wnt signaling. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord* 2006;7:41-49.
39. Andrade AC, Nilsson O, Barnes KM, Baron J. Wnt gene expression in the post-natal growth plate: regulation with chondrocyte differentiation. *Bone* 2007;40:1361-1369.
40. Hill TP, Spater D, Taketo MM, Birchmeier W, Hartmann C. Canonical Wnt/beta-catenin signaling prevents osteoblasts from differentiating into chondrocytes. *Dev Cell* 2005;8:727-738.
41. Hu H, Hilton MJ, Tu X, Yu K, Ornitz DM, Long F. Se-

- quential roles of Hedgehog and Wnt signaling in osteoblast development. *Development* 2005;132:49-60.
42. Akiyama H, Lyons JP, Mori-Akiyama Y et al. Interactions between Sox9 and beta-catenin control chondrocyte differentiation. *Genes Dev* 2004;18:1072-1087.
  43. Yang Y, Topol L, Lee H, Wu J. Wnt5a and Wnt5b exhibit distinct activities in coordinating chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation. *Development* 2003;130:1003-1015.
  44. Day TF, Guo X, Garrett-Beal L, Yang Y. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in mesenchymal progenitors controls osteoblast and chondrocyte differentiation during vertebrate skeletogenesis. *Dev Cell* 2005;8:739-750.
  45. Tamamura Y, Otani T, Kanatani N et al. Developmental regulation of Wnt/beta-catenin signals is required for growth plate assembly, cartilage integrity, and endochondral ossification. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:19185-19195.
  46. Rodda SJ, McMahon AP. Distinct roles for Hedgehog and canonical Wnt signaling in specification, differentiation and maintenance of osteoblast progenitors. *Development* 2006;133:3231-3244.
  47. Glass DA, 2nd, Bialek P, Ahn JD et al. Canonical Wnt signaling in differentiated osteoblasts controls osteoclast differentiation. *Dev Cell* 2005;8:751-764.
  48. Gong Y, Slee RB, Fukai N et al. LDL receptor-related protein 5 (LRP5) affects bone accrual and eye development. *Cell* 2001;107:513-523.
  49. Boyden LM, Mao J, Belsky J et al. High bone density due to a mutation in LDL-receptor-related protein 5. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:1513-1521.
  50. Kato M, Patel MS, Levasseur R et al. Cbfa1-independent decrease in osteoblast proliferation, osteopenia, and persistent embryonic eye vascularization in mice deficient in Lrp5, a Wnt coreceptor. *J Cell Biol* 2002;157:303-314.
  51. Babij P, Zhao W, Small C et al. High bone mass in mice expressing a mutant LRP5 gene. *J Bone Miner Res* 2003;18:960-974.
  52. Ingham PW, McMahon AP. Hedgehog signaling in animal development: paradigms and principles. *Genes Dev* 2001;15:3059-3087.
  53. Chung UI, Lanske B, Lee K, Li E, Kronenberg H. The parathyroid hormone/parathyroid hormone-related peptide receptor coordinates endochondral bone development by directly controlling chondrocyte differentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998;95:13030-13035.
  54. Chung UI, Schipani E, McMahon AP, Kronenberg HM. Indian hedgehog couples chondrogenesis to osteogenesis in endochondral bone development. *J Clin Invest* 2001;107:295-304.
  55. Long F, Zhang XM, Karp S, Yang Y, McMahon AP. Genetic manipulation of hedgehog signaling in the endochondral skeleton reveals a direct role in the regulation of chondrocyte proliferation. *Development* 2001;128:5099-5108.
  56. St-Jacques B, Hammerschmidt M, McMahon AP. Indian hedgehog signaling regulates proliferation and differentiation of chondrocytes and is essential for bone formation. *Genes Dev* 1999;13:2072-2086.
  57. Hilton MJ, Tu X, Cook J, Hu H, Long F. Ihh controls cartilage development by antagonizing Gli3, but requires additional effectors to regulate osteoblast and vascular development. *Development* 2005;132:4339-4351.
