In 1998 Schneider et al. and Blackburn et al. described the use of the vacuum-assisted closure (VAC®) device negative pressure dressing (KCI, San Antonio, TX, USA) for stabilizing skin grafts by immobilizing themself, limiting shear stresses, eliminating fluid collections and decreasing bacterial contamination: it leaded to a graft successful rate greater than 95% (2). Since it was introduced (Fleischmann et al. 1995, Morykwas et al. 1997) it gained large consensus and attentions from clinicians (3, 4) whom started to use it for the treatment of chronic wounds.

This dressing technique consists on an open cell foam dressing put into the wound cavity, connecting it to a vacuum pump with a tube and covering it with an adhesive drape. A controlled sub-atmospheric pressure from 75 to 150 mmHg is applied.

The vacuum-assisted closure has been applied by many clinicians to chronic wounds in humans; however it cannot be used as a replacement for surgical debridement. The initial treatment for every contaminated wound should be the necrosectomy. The VAC therapy has a complementary function and the range of its indications includes pressure sores, stasis ulcers, chronic wounds such as diabetic foot ulcers, post traumatic and post operative wounds, infected wounds such as necrotizing fasciitis or sternal wounds, soft-tissue injuries, abdominal open wounds and for securing a skin graft.

We describe our experience with the VAC dressing used to manage acute and chronic wounds in a series of 135 patients, with excellent results together with satisfaction of the patients.
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dissive drape. Despite everything, vacuum-assisted closure therapy cannot be used as a replacement for surgical debridement: initial treatment for every contaminated wound is a necrosectomy (5). Vacuum-assisted closure has a complementary function, and its range of indications includes pressure sores, stasis ulcers, chronic wounds such as diabetic foot ulcers, posttraumatic and postoperative wounds, infected wounds such as necrotizing fasciitis or sternal wounds, soft-tissue injuries, injuries with bone exposure, open abdominal wounds as well as to secure a skin graft.

VAC® exposes the wound bed to a negative pressure able to remove fluid from the extravascular space, improves circulation and promotes wound healing and granulation tissue formation as well as to reduce bacterial load (6-8).

We describe our experience with the VAC® therapy for the treatment of acute/chronic wounds in 135 patients, during a period of 6 years.

**Patients and methods**

Between January 2006 and December 2011, at Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery Department of Perugia University, 135 patients were managed for a total of 148 acute/chronic wounds with the negative pressure therapy; 73 (54%) were males and 62 (46%) women with a median age of 42 years. The aetiology of the wounds was very heterogenous as represented in Figure 1; location of the wounds is shown in Figure 2. The study performed was a randomized controlled trial. Primary outcome measures to assess efficacy were: wound-healing time, granulation rate, change in wound surface and volume (calculated with Xakellis and Frantz method) (9), pain, bacterial clearance, total costs and time of the nursing staff involvement.

Secondary outcome measures were adverse effects and comfort. Wound-healing time was calculated from the date of initial debridement to the date of reaching an endpoint represented by the achievement of a complete granulated wound or a wound ready stage for skin grafting or healing by secondary intention.

Exclusion criteria are those mentioned in Table 1. All patients gave written informed consent.

In the wounds was applied a GranuFoam® sponge sealed with an adhesive semi-occlusive drape (VAC® drape); continuous negative pressure at 75 to 125 mmHg was applied and the dressing was...

**Table 1 - Patients exclusion criteria.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use of steroids</th>
<th>Neoplasia in the wound</th>
<th>Deep fistulas</th>
<th>Sepsis</th>
<th>Underlying osteomyelitis</th>
<th>Patients younger than 18</th>
<th>Severe peripheral vascular disease (ABI &lt; 0.6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

![Fig. 1 - Wound’s aetiology.](image1)

*post-surgical, burns and lymphatics.*

![Fig. 2 - Localization of the wounds.](image2)
changed every 48 hours as recommended in VAC® guidelines. The VAC® therapy was applied following the first debridement and washing of the necrotic and infected wounds. In accordance with the severity of the wound, patients were exposed to a continuous negative pressure for the first two sessions; intermittent treatment (5 min of suction followed by a break of 2 min) was than delivered at the same pressure.

Results

The VAC® therapy has proved to be very helpful in the treatment of these kind of lesions: the granulation tissue formation was achieved after a mean time of 7.5 days of treatment, with exudate reduction and absence of infection. The treatment was interrupted when vital granulation tissue was formed on the lesion's bottom and/or when the exudation rate was < 20 ml/day. The rate of reduction in wound area was about 5.2 %/day (Fig. 3).

The 12 % (16) of the patients didn't get any improvement from the therapy and were classified as "no responders": the main problem was the compliance of the patients, especially the oldest ones with cognitive impairment. In 3 cases the VAC therapy was discontinued because of a hematoma developing under the dressing after the second day; in 4 patients it was necessary to precede with a split thickness skin graft to cover the defect area since the NPWT was not itself sufficient to achieve a secondary intention healing. The only complain has been the pain, felt especially during the beginning of the treatment but anyway never being a limiting factor. Most of the patients found out this technique to be more comfortable than the classical wound closure therapy, since fewer dressing were required and there was less smell and leakage (Figs. 4, 5).

Discussion

Vacuum-assisted closure therapy has been commonly used during the past decade for acute and chronic wounds. The granulation tissue forms with the VAC therapy is high quality, rich in capillary and totally suitable to receive a skin graft or, if well-controlled during the follow-up, to allow the wound to close by secondary intention. Negative pressure wound therapy provides significant reduction in the wound size. Some studies demonstrated wound volume could be reduced by 59% (10), allowing to reduce the graft dimension and promoting the healing of a smaller wound.

In our experience the VAC therapy has proved very useful in acute/chronic wounds treatment especially in big traumas, diabetic ulcers (reducing the amputation risk) and in the poorly vascularized post-traumatic lesions (11). Our results are comparable to those of other studies performed with vacuum-assisted closure therapy: it seems to be true that vacuum-assisted closure therapy results in faster granulation tissue formation and faster surface area reduction during the first week of treatment. A very important advantage of vacuum-assisted closure therapy is its comfort for the patient mainly because the dressing changes can be reduced to 3 times/week and because the lack of smell and fluid leakage.

Conclusions

Our results show that vacuum-assisted closure therapy provides wound healing that is at least as fast as wound healing with modern wound dressings overall in
Evaluation of negative pressure vacuum-assisted system in acute and chronic wounds closure. Our experience

In conclusion, our results suggested that VAC therapy, together with debridement and accurate and appropriate antibiotics therapy, enables a higher rate of wounds healing, reducing the hospitalization period as well as the costs resulting in increasing of patient comfort and satisfaction. All this compensates the increased costs associated with the use of this kind of treatment.

Fig. 4 - A 58 yrs diabetic patient. (a) Before treatment; (b, c) During the therapy: note the great improvement of the granulation tissue; (d) Result of treatment after split-thickness skin grafting.

Fig. 5 - A 62 yrs diabetic patient. (a) Before treatment; (b, c) During VAC therapy; (d) Result after 107 days of treatment.

patients with acute and chronic wounds. It is more comfortable for patient and nursing staff.

The VAC therapy deserves a prominent place in the treatment of patients with acute and chronic wounds; however, more research needs to be conducted to confirm our results since in the literature there are contrasting opinions.
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