  58. Long F, Chung UI, Ohba S, McMahon J, Kronenberg HM, McMahon AP. Ihh signaling is directly required for the osteoblast lineage in the endochondral skeleton. *Development* 2004;131:1309-1318.
  59. Hojo H, Ohba S, Yano F et al. Gli1 Protein Participates in Hedgehog-mediated Specification of Osteoblast Lineage during Endochondral Ossification. *J Biol Chem* 2012;287:17860-17869.
  60. Ohba S, Kawaguchi H, Kugimiya F et al. Patched1 haploinsufficiency increases adult bone mass and modulates Gli3 repressor activity. *Dev Cell* 2008;14:689-699.
  61. Mak KK, Bi Y, Wan C et al. Hedgehog signaling in mature osteoblasts regulates bone formation and resorption by controlling PTHrP and RANKL expression. *Dev Cell* 2008;14:674-688.
  62. Ornitz DM. FGF signaling in the developing endochondral skeleton. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* 2005;16:205-213.
  63. Naski MC, Colvin JS, Coffin JD, Ornitz DM. Repression of hedgehog signaling and BMP4 expression in growth plate cartilage by fibroblast growth factor receptor 3. *Development* 1998;125:4977-4988.
  64. Colvin JS, Bohne BA, Harding GW, McEwen DG, Ornitz DM. Skeletal overgrowth and deafness in mice lacking fibroblast growth factor receptor 3. *Nat Genet* 1996;12:390-397.
  65. Liu Z, Lavine KJ, Hung IH, Ornitz DM. FGF18 is required for early chondrocyte proliferation, hypertrophy and vascular invasion of the growth plate. *Dev Biol* 2007;302:80-91.
  66. Jacob AL, Smith C, Partanen J, Ornitz DM. Fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 signaling in the osteochondrogenic cell lineage regulates sequential steps of osteoblast maturation. *Dev Biol* 2006;296:315-328.
  67. Yu K, Xu J, Liu Z et al. Conditional inactivation of FGF receptor 2 reveals an essential role for FGF signaling in the regulation of osteoblast function and bone growth. *Development* 2003;130:3063-3074.
  68. Valverde-Franco G, Liu H, Davidson D et al. Defective bone mineralization and osteopenia in young adult FGFR3<sup>-/-</sup> mice. *Hum Mol Genet* 2004;13:271-284.
  69. Montero A, Okada Y, Tomita M et al. Disruption of the fibroblast growth factor-2 gene results in decreased bone mass and bone formation. *J Clin Invest* 2000;105:1085-1093.
  70. Coffin JD, Florkiewicz RZ, Neumann J et al. Abnormal bone growth and selective translational regulation in basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF-2) transgenic mice. *Mol Biol Cell* 1995;6:1861-1873.
  71. Baker J, Liu JP, Robertson EJ, Efstratiadis A. Role of insulin-like growth factors in embryonic and postnatal growth. *Cell* 1993;75:73-82.

72. Liu JP, Baker J, Perkins AS, Robertson EJ, Efstratiadis A. Mice carrying null mutations of the genes encoding insulin-like growth factor I (Igf-1) and type 1 IGF receptor (Igf1r). *Cell* 1993;75:59-72.
73. DeChiara TM, Efstratiadis A, Robertson EJ. A growth-deficiency phenotype in heterozygous mice carrying an insulin-like growth factor II gene disrupted by targeting. *Nature* 1990;345:78-80.
74. DeChiara TM, Robertson EJ, Efstratiadis A. Parental imprinting of the mouse insulin-like growth factor II gene. *Cell* 1991;64:849-859.
75. Lupu F, Terwilliger JD, Lee K, Segre GV, Efstratiadis A. Roles of growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor 1 in mouse postnatal growth. *Dev Biol* 2001;229:141-162.
76. Krakauer JC, McKenna MJ, Rao DS, Whitehouse FW. Bone mineral density in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 1997;20:1339-1340.
77. Laron Z, Klingler B, Silbergeld A. Patients with Laron syndrome have Osteopenia/Osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res* 1999;14:156-157.
78. Canalis E. Insulin-like growth factors and osteoporosis. *Bone* 1997;21:215-216.
79. Akune T, Ogata N, Hoshi K et al. Insulin receptor substrate-2 maintains predominance of anabolic function over catabolic function of osteoblasts. *J Cell Biol* 2002;159:147-156.
80. Ogata N, Chikazu D, Kubota N et al. Insulin receptor substrate-1 in osteoblast is indispensable for maintaining bone turnover. *J Clin Invest* 2000;105:935-943.
81. Bi W, Deng JM, Zhang Z, Behringer RR, de Crombrughe B. Sox9 is required for cartilage formation. *Nat Genet* 1999;22:85-89.
82. Akiyama H, Chaboissier MC, Martin JF, Schedl A, de Crombrughe B. The transcription factor Sox9 has essential roles in successive steps of the chondrocyte differentiation pathway and is required for expression of Sox5 and Sox6. *Genes Dev* 2002;16:2813-2828.
83. Smits P, Li P, Mandel J et al. The transcription factors L-Sox5 and Sox6 are essential for cartilage formation. *Dev Cell* 2001;1:277-290.
84. Dy P, Wang W, Bhattaram P et al. Sox9 directs hypertrophic maturation and blocks osteoblast differentiation of growth plate chondrocytes. *Dev Cell* 2012;22:597-609.
85. Hattori T, Muller C, Gebhard S et al. SOX9 is a major negative regulator of cartilage vascularization, bone marrow formation and endochondral ossification. *Development* 2010;137:901-911.
86. Bi W, Huang W, Whitworth DJ et al. Haploinsufficiency of Sox9 results in defective cartilage primordia and premature skeletal mineralization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001;98:6698-6703.
87. Nakashima K, Zhou X, Kunkel G et al. The novel zinc finger-containing transcription factor osterix is required for osteoblast differentiation and bone formation. *Cell* 2002;108:17-29.
88. Ito Y. Oncogenic potential of the RUNX gene family: 'overview'. *Oncogene* 2004;23:4198-4208.
89. Komori T, Yagi H, Nomura S et al. Targeted disruption of Cbfa1 results in a complete lack of bone formation owing to maturational arrest of osteoblasts. *Cell* 1997;89:755-764.
90. Otto F, Thornell AP, Crompton T et al. Cbfa1, a candidate gene for cleidocranial dysplasia syndrome, is essential for osteoblast differentiation and bone development. *Cell* 1997;89:765-771.
91. Mundlos S, Otto F, Mundlos C et al. Mutations involving the transcription factor CBFA1 cause cleidocranial dysplasia. *Cell* 1997;89:773-779.
92. Takeda S, Bonnamy JP, Owen MJ, Ducey P, Karsenty G. Continuous expression of Cbfa1 in nonhypertrophic chondrocytes uncovers its ability to induce hypertrophic chondrocyte differentiation and partially rescues Cbfa1-deficient mice. *Genes Dev* 2001;15:467-481.
93. Yoshida CA, Yamamoto H, Fujita T et al. Runx2 and Runx3 are essential for chondrocyte maturation, and Runx2 regulates limb growth through induction of Indian hedgehog. *Genes Dev* 2004;18:952-963.
94. Kimura A, Inose H, Yano F et al. Runx1 and Runx2 cooperate during sternal morphogenesis. *Development* 2010;137:1159-1167.
95. Kundu M, Javed A, Jeon JP et al. Cbfbeta interacts with Runx2 and has a critical role in bone development. *Nat Genet* 2002;32:639-644.
96. Lee K, Chan CK, Patil N, Goodman SB. Cell therapy for bone regeneration—bench to bedside. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater* 2009;89:252-263.
97. Friedlaender GE. Osteogenic protein-1 in treatment of tibial nonunions: current status. *Surg Technol Int* 2004;13:249-252.
98. Govender S, Csimma C, Genant HK et al. Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 for treatment of open tibial fractures: a prospective, controlled, randomized study of four hundred and fifty patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2002;84-A:2123-2134.
99. Burkus JK, Dorchak JD, Sanders DL. Radiographic assessment of interbody fusion using recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein type 2. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2003;28:372-377.
100. Johnsson R, Stromqvist B, Aspenberg P. Randomized radiostereometric study comparing osteogenic protein-1 (BMP-7) and autograft bone in human non-instrumented posterolateral lumbar fusion: 2002 Volvo Award in clinical studies. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2002;27:2654-2661.
101. Bridwell KH, Anderson PA, Boden SD, Vaccaro AR, Zigler JE. What's new in spine surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2004;86-A:1587-1596.
102. Geesink RG, Hoefnagels NH, Bulstra SK. Osteogenic activity of OP-1 bone morphogenetic protein (BMP-7) in a human fibular defect. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1999;81:710-718.
103. Lieberman JR, Daluiski A, Einhorn TA. The role of growth factors in the repair of bone. Biology and clinical applications. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2002;84-A:1032-1044.
104. Franceschi RT, Wang D, Krebsbach PH, Rutherford RB. Gene therapy for bone formation: in vitro and in vivo osteogenic activity of an adenovirus expressing BMP7. *J Cell Biochem* 2000;78:476-486.

105. Miyamoto S, Takaoka K, Okada T et al. Evaluation of polylactic acid homopolymers as carriers for bone morphogenetic protein. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1992;274-285.
106. Miyamoto S, Takaoka K, Okada T et al. Polylactic acid-polyethylene glycol block copolymer. A new biodegradable synthetic carrier for bone morphogenetic protein. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1993;333-343.
107. Saito N, Okada T, Toba S, Miyamoto S, Takaoka K. New synthetic absorbable polymers as BMP carriers: plastic properties of poly-D,L-lactic acid-polyethylene glycol block copolymers. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1999;47:104-110.
108. Saito N, Okada T, Horiuchi H et al. A biodegradable polymer as a cytokine delivery system for inducing bone formation. *Nat Biotechnol* 2001;19:332-335.
109. Kato M, Namikawa T, Terai H, Hoshino M, Miyamoto S, Takaoka K. Ectopic bone formation in mice associated with a lactic acid/dioxanone/ethylene glycol copolymer-tricalcium phosphate composite with added recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2. *Biomaterials* 2006;27:3927-3933.
110. Yoneda M, Terai H, Imai Y et al. Repair of an intercalated long bone defect with a synthetic biodegradable bone-inducing implant. *Biomaterials* 2005;26:5145-5152.
111. Taguchi S, Namikawa T, Ieguchi M, Takaoka K. Reconstruction of bone defects using rhBMP-2-coated devitalized bone. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2007;461:162-169.
112. Hoshino M, Egi T, Terai H et al. Repair of long intercalated rib defects in dogs using recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 delivered by a synthetic polymer and beta-tricalcium phosphate. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 2009;90:514-521.
113. Namikawa T, Terai H, Suzuki E et al. Experimental spinal fusion with recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 delivered by a synthetic polymer and beta-tricalcium phosphate in a rabbit model. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2005;30:1717-1722.
114. Nie H, Wang CH. Fabrication and characterization of PLGA/HAp composite scaffolds for delivery of BMP-2 plasmid DNA. *J Control Release* 2007;120:111-121.
115. Hirata K, Tsukazaki T, Kadowaki A et al. Transplantation of skin fibroblasts expressing BMP-2 promotes bone repair more effectively than those expressing Runx2. *Bone* 2003;32:502-512.
116. Krebsbach PH, Gu K, Franceschi RT, Rutherford RB. Gene therapy-directed osteogenesis: BMP-7-transduced human fibroblasts form bone in vivo. *Hum Gene Ther* 2000;11:1201-1210.
117. Minear S, Leucht P, Jiang J et al. Wnt proteins promote bone regeneration. *Sci Transl Med* 2010;2:29ra30.
118. Popelut A, Rooker SM, Leucht P, Medio M, Brunski JB, Helms JA. The acceleration of implant osseointegration by liposomal Wnt3a. *Biomaterials* 2010;31:9173-9181.
119. Edwards PC, Ruggiero S, Fantasia J et al. Sonic hedgehog gene-enhanced tissue engineering for bone regeneration. *Gene Ther* 2005;12:75-86.
120. Wang Q, Huang C, Zeng F, Xue M, Zhang X. Activation of the Hh pathway in periosteum-derived mesenchymal stem cells induces bone formation in vivo: implication for postnatal bone repair. *Am J Pathol* 2010;177:3100-3111.
121. Rivron NC, Raiss CC, Liu J et al. Sonic Hedgehog-activated engineered blood vessels enhance bone tissue formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012;109:4413-4418.
122. Song K, Rao NJ, Chen ML, Huang ZJ, Cao YG. Enhanced bone regeneration with sequential delivery of basic fibroblast growth factor and sonic hedgehog. *Injury* 2011;42:796-802.
123. Kawaguchi H, Jingushi S, Izumi T et al. Local application of recombinant human fibroblast growth factor-2 on bone repair: a dose-escalation prospective trial on patients with osteotomy. *J Orthop Res* 2007;25:480-487.
124. Kawaguchi H, Oka H, Jingushi S et al. A local application of recombinant human fibroblast growth factor 2 for tibial shaft fractures: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *J Bone Miner Res* 2010;25:2735-2743.
125. Byers BA, Guldberg RE, Garcia AJ. Synergy between genetic and tissue engineering: Runx2 overexpression and in vitro construct development enhance in vivo mineralization. *Tissue Eng* 2004;10:1757-1766.
126. Byers BA, Pavlath GK, Murphy TJ, Karsenty G, Garcia AJ. Cell-type-dependent up-regulation of in vitro mineralization after overexpression of the osteoblast-specific transcription factor Runx2/Cbfa1. *J Bone Miner Res* 2002;17:1931-1944.
127. Kojima H, Uemura T. Strong and rapid induction of osteoblast differentiation by Cbfa1/Til-1 overexpression for bone regeneration. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:2944-2953.
128. Yang S, Wei D, Wang D, Phimpilai M, Krebsbach PH, Franceschi RT. In vitro and in vivo synergistic interactions between the Runx2/Cbfa1 transcription factor and bone morphogenetic protein-2 in stimulating osteoblast differentiation. *J Bone Miner Res* 2003;18:705-715.
129. Ye JH, Xu YJ, Gao J et al. Critical-size calvarial bone defects healing in a mouse model with silk scaffolds and SATB2-modified iPSCs. *Biomaterials* 2011;32:5065-5076.
130. Mundy G, Garrett R, Harris S et al. Stimulation of bone formation in vitro and in rodents by statins. *Science* 1999;286:1946-1949.
131. Civitelli R. In vitro and in vivo effects of ipriflavone on bone formation and bone biomechanics. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1997;61 Suppl 1:S12-14.
132. Notoya K, Yoshida K, Tsukuda R, Taketomi S. Effect of ipriflavone on expression of markers characteristic of the osteoblast phenotype in rat bone marrow stromal cell culture. *J Bone Miner Res* 1994;9:395-400.
133. Notoya K, Nagai H, Oda T et al. Enhancement of osteogenesis in vitro and in vivo by a novel osteoblast differentiation promoting compound, TAK-778. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1999;290:1054-1064.

134. Ohba S, Nakajima K, Komiyama Y et al. A novel osteogenic helioxanthin-derivative acts in a BMP-dependent manner. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2007;357:854-860.
135. Zhao J, Ohba S, Shinkai M, Chung UI, Nagamune T. Icaritin induces osteogenic differentiation in vitro in a BMP- and Runx2-dependent manner. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2008;369:444-448.
136. Hojo H, Igawa K, Ohba S et al. Development of high-throughput screening system for osteogenic drugs using a cell-based sensor. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2008;376:375-379.
137. Indrawattana N, Chen G, Tadokoro M et al. Growth factor combination for chondrogenic induction from human mesenchymal stem cell. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2004;320:914-919.
138. Nixon AJ, Fortier LA, Williams J, Mohammed H. Enhanced repair of extensive articular defects by insulin-like growth factor-I-laden fibrin composites. *J Orthop Res* 1999;17:475-487.
139. Hunziker EB, Rosenberg LC. Repair of partial-thickness defects in articular cartilage: cell recruitment from the synovial membrane. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1996;78:721-733.
140. Fortier LA, Mohammed HO, Lust G, Nixon AJ. Insulin-like growth factor-I enhances cell-based repair of articular cartilage. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2002;84:276-288.
141. Nawata M, Wakitani S, Nakaya H et al. Use of bone morphogenetic protein 2 and diffusion chambers to engineer cartilage tissue for the repair of defects in articular cartilage. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52:155-163.
142. Katayama R, Wakitani S, Tsumaki N et al. Repair of articular cartilage defects in rabbits using CDMP1 gene-transfected autologous mesenchymal cells derived from bone marrow. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2004;43:980-985.
143. Fan H, Hu Y, Qin L, Li X, Wu H, Lv R. Porous gelatin-chondroitin-hyaluronate tri-copolymer scaffold containing microspheres loaded with TGF-beta1 induces differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells in vivo for enhancing cartilage repair. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 2006;77:785-794.
144. Ishii I, Mizuta H, Sei A, Hirose J, Kudo S, Hiraki Y. Healing of full-thickness defects of the articular cartilage in rabbits using fibroblast growth factor-2 and a fibrin sealant. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2007;89:693-700.
145. Moore EE, Bendele AM, Thompson DL et al. Fibroblast growth factor-18 stimulates chondrogenesis and cartilage repair in a rat model of injury-induced osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2005;13:623-631.
146. Ikeda T, Kamekura S, Mabuchi A et al. The combination of SOX5, SOX6, and SOX9 (the SOX trio) provides signals sufficient for induction of permanent cartilage. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:3561-3573.
147. Hiramatsu K, Sasagawa S, Outani H, Nakagawa K, Yoshikawa H, Tsumaki N. Generation of hyaline cartilaginous tissue from mouse adult dermal fibroblast culture by defined factors. *J Clin Invest* 2011;121:640-657.
148. Liu G, Kawaguchi H, Ogasawara T et al. Optimal combination of soluble factors for tissue engineering of permanent cartilage from cultured human chondrocytes. *J Biol Chem* 2007;282:20407-20415.
149. Takahashi T, Ogasawara T, Kishimoto J et al. Synergistic effects of FGF-2 with insulin or IGF-I on the proliferation of human auricular chondrocytes. *Cell Transplant* 2005;14:683-693.
150. Akiyama H, Fukumoto A, Shigeno C et al. TAK-778, a novel synthetic 3-benzothiepin derivative, promotes chondrogenesis in vitro and in vivo. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1999;261:131-138.
151. Kitamura H, Kato A, Esaki T. AG-041R, a novel indoline-2-one derivative, induces systemic cartilage hyperplasia in rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2001;418:225-230.
152. Nakanishi T, Kawasaki K, Uchio Y, Kataoka H, Terashima M, Ochi M. AG-041R, a cholecystokinin-B/gastrin receptor antagonist, stimulates the repair of osteochondral defect in rabbit model. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2002;439:135-140.
153. Okada A, Shiomi T, Aoki Y, Fujiwara M. Phenytoin stimulates chondrogenic differentiation in mouse clonal chondrogenic EC cells, ATDC5. *J Toxicol Sci* 2005;30:145-156.
154. Nakamura Y, Takarada T, Kodama A, Hinoi E, Yoneda Y. Predominant promotion by tacrolimus of chondrogenic differentiation to proliferating chondrocytes. *J Pharmacol Sci* 2009;109:413-423.
155. Noguchi K, Watanabe Y, Fuse T, Takizawa M. A new chondrogenic differentiation initiator with the ability to up-regulate SOX trio expression. *J Pharmacol Sci* 2010;112:89-97.
156. Hojo H, Yano F, Ohba S et al. Identification of oxytetracycline as a chondrogenic compound using a cell-based screening system. *J Bone Miner Metab* 2010;28:627-633.
157. Johnson K, Zhu S, Tremblay MS et al. A stem cell-based approach to cartilage repair. *Science* 2012;336:717-721.
158. Ohba S, Ikeda T, Kugimiya F et al. Identification of a potent combination of osteogenic genes for bone regeneration using embryonic stem (ES) cell-based sensor. *FASEB J* 2007;21:1777-1787